Decision No. 8789

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

VIC. BURKS and GEORGE DARRELL,

Complainants,

Vs.

Case No. 1504.

J. M. GOYKE.

Defendant.

In the Matter of the Application) of J. M. Goyke for permission to) increase water rates at Lanker-) shim.

Application No. 6436.

MGMANA

Francis D. Adams for Complainants.

Mark L. Herron for Defendant.

J. M. Goyke in Propria Persona in Application No. 6436.

BY THE COMMISSION.

OPINION

The complaint in the above case alleges a discriminatory rate for water service, poor pressure and service, and failure to extend water mains.

At the time the case was heard by Examiner Westover at Los Angeles, the complaint of failure to extend mains had been satisfied by defendant, and it appeared that he had just forwarded to the Commission an application to increase rates.

The hearing, therefore, proceeded upon the question of pressure and service, as a result of which the parties agreed upon a rotation schedule for irrigation purposes.

At the subsequent hearing by Examiner Westover at Los Angeles upon Application No. 6436, it appeared that Mr. Goyke and all of his consumers had agreed upon the metered rates set forth in the order herein. The Commission's engineers advise that, after an inspection of the system and the service, the rates will apparently produce a fair amount of revenue and in that particular appear to be just and reasonable.

ORDER

Public hearings having been held upon the above entitled case and application, the Commission being fully advised, and it appearing that the rates hereinafter set forth are just and reasonable rates to be charged for water service from the system of defendant and applicant, located near Lankershim, Los Angeles County,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that J. M. Goyke be and he is hereby directed to file within twenty days, and thereafter to charge and collect, the following rates, agreed upon by his consumers:

For Domestic and Irrigation Service Monthly Measured Rates

Rules and regulations governing service and rotation in irrigation to be submitted to and approved by the Commission.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the complaint in Case No. 1504 be and it is hereby dismissed.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 28 day of March, 1921.

Commissioners.