

Decision No. 30394

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

In the Matter of the Application of PACIFIC GREYHOUND  
LINES for installation of "Exemption Signs" at spur  
railroad tracks where motor vehicles carrying passen-  
gers for hire need not stop in accordance with Section  
No. 135, California Vehicle Act, and G. O. 89 of Rail-  
road Commission of the State of California, in the  
vicinity of Richmond, Sims and Selma.

APP. NO.

21505

FIRST SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER

In Decision No. 30350 in the above-numbered application, authority to erect "exempt signs" at Crossing No. B-219.6-C near Selma, Fresno County, was denied (spur track crossing). Applicant has now advised that this track can be used only from one end and thereby resolves itself into a spur track.

Good Cause Appearing, IT IS ORDERED that the denial in Decision No. 30350 of approval to erect "exempt signs" at said Crossing No. B-219.6-C is rescinded and that approval is hereby granted for the display of distinctive "exempt signs" (Vehicle Code, Sec. 576 (d); G.O. No. 89) at said Crossing No. B-219.6-C.

Such signs shall comply with G.O. No. 89 and shall be erected and maintained by the proper authorities. If any sign is removed, or the view thereof obstructed, vehicles carrying passengers for hire must come to a full and complete stop before passing over the crossing. Within 30 days after erection of such signs applicant shall advise the Commission in writing. This approval does not exempt any vehicle operator from the observance of any provision of the Vehicle Code and shall become void if signs are not displayed within one year (unless time be extended), or if above conditions are not complied with. Approval may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity or safety so require. This order shall be effective immediately.

Dated, San Francisco, California, December 13<sup>th</sup>, 1937.

*Walter J. ...*  
*Leon ...*  
*Frank ...*  
*Robert ...*  
*Chas. L. ...*  
Commissioners