pecision No. 27262 BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. STATE TERMINAL COMPANY, LTD., a corporation, HOWARD TERMINAL, a corporation, and ENCINAL TERMINALS, a corporation, Complainants, Case No. 3349. VS. THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY, a corporation, SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY, a corporation, THE WESTERN PACIFIC RAIL-ROAD COMPANY, a corporation, and SACRAMENTO NORTHERN RAILWAY, & corporation, Defendants. Allan P. Matthew, John O. Moran and McCutchen, Olney, Mannon & Greene, for complainants. L. N. Bradshaw, for The Western Pacific Railroad Company and Sacramento Northern Railway. E. J. Foulds, J. R. Bell and G. H. Muckley, for Southern Pacific Company. Gerald R. Duffy and E. C. Pierre, for The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway. Markell C. Beer, for Board of Port Commissioners, City of Oakland. Morrison, Hohfeld, Foerster, Shuman & Clark, by F. C. Hutchins, for Parr-Richmond Terminal Corporation, Ltd. BY THE COMMISSION: ORDER OF DISMISSAL This proceeding was filed September 9, 1932. It is alleged that the defendants' tariffs, rules and practices with respect to the observation of car unloading charges at the San Francisco Bay points, in connection with specified commodities to the docks, wharves, warehouses and plants for movement beyond via off-shore vessels are unjust and discriminatory, and unduly -1prejudicial to complainanats and to shippers and receivers of freight who use complainants' facilities, and unduly preferential to shippers whose tonnage is handled at defendants' docks or wherves in the San Francisco bay district in violation of Sections 17 and 19 of the Public Utilities Act.

The case was heard jointly with I.C.C. Docket No.25556, on August 16 and 17, 1933, at San Francisco, before Examiner Flynn of the Interstate Commerce Commission, and Examiner Geary of the Railroad Commission of California.

Subsequent to the final submission, defendants pub - lished, effective June 15, 1934, new items covering the charges in question. Thereafter complainants and defendants filed with the Commission a joint stipulation, dated June 26, 1934, that the complaint be dismissed without prejudice.

Now, therefore, the Commission, being fully advised, and good cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Case No.3349 be and it is hereby dismissed without prejudice.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 6 day of June 1,1934.

M84

COMMISSIONERS: