Decision No. 33673

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Establishment of maximum or minimum, or maximum and minimum rates, rules and regulations of all common carriers as defined in the Public Utilities Act of the State of California, as amended, and all highway carriers as defined in Chapter 223, Statutes of 1935, as amended, for the transportation, for compensation or hire, of any and all commodities.

ORIGINAL

Case No. 4246

BY THE COMMISSION:

## Additional Appearances

W. M. Hume, for Basic Vegetable Products. L. R. Keith, for Canners League of California.

## SUPPLEMENTAL OPINION AND ORDER

Decision No. 33559 of October 1, 1940, in the above entitled proceeding, modified the minimum rates, rules and regulations theretofore established for the transportation of canned goods and related articles by common, radial highway common and highway contract carriers. As so modified, effective November 1, 1940, class and commodity rates formerly applicable to shipments consisting of articles listed in Item No. 610-D of Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 2, regardless of the manner in which they were packaged, now apply only to shipments of those articles in packages designated in that item. Higher minimum rates provided elsewhere in the tariff apply to shipments of the commodities involved when they are

Appendix "D" to Decision No. 31606, as amended.

not packaged so as to conform to the requirements of Item No. 610-D. These increased rates were established upon petition of the rail lines and were based upon the record made at public hearings. By petition filed subsequent to the issuance of said Decision No. 33559, Sacramento Chamber of Commerce seeks reinstatement of certain rates cancelled by that decision. Evidence concerning the Chamber's petition was received at a public hearing had at San Francisco on October 28, 1940, before Examiner Earl S. Williams.

Petitioner claimed that, in effect, Decision No. 33559 excluded from rates established for canned goods and articles normally grouped therewith numerous articles which are usually shipped in bulk in shipping containers or in packages not specified in Item No. 610-D. The effect of these exclusions, petitioner said, was to materially increase the rates on numerous articles long accorded canned goods rates. It contended that the evidence submitted in support of the rail lines' petition did not justify such increased rates and that it was clear from the language in Decision No. 33559 that the Commission had intended that increases should affect only articles which were not canned goods or were not ordinarily grouped with canned goods for rate making purposes.

Witnesses for potitioner submitted evidence showing the packaging normally used by the concerns which they represented in the shipment of various articles named in Item No. 610-D. They testified that these articles when so packaged had long been described as canned goods or accorded the same rates in common carrier tariffs. These witnesses urged that in all instances where customary packaging was excluded from the canned goods rates provided by Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 2 the tariff be amended by providing for such packaging.

Petitioner recommended that the usual packaging of the

commodities involved be provided for in Item No. 510 series by establishing the general requirement that the articles listed be shipped in earthenware, glass or metal cans. in boxes, or in bulk in barrels or kegs. Several individual articles were said to be packaged differently and, in such cases, it was recommended that specific provision be made in the item for the packaging peculiar The suggested packing requirements were proposto the commodity. ed to be made subject to Section 3 of Rule 5 of the Western Classification, which provides that rates on freight in boxes will also apply on such freight in fibreboard boxes in metal strapped bundles or crates, and that rates on freight in barrels will also apply on such freight in hogsheads, pipes, puncheons, tierces, casks, drums, half-barrols, quarter-barrels, sixth-barrols and eighth-barrols. Under the proposal, Item No. 300 of the tariff, the so-called "liberalized packing rule," was said to be unnecessary, and it was recommended that Item No. 300 be made inapplicable in connection with rates referring to Item No. 610 series for commodity description-

The articles for which individual treatment was said to be necessary are: cocoanut, prepared; fish and meats; garlic and onion powder and chips; and milk and buttermilk. Petitioner urged that, in addition to the package requirements of general application, those commodities, except fish and meats, be permitted to enjoy cannod goods rates when shipped in bulk in boxes or in double bags or in multiple-wall paper bags. Fish, they said, should be accorded cannod goods rates in bulk in boxes, meats those rates in bulk in crates or boxes.

Rates referring to Item No. 610 series for commodity description are provided in the tariff in Item No. 320-A, which designates a rating of 90 per cent of fourth class for less-than-carload shipments of "Canned Goods as described in Item No. 610 series;" Item No. 350-A, which provides ratings of fourth class when in minimum shipments of 20,000 pounds and fifth class when in minimum shipments of 30,000 pounds on "Groceries and Grocers' Supplies" named in that item, including "Canned Goods and other articles as described in Item No. 610 series;" and Items Nos. 620-A and 630-A, which name mileage and point-to-point rates on "Canned Goods and Other Articles as described in Item No. 610 series" when in minimum shipments of 20,000 and 30,000 pounds.

In general, the rail lines were in accord with the proposed changes. They pointed out that if these changes were made both inner and outer package requirements would be provided. This, they said, was necessary to limit the application of cannot goods rates to commodities properly identified as canned goods. They readily admitted that their previous proposal had not made provision for customary packaging of cortain of the commodities involved, and urged that this be remedied by adoption of the package requirements proposed by petitionor, Sacramento Chamber of Commerce, or preferably by adoption of the package requirements of the Pacific Freight Tariff Bureau Exception Sheet, modified to the extent necessary to reflect packing practices poculiar to designated articles. The rail lines objected, however, to the cannod goods rates being made applicable to fish, cooked, pickled or preserved, with or without fruit or vegetable ingredients, in bulk in boxes; meats, cooked, cured or preserved, with or without cereal or vegetable ingredients, in bulk in crates or boxes; and sausage casings, pickled or salted, in bulk in barrels. These commodities, they said, had no place in a canned goods item and should be treated separately in the Highway Carriers' Tariff whether rates the same as, or different than, the canned goods rates were prescribed.

Shipper interests not represented by the Sacramento Chamber of Commerce supported the contention that the description of cannot goods and related articles established by Decision No. 33559 was inadequate, in that it failed to provide cannot goods rates for certain of the commodities involved as they were ordinarily packaged. Some of these shippers urged the adoption of the Chamber's proposal. Others indicated that they preferred the alternative proposal of the rail lines because under that proposal Item No. 610 series would restrict cannot goods rates to the articles which they

-4-

considered properly described as canned goods. None of these shippers indicated that they required a broader canned goods description than that provided by the Chamber's proposal. The position of the highway carriers was not disclosed.

It is clear from the further evidence of record that by Decision No. 33559 several articles formerly described as, or grouped with, canned goods for rate making purposes in Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 2 were subjected to increased rates when shipped in packages of general use. As the petitioner pointed out, it is apparent from that decision that the Commission did not intend to exclude canned goods articles or commodities ordinarily grouped therewith from the canned goods rates when packaged in a customary manner. With respect to the commodities not ordinarily grouped with canned goods, but so grouped in Item No. 610-D, it is apparent from Decision No. 33559 that it was not intended to provide increased rates for these commodities when, as normally packaged, they possess transportation characteristics similar to canned goods.

The contentions of the rail lines and certain shipper interests that fish in bulk in boxes, meats in bulk in crates or boxes, and sausage easings in bulk in barrels should be accorded separate tariff treatment, rather than be grouped with cannod goods, rest upon the premise that the item in question may properly list only articles identified as canned goods or long associated with canned goods in rate making. In so far as these commodities as so packaged are concerned, there is nothing in this record which would support a conclusion that separate tariff treatment should result in the assessment of higher rates than those for cannod goods. The most that this record might justify in this regard is separate listing of these three commodities at rates equivalent to the canned goods rates. Item No. 610 series of the Highway Carriers'

-5-

Tariff is a description or listing of articles to which designated rates will apply. While it is true that the majority of the commodities described in that item are articles generally known as cannot goods there is little, if any, foundation for the contention that only cannot goods articles should properly be listed therein. The item does not purport to describe cannot goods commodities exclusively, as evidenced by its heading "Cannot Goods and Other Articles." Segregation of the articles listed under that heading in the tariff into cannot goods commodities and other articles would, on this record, appear to serve no useful purpose.

The showing made in support of petitioner's proposals is persuasive that all of the commodities listed in Item No. 610-D of Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 2 should be accorded the rates making reference thereto when packaged in the manner designated in the proposals. The tariff changes recommended by the petitioner will be made. At the same time related changes will be made in the tariff for the purpose of clarification.

Therefore, good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY CRDERED that Highway Corriers' Tariff No. 2 (Appendix "D" to Decision No. 31506, as amended) be amended by substituting therein, to become effective December 1, 1940, the revised pages attached hereto and by this reference made a part horeof, which pages are numbered as follows:

2nd Revised Page 37 (cancels 1st Rovised Page 37) 5th Rovised Page 47 (cancels 4th Revised Page 47)

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that increases in published rates or charges of common carriers required to be made as a result of amendments to Appendix "D" (Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 2) of said Decision No. 31606, by Ordering Paragraph 1 hereof, shall be made effective on December 1, 1940, on not less than one (1) day's

notice to the Commission and to the public; and that reductions in published rates or charges resulting from the aforesaid amendments may be made effective on December 1, 1940, if the carrier so elects, on not less than one (1) day's notice to the Commission and to the public.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that in all other respects Decision No. 31606, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

This order shall become effective on the date heroof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 194 day of
November, 1940.

Jacque Diener Standard Commissioners.

## HIGHWAY CARRIERS TARIFF NO. 2

It om No.	SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)		
	EXCEPTIONS TO WESTERN CLASSIFICATION AND EXCEPTION SHEET (Continued)		
300-A Cencols 300	*Except as otherwise provided, if two or more ratings are provided		
	for an article in the form in which it is shipped (o.g., set up or knocked down, nested or not nested, compressed or not compressed, folded flat or not folded flat), subject to different packing requirements, the lowest of such ratings will apply.		
310 8-7-39	Bovorages, malt, viz.: Alo, Boor, Boor Tonic, Portor, Stout, loss carload	Cless Reting	
320-B Concels 320-A	*Cannod Goods and Other Articles as described in and subject to the provisions of Item No. 610 series, loss carload	90% of 4	
330-A Concols 330	Carriers (used packages), second-hand, empty, as des- cribed in and subject to the provisions of Item No.*300 series of the Exception Shoot:	(l) One-hali	
	(1)Subject to minimum rate of 15 cents per 100 pounds or actual 4th class rate, whichever is lower.		
	Carload: Minimum woight 12,000 pounds  Minimum woight 30,000 pounds  (2)Not to excood loss than carload rate.	(2) B (2) E	
340 8-7-39	Flowers, fresh, cut, less carload	1	
350 8 <del>-</del> 7 <b>-</b> 39	Fruit, dried, including Raisins, Prunes (dried), Figs (dried), and Fig Pulp or Fig Paste, less carled	90% of 4	
#Cn2n	go, Docision No.		
	EFFECTIVE DECEM	BER 1, 1940	
Correcti	Issued by The Railroad Commission of the State of on No. 160 San Francisco,		

Item No.	SECTION NO. 3	COMMODITY RATES (Continued)	
	(Applies in connection with rates or ratings making specific reference hereto.)		
	**Canned Goods and Other Articles, in carthenware, glass or metal cans in boxes, or in bulk in barrels, except as otherwise provided (see Note 1), not subject to Item No. 300 series, viz.:		
610-E Cancels 610-D	l), not subject to Item No. 300 seri Beans and Pork, Breads, Brine, Broths, Butter, fruit, Butter, peanut (peanut paste), Buttermilk (not Casein), not powdered or flaked,  Catsup, Chili, ground, Chowders, Cocoanut, propared, in pack- ages named, or in bulk in boxes, or in double bags, or in multiple-wall paper bags, Corn,		
	Garlic Powder, in packages named, or in bulk in boxes, or in double bage, or in multiple-wall paper bage,	out choose, meat or vegetable ingredients, Rico-and-milk cooked,	
	Hominy, Honoy, in bulk in metal cans,	Sandwich Sproad, Saucos, Condiments or Relishes, propered, Sausezo,	
	Jam, Jolly,	Sousage Cosings, picklod or solted, in bulk in borrels,	

Juico, clam,
Juico (not syrup) fruit, unformontod,
Juico, tomato,
Juico, vegetable,

Macaroni (prepared), with or without cheese, meet or vegetable ingredients,

Meats, cooked, cured or preserved, with or without coreal or vegetable ingredients, in packages ramed, or in bulk in crates or boxes,

Milk (condensed or evaporated),

liquid,

Soups,
Spechotti (propared), with or
without choose, meat or
vegetable ingredients,
Syrups,

Vegetables (not dehydrated, dried, evaporated nor fresh),
Vermicelli (prepared), with or without cheese, meat or vegetable ingredients,
Vinegar,

Wolsh Rarobit.

NOTE 1.-Rates or ratings applicable to shipments in boxes will apply also to such shipments in floreboard boxes in metal strapped bundles or crates.

Rates or ratings applicable to shipments in barrels will apply also to such shipments in hogsheads, pipes, puncheons, tierces, casks, drums, helf-barrels, quarter-barrels, sixth-barrels, eighth-barrels or kegs.

\* Reduction, \*Increase, Decision No.

EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 1, 1940

Issued by The Railroad Commission of the State of California, Correction No. 161 San Francisco, California.