Docision No. 4853

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of WATSON TRANSPORTATION COMPANY for certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate freight, baggage and general hanling between Los Angeles, Culver City, Venice, and Ocean Park and Santa Monica, California.

Application No. 7237.

Charles W. Lyon, for Applicant C.W. Cornell, for Pacific Electric Railway, Protestant M. Thompson, for American Railway Express, Protestant G.R. Cleaveland, for Rice Transportation Company and Service Motor Express, Protestant

BY THE COMMISSION:

OBINION

Watson Transportation Company has applied to the Railroad Commission for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate motor truck freight baggage and general hamling between Los Angeles, Culver City, Venice. Ocean Park and Santa Monica.

Public hearings were held before Examiner Williams at Los Angeles.

Applicant is a proneer operator who disposed of his business in September, 1920, to the Mutual Trucking Company, which has since abandoned business. Applicant by a similar application (Application No. 6579) sought to restore his operation. This was denied by the Commission April 16, 1921, (Decision No. 8876) on the ground that need

for another carrier had not been shown.

The showing in support of the present application is not sufficient. In fact, applicant reduced his scope of operation at the hearings to Los Angeles and Venice only, but this limitation is still without sufficient weight to justify authorizing another carrier.

ORDER

Watson Transportation Company having applied to the Railroad Commission for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate motor freight, baggage and general hamling between Los Angeles and Culver City, Venice, Ocean Park and Santa Monica, public hearings having been held, the matter being now ready for decision

THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA HEREBY DECLARES that public convenience and necessity do not require establishment of service as proposed by applicant herein and that the same be and the same hereby is denied.