Decision No 35280

ZERORE THE RAILAOAD COMASSION OF TEE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Natter of the Establishment of maximum or minimum, on maximum and minimum rates, rules and regulations of all comon carriers as defined in the Public Utinities Act of the State of California, as

Case No. 4246 amended, and all highway carriers as defined in Chapter 223 , Statutes of 2935, as amended, for the transportation, for compensation or hire, of any and ail commodities.

In the uatier of the Establishment of maximim or minimu, or meximum and minimum rates, runes and regilations of all carniens as defined in the City Carriers' act of the State of Calisomia (Statutes of 1935, Chapter 312, as amended) for Case Xo. 4434 the trancportation ove the pubilc highways within any city or city and county in the State of California, for compensation or hire, of any and ail comodities.

EY REE COMMSSION:

Addicional ingeazances

Earold J. Ziaine, for Jníed Van \& Storage Association, Inc. and Caiffornia Van $\alpha$ Storage Association.
J. T. Barkex, for Jnited Van a Storage Association, Ine. and San Francisco Lovers, inc.
Eenry Kearney, For Cailiomia Kovers Association and Ieague of Eighway Carriess.
A. E. Patton and $W$. O. Narry, for Richificd $0 \leq 1$ Corporation and Rio Grande 011, Inc.
7. E. Paul, for Union 011 Company of California.

Robert N. Xikenzie and E. L. Dougan for Van and Storage Drivers Union (Iocai 389, International 3rotherhood on Teamsters).

## SUSPLEMMAL OPINION

Kinimum ratec, miles and reguiationd for tise tranaportation of used houschold goods and related aruicies oy city and bighway carricas havo beon establssicc by Decision No. 32629 of December 27, 1930, as amondec, In these proccedings; They havo been incomporatod in City Camiers' Tamif No. 3 - Eighway Canners Tarifi No. 4 (Appondix "A" 2 a zaid Decision No. 32629). By petition, United Van z Storage Association, Ince, The rack and Warehouse issociation ois San Dicgo and Imperial Counties and Califomitu Van o Storage denociation urge that the prescribed "local moviry" rates, except tinose applicable to trancporvation from, to or between points situated in an arco doscribod as "Tenritory 'A'," De substantialqy increascia' At petí£oners' request, however, cviconce recedved at tine initial public hearíng, had at Los Arzolos beforo Examincr Tulstew, was confinet to that melating to rates for the Los Angeles and San Diego metropolitan areas, for Omange County, and for Los Angeles County outside of the metropolitan area. 3

Petitioners are chieñy concerned with rosidcnce-to-iecf= dence moving under hourly ratos, particuaraly such operatione
I. The proporty for which rates havo boen so ostablished consiate of ucc household or persoral effects, such as clothirg, fimiture, furnishinge, zadios, muscel instrimente, tovos and meinigemators; and usec oiffce and store sixturce and equipment. auch as furmituro, fumishinge and otho appurterances.

2 "Tocal movirs" is tranoportation wtinin ar incomporated city, winin definod metropolitan areas, and othcr transportation for distarcos not in excose of 30 miles. The anoa doziznatod as Texritory "A" is compriscd of the City and County of San Frarcisco, the Counticz of Aiameda and San Matoo, and the Citien of Palo AIto and Richmond. Increasod houmiy ratos for "local moving" in that asca were proserifocd in thesc proceodings, effective Aupust 1, 2941, (43 C.R.C. 583).

3
Tho motropolitan arcas aro not confinci to torritomy wethis tino corporatc lifits of tos Angcice and San Diogo. Tho oxtent to which thoso aroas include tornttory contiguous to tho oituos is shom in subparagnaphs (i) and (g) of Itoms Nos. 180-A and 182-A of tio tanief.

With vehicies whici have a loading area of 70 squaro feetor greater. The minimum rates established for this class of service, they contend, are far below the level necessary to retum reasonable operating costs and to permit maintenance of adecuate and dependabie service. In support of these contentions, petitioners submitied studies showing estimated average costs for the inansportation in question. Estimates of the cost of this transportation were also Submitted by a senior engineer from the Commssion's transportation Cepartment. The minimum rates now in effect and the costs disclosed by these studies are shown below:

| Equ1pment <br> EVaving $A$ Losidng Aree of 70 Square Feet O. Grenter |  |  | coses |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Metro-pointaLos AnciesSan Diero | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tos Angeles } \\ \text { Ana } \\ \text { Counge } \\ \text { Corritoyy } \end{gathered}$ | $p \in$ 淮tioners' | Engineer's |  |
|  |  |  |  | Class I | Class II |
| Equipment, desiver and helpe: | \$3.50 | \$3.15 | \$4.2630 | \$3.9021 | \$4.0583 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Equppment } \\ & \text { and driver } \\ & \text { ony } \end{aligned}$ | \$2.70 | \$2.50 | \$3.3579 | \$2.6892 | \$2.7849 |

The engineer's ciassification of the carriers was made according to the method used to determine wages for the men employed. Ee assigned to "Class In carmiers who pay their.men for the revenue hours involved in the jobs for which they aue engaged and to "Class II" carriers who pay their men for the actual time they are employed regarcless of the number of revenue hours. Petitioners and the engineer agsee that the type of service which individual carricus render varies midely because of differences in the requirements of their patrons and differences in the equipment operated. petitioners claim, however, that minimum househole goods rates must produce earnings sufilcient to =eturn the average costs of all classes of cormiers if service satisfactory to the mofority of the shippers
is to be continued, and that ciassification of the carriers for the purpose of estimating costs would, therefore, be of iftile or $=0$ value in devermining proper rates.

The studies follow the zame plan of cost allocarion, cost factors being assigned to fixed, rinning, labor and orexhead expenses. Converted to an hourly basis, potitioners' estimate and the engineer's estimate for "Class I" carriers for fixed and running expenses are almost icentical but the latter's estimate for "Class II" carriers is materially higher. There are also important differences in the labor and overhead expense estimates. The final results as hereinbefore show range uppard from the engineer's estimate of $\$ 3.90$ per hour for "Class I" carriexs to the petitioners' estimate of $\$ 4.16$ for ail types of carmiers. These cetimates were based upon studies confined to operations in the metropolitan Los Angeles area. However, representatives of carELers performing service in tie metropolitan San Diego area and in Los Angeles and Orange Counties outside of the metropozitan jos Angeles area testifiled that they had compared the costs of perionming service in those areas with that shown in petioioners' stucky and that although there are some variations in indivicual cose factors, the final results are approximavely the same.

According to petitioners, the hourly zates for equipment of the size corered by the cost studies when the services of two men are engaged must be increased to at least $\$ 4.16$ to anrest the

[^0]present trend $\quad$ vowards lovered standards of service and to discounage discriminatory practices attributable to the insufficiency of earnings under the present rate structure. Specific proposals were not made with respect to the hourly rates for equipment which has a loading area of less than 70 square feet, for moving operations fon which oniy the driver's services are engaged or for the services of additional helpers. Likewise, proposals were not made With respect to the rates in cents per 100 pounds and per article for designated transportation and accessoriai services.

Petitioners claim that in the termitory in question here there is keen competition among the for-hire carriers of household goods. As a resuit of this competition the minimum rates assertediy must generally be charged by a majority of the carriers. Under conditions such as these, cauricrs are said to be "prone to charge part of the public all the trafific will bear" and "the chiseling eiement of the public" is said to benefit by being abie to barter "for a cheaper price against the price already cuoted." Low minimum rates, it is anguec, fail to provect shippers who do not cuesion the rates quoted and have no effect upor competition with truck rental agencies. In regard to this type of competition yetitioners urge that the people who patronize and are satisfied with the so-cailed "U-Drive" method of moving will use that method regardiess of the for-hire carrier moving rates.

Because of the asserted impracticabinity of securing rates higher than the minimu rates for any appreciable amount of tracizc, minimum rates are said to be "going" rates and as such, it is contended, they should not be so low that superior equipment cannot be masmtained and expedited service rendered thereunder. Oniy the "chiseling public" and the "chiseling operator" are saic to be opposed to increased rates. petitioners claim, moreover,
that the proposed rates are not higher than necessary for orainaty moving operations.

In support of petitioners' contentions several carrier Witnesses testified thet thesr expenses were greater than the revenues procuced by the minimu rates and that they had found it virtialiy impossible to secune rates higher than the minimin rates. Two of these witnesses, propifetors of relatively small moving concerns, said that the retums from their businesses were insufficiont to provice reasonable sums of money for ordinary livine expenses. Another witness, formeriy a carrier of the same type, said that he had discontinued his operations because of the unsatiffactory camings under the present rate structure. According to one of these "intnesses, it may be possible for the "major" howsehold goods movers to secure hagher than the prescribed minumurates, but it is not. possible for other and smailer carriets. According to another on these witnesses, the one who discontinued his business, he charged zore than the minimum rate minen not "pinned down" but practicaily a.2 of his customess had known about the availability of the services of other carriers at the minimum rates anc, therefore, no $1=$ portant amount of adcitional revonue had been secured.

A group of carmiers belonging to the Cansfonna Movers Association opposes the establishment of any increase in the present rates. The spokesman for this group testinied that it is composed Of carriers who drive their own trucks and whose wives take care of the office work. These carniers, the witness said, employ casual workers as heipers where help is necessary to move heavy and bungy pieces. By operating in this manner, the winess clained, carriezs of this type are able to earn their inving. They hive no chance, he said, to get higher rates than the present minimim rates for the service they porform.

Officials of the International Brotheriood of Teameters, representing drivers and helpers empioyed by the carriers, urge that the empioyment of skilied men is necessary to provide adequate service for the pubile. The wages paid such men, they assert, should exceed those paid men having no skined trade. The drivers' and helpers' wages, they also assert, should reflect increased living costs and be calculated on the basts of the time the men are engaged by the carrier, not on the basis of the carnier's revenue hours.

Aside from the grout of carriers arfiliated with the California Movers Association, no one opyosed the establishment of increased rates for the transportation in question.

From the evicence of record, it appears that the prescribed minimum hourly rates for the transportation in question are insurficient under prevailing conditions. Fowever, increases greater than 50 cents per hour for equipment having a loading area of over 70 square feet, driver and helper, 30 cente per hour for ecuspment of that size and driver, 45 cemts per hour for smalier ecuipment, driver and helper, 25 cents per hour for the smalier equipment and driver, and 25 cents per man per hour for additional helpers have not been shown to be justified.

Upon consideration of all the evidence of recond, we are of the opinion and find that City Carriers' Tariff No. 3- Fighway Carmiers' Iarifi No. 4 (Appencix "غ" of Decision No. 3262e, supra, as amended), should be amended as shown in the revised pages aitached to and made a part of the orcer herein, that highway comor carriers now required to maintain rates no lower than those named in the aforesaid tarife should be required to increase their ratcs to the minimum rate level found justified herein, and that in all other respects saic Decision No. 32629 should remain in full force and exfect.

## OZ DE

Based upon the evidence of record and upon the conclusions and findings set forth in the preceding opinion,

IT IS HERESY ORDERED that City Carriers' Tariff No. 3 Highway Carriers" Tariff No. 4 (Appendix "A" of Decision No. 32629, as amended, in these proceedings), be and it is hereby amended by substituting therein, to become effective lay 20,1942 , the revised pages attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof, which pages are number as follows:

Second Revised Page 15 Cancels Fist Revised Page 15
Second Revised Page If Cancels First Revised Page 18
IT IS EEREBY FTRTEER ORDEPED that the tariff publications to be made by common carriers as a result of the amendment herein of the aforesaid City Carriers' Tariff No. 3 - Eifinway Carriers Tariff No. 4 (Appendix "A." to Decision No. 32629, as amended), shall be made on or before Nay 20 , 2g42, on not less than three (3) days' notice to the Commission and to the public.

IT IS GEPESY FUREFER ORDERED that in all other respects said Decision No. 32529, as amended, shan remain in full force and effect.

This order shall become effective twenty (20) days from the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this hah day of April, 1942.


Commissioners

Socone Revised pacic..... 15
Cancele
Finst Rerised Phze...... 15
CITY CARRTERS' AARIFF NO. 3
HTGANAV CARRTERS: TAFTFE NO. 4


## TERRITORENL DESCRTMIIONE <br> 

The follorime temitomin, metropolitan arca and zroup deceription appiv in connection with rates, mios and rogunationc rajkice reference hoscto. These description incivie both sides of strects, boulevarde,
 bounciary lino intoresets the ismite 0 a an incomperated city, tho bourd-

 Iinc in unincompratod tematory, aI points zot more than 500 fect be-

(a) TERETIORV "ג" COMsictit of tino Caty and Cotuty of Sam Frameseco,
 Richmone.
(b) TERRTIORY UB" conetete of tio countice of Ioc incolos, Monn and Orange; نotropolitam Sar Dicgo, Sacramonto, San Bemaresno, Say Jose and Santa Berbona croup as deveribod in Itow Nos. 120 and 101 serice; atc tive citice of lajcrsificid, Frosno and Stociton.
(c) TERRTORY "EH conaiets of ain tcrritom not deceribodin paregraphs (a) and (b) 2orcos.
(a) San Francisco Croup (Miacgo Zoint: 10th \& Markot Stracte, San Francieco) coninate of tio citios of $\operatorname{San}$ Francisco, South San Francizco, Daig City
 coniste of the citios of 0aicione, jiamodn, Emerysinc, Plodmont, Borlicioj", Ilibary, EI Ccruito, Ṙchmond anc San Iondro.
(土) Kotropolitan


Bogrnoing at tho intorsoction of the Ioc ingolos-Orange Covaty
 const line to ats intcreoction irith tho grojoction southeriy of tho jino of Topanow Canyou Rodi; thenco mortheriy along sold projoction,
 Stroct; thomec oattoris alone Joto:ighro Stroot to Seprivode Boviovard; thence northeris and nombunsterlir alomé Scpulvode Roulcvard

 me and pacosin canjon Rosed to tinc southoniy boudiny of the diccios
 ingoice National Forcet to the San cabmel Forcet \#̈jemey; tincreo
 way T.S. 60; thesce тesteriyr and southroetcriy elome Bighroy U.S. 60,

 J.S. 201; thenco southoustemir aiont itigiray T.S. 201 to tho Ios ingelos-Orango County ifno; thenco southorly, ;estomiy axd southrost-


[^1]Friecine wiv 20, 2942




[^0]:    For these expenses petitioners' study shows a cost of $\$ 0.7901$, the engineer's $\$ 0.7914$ for "Ciass I" and $\$ 0.9194$ fo: "Class II" carniers.

    5
    Labor cost per hour (eriver and helper) is estimated as amounting to \$1.7077 by petitioners and as \$2. 5784 and $\$ 1.9058$ for "Class I and II" carriers, respectively, by the engineer. Overhead expense per hour is estimated at $\$ 1.5652$ by petitioners and $\$ 1.5323$ and \$1.2331, respectiveiy, for "Class I and IT" carriers by the engíneer.

[^1]:    "Incrocso, Decision No.
    35266

