Decision No. 36568

BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of A. R. READER and PHIL READER, individuals doing business under the fictitious firm name of READER TRANSPORTATION SERVICE, of Los Angeles, California, for an order authorizing the extension of highway common cartier service between Los Angeles Harbor points on the one hand and Long Beach on the other hand, and for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of general commodities between Los Angeles Harbor points on the one hand and Long Beach on the other hand via various routes.

ORIGINAL

Application No. 22693

BY THE COMMISSION:

OPINION

By their application in this proceeding, filed April 13, 1939, applicants A. R. Reader and Phil Reader, co-partners doing business as Reader Transportation Service, sought a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the extension of the service which they conducted as a highway common carrier, so as to permit the transportation of general commodities (with certain exceptions) between Los Angeles Harbor points, on the one hand, and the City of Long Beach, on the other hand, serving all points and places in those areas. Previously applicant was authorized to operate as a highway common carrier between the City of Los Angeles, on the one hand, and points and places in the Los Angeles Harbor area, on the other hand. This matter was set for hearing on June 8, 1939 at Los Angeles but was dropped from the calendar at applicants' request. The issues involved in this proceeding, they pointed out, could not satisfactorily be determined until the disposition of Case No. 4412, then pending, which involved a complaint brought

by Pacific Freight Lines, and others, against the applicants herein. That proceeding having been ultimately determined in applicants' favor (Decision No. 33843, dated January 28, 1941), applicants now request that this matter be dismissed, without prejudice to the filing of an application to reopen the proceeding. Such accordingly will be the order.

ORDER

Applicants having requested dismissal of the aboveentitled application; and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED as follows:

That the above-entitled proceeding be and it hereby is dismissed without prejudice.

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 24 4