## Decision No. <u>97985</u>

## REFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

-000-

In the Matter of the Application of E. R. BALL and F. E. HAYES for certificate of public con-venience and necessity to operate a motor freight service restricted to certain commodities en-) ) service restricted to certain commodities en-tirely within California between Los Angeles and contiguous territory and certain oil fields within the San Joaquin Valley and in connection therewith an unrestricted motor freight service between ) )Application No. Los Angeles proper and the towns of Bakersfield, McKittrick, Fellows, Taft and Maricopa, also ex-cluding all places on the main traveled highway 16849 intermediate between McKittrick, Fellows, Taft and Maricopa, and places less than one-half milc interior from said highway. ) ) ) Complainant, E. J. D. HODGE, ) VS-) E. R. BALL and F. E. HAYES, JOHN DOE, JANE DOE and MARY DOE, co-partners doing business under the firm name and style of OIL WELL EXPRESS; and OIL )Casc No. 2922 ) WELL EXPRESS, a copartnership, ) Defendants. ) MOTOR FREIGHT TERMINAL COMPANY, a corporation, and SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a cor-) poration, Complainants, )Case No. 2939 vs. E. R. BALL, F. E. HAYES, E. R. BALL and F. E. HAYES as co-partners, GENERAL TRANSIT INC., a cor-) poration, OIL FIELD EXPRESS, ONE DOE, TWO DOE, THREE DOE, FOUR DOE AND FIVE DOE, ) ) Defendants. }

BY THE COMMISSION:

## ORDER DENYING REHEARING

Petition for a rehearing of our Decision No. 27099 in the above entitled matter having been filed by E. R. Ball; the Commission having carefully considered the said petition, and each and every allegation contained therein, and being of the

2.

opinion that no good cause for the granting of a rehearing is therein made to appear,

IT IS HEREBY CRIERED that the said petition for rehearing be and the same is hereby denied.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this  $7^{/\overline{h}}$  day of August, 1934.

T e en