

Decision No. 31755.

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

City of Alameda, a Municipal Corporation, )

Complainant, )

vs )

SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY, a corporation, )  
and INTERURBAN ELECTRIC RAILWAY COMPANY, )  
a corporation, )

Defendants. )

Case No. 4375.

ORIGINAL

E. J. FOULDS, for Defendants.

H. ALBERT GEORGE, City Attorney, and REGINALD L. VAUGHAN,  
Special Counsel, for the City of Alameda,  
Complainant.

DONAHUE, RICHARDS AND HAMLIN, by T. K. McCARTHY, for  
Key System.

HELLER, POWERS, WHITE AND McAULIFFE, by F. M. McAULIFFE,  
for California Toll Bridge Authority.

BY THE COMMISSION:

O P I N I O N

In this proceeding the City of Alameda, Complainant, asks that this Commission require defendants, Southern Pacific Company and Interurban Electric Railway Company, or either of them, to render proper, adequate, and sufficient emergency passenger and hand baggage service in the event the Fruitvale Bridge should become inoperative, either (a) by rendering bus service between Alameda and San Francisco via Alameda Pier and over the auto-vehicular ferry system of the Southern Pacific Golden Gate Ferries, Ltd., or (b) by rendering coordinated electric train and ferry service between San Francisco and Alameda via Alameda Pier.

Public hearings were held in this matter before Examiner Hall in Alameda on November 15, 1938, and in San Francisco on January 28, 1939, at which time the matter was submitted.

At the latter hearing defendant, Interurban Electric Railway Company, assured the Commission and complainant that in an emergency during which train service across the Fruitvale Bridge might be interrupted, it would promptly find some practical way to move the traffic, which might possibly include the temporary use of ferry boats, if the California Toll Bridge Authority would approve and if boats were available and landings in usable condition.

The representative of the California Toll Bridge Authority stated that he would recommend to the Authority the use of ferry boats in such an emergency.

Complainant then asked that the matter be dismissed without prejudice and it will be so ordered.

O R D E R

Public hearings having been held and the matter having been duly submitted,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Case No. 4375 be dismissed without prejudice.

The effective date of this Order shall be twenty days from the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 14<sup>th</sup> day of February, 1939.

Raymond D. DeWine  
Ray DeWine  
Justice J. Coe  
Commissioners