Decision No. 20026 BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the application of THE ATCHISON, TOPEKA AND SANTA FE RAILWAY COMPANY, GREAT NORTHERN RAIL-WAY COMPANY, LOS ANGELES & SALT LAKE Supplemental RAILROAD COMPANY, NORTHWESTERN PACIFIC PAILROAD COMPANY, SAN DIEGO & ARIZONA EASTERN RAILWAY COMPANY, SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY and THE WESTERN PACIFIC Application No. 19610 RAILROAD COMPANY, on behalf of themselves and all other carriers similarly situated, for an order authorizing an increase in certain freight rates and charges. BY THE COMMISSION: ELEVENTH SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER By supplemental application filed June 20, 1986, applicants seek an order authorizing them to continue in effect after June 30, 1936, to and including December 31, 1936, upon one day's notice, the emergency charges now in effect on California intrastate traffic under authority of the original and prior supplemental orders in the above entitled proceeding, with the same incidental relief as previously granted, subject to the additional exceptions contained in decision of the Interstate Commerce Commission of June 9, 1936, authorizing similar continuance of existing interstate emergency charges. Upon further consideration of the record and of the supplemental application filed June 20, 1936, and in view of said decision of the Interstate Commerce Commission, we are of the opinion that this is a matter in which a public hearing is not necessary and that the relief sought should be granted, subject to the conditions and exceptions set forth in the original order in the above numbered application, as modified herein or by prior supplemental order. By Sixth Supplemental Application, filed February 26,1936 Report on Further Hearing in Ex Parte No. 115, 215 I.C.C. 492.

and held in suspense at applicants' request pending action of the Interstate Commerce Commission in Ex Parte 115, applicants sought to continue the California intrastate emergency charges in effect after June 30, 1936, without an expiration date. In view of the decision of the Interstate Commerce Commission hereinbefore referred to and upon consideration of the supplemental application filed June 20, 1936, it appears that said Sixth Supplemental Application should be denied.

Therefore, good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the above supplemental application, filed June 20, 1936, be and it is hereby granted, subject to the conditions and with the same incidental relief from Sections 15 and 24 (a) of the Public Utilities Act and from the provisions of this Commission's Tariff Circular No. 2 as provided in the original and prior supplemental orders in this proceeding, and further subject to the modifications and exceptions provided in Report of the Interstate Commerce Commission on Further Hearing in Ex Parte 115, decided June 9, 1936, and only for the period ending December 31, 1936.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the supplemental application filed February 26, 1936, be and it is hereby denied.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this _____day of June, 1936.

MA lin Malana Arcinette Commissioners.