Decision No. 37.350
BEFORE THE RAILROAD COMITSSION OF TIE SMATE OF CALIFORMIA.

In the Natter of the Appication of
VALIEY MOTOR LINES, INC., for a certificate of pubiic convenience and necessity to operate as a high-) way common carrier between tanteca, California, and Avon, Califormia, and intermediate points, via Tracy, as an extension and erlargement of certain of its existing raghts.

EX TEE COMASSION:

Application No. 26214

## ORINION

In this proceeding, Valley Xotor Lines, Inc., a highway common carrier (referred to hereafter as Valley), seeks a certificate of public convenience and necessity, under Section 50-3/4, Public Jtilities Act, authorizing the extension of its operations between Avon and itanteca, via port Chicago, Pittsburg, Antioci, Oakley, Srentwood, Byron, Bethany and Tracy; also serving Banta over an alternate route connecting with U. S. Highway No. 50. Service would be accorded ali intermediate points, excepting oakley. No uncrated household goods, as defined in the current Fighway Carriers' and City Carriers' tariffs woule be transported. The restrictions imposed by Decision No. 35309 upon traffic moving to and Erom Antioch, Pittsburg, Port Chicago and Avon, would remain in effect.

Under certificates previousiy granted, Valley is authorized to conduct a highway common carrier service between Avon and Manteca via Antioch, Wainut Grove, Lodi and Stockton - a circuitous route substantialily longer than that over which it now proposes to operate; By Decision No. 33687 , rendered December 3, 1940, in

Application No. 23787, Valley was authorized to acquire from Beverly Gibson an operative right between Sacramento and Rio Vista and intermediate points, via Eood, WaInut Grove, Ryde and Isleton. By Decision No. 35309, rendered Nay 5, 1942, in Application No. 24547, this operation was extended from Rio Vista to. Avon and intermediate points inciuding Antioch, Pittsburg and Port Chicago, subject to certain restrictions, set forth in the margin, respecting (1) the commodities transported and the termitory served. In the meantime, Valley accuired from Overland Transfer Company an operative right between Stockton and Lodi and intermediate points, on the one hand, and Thornton, Walnut Grove, Fyde, Grand Island, Isleton and Rio Vista, on the other band (Decision No. 33780, dated Jamuary 3, 1941, in Application No. 23655). No traffic, however, could be carried between Tainut Grove and Rio Vista and intermediatc points; nor did the decision authorize the consolidation of the operative right acquired with Valley's existing operations.
(1) Decision No. 35309 provided that:
(a) The service authorized excluded the transportation of uncrated household goods and office and store fixtures, as defined in Item 40(a) of Cfty Carriers Tariff NO. 3, and Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 4, being Appondix A to Decision No. 32325 , when uncrated as defincd in Item $12(P)$ thereor.
(b) No traffic other than that orieinating at or destincd to points "...beyond and south of stockton or san Francisco..." could be transported.
(c) Valley could transport no traffic "...moving between Stockton or Sacramento, or at any point intermediate betweon Stockton or Sacramento and Antioch, excluding Antioch, on the one hand, and Antioch, pittsburg, Port Chicago and Avon, and ail intermediate points between. Antioch and Avon, Californja, on the other hand." Nor could valley "...transport property movins between points north or east of Sacramento, on the one hame, and points between Rio Vista and Avon, including Avon, on the other hand."

Valley serves both San Joaquin Valley and Sacramento Valley territory. It operates between San Francisco and East Bay, and other points including Livermore, on the one hand, and San Joaquin Valley points inciuding Manteca, on the other hand; between Oakland and San Jose and certain intermediate points; and between Sacramento, Stocikton and French Camp, on the one hand and San Joaquin Valley points, on the other hand, (subject to certain restrictions) via both Nodesto and Vernalis. In addition, its operations extend north of Sacramento to Chico; and South of San (6) Francisco to San Jose. However, Valley may not now serve either (7) Tracy or Banta.

In effect, Valley seeks an extension of its operations between Manteca and the junction of State Highways Nos. 4 and 24, situated approximately three miles west of Antioch and 2.5 miles east of Dakiey; including also the right to serve Banta over an alternate route. To serve the termitory between the function point described and Avon, no additional operative authority is required, since Valley already possesses such an operative right. The
(2) Decision No. 23949, dated August 17, 1931, in Application No. 16176; Decision No. 33749, dated December 21, 1940, in Application No. 23816; Decision No. 35252, dated April 14, 1942, in Application No. 24783.
(3) Decision No. 33749, supra.
(4) Decision No. 27898, dated April 22, 1935, in Application No. 18237.
(5) Decision No. 35610, dated July 28, 1942, in Applications Nos. 23687 and 23797.
(6) Decision No. 34349, dated June 24, 2941, in Application No. 24194.
(7) Under authority granted by the Interstate Comerce Comission, Valley may serve Iracy in its interstate operations.
restrictions affecting Valley's operations between the points last mentioned will not be desturbed.

The Commission has been advised by the carriers now serving this territory, comprising Southern Pacific Company, Pacific Motor Trucking Company, Sacramento Northern Railway, The River Iines and Railwy Express Agency, that they have no objection to the granting of the certificatc winch Valley secks. Eowever, Sacramento Northern Railway has conditioned its consent upon the imposition of territorial restrictions similar to those prescribed by Decision No. 35309 , supra. As stated, those restrictions mould still be effective as to the points specified by that decision. Morever, Sacramento Northern Raslway is not affected by the movement of traffic between Manteca and the highway function mentioned; and consequentiy, it is not interestod in the extension of these restrictions to that territory.

Through the establishment of this operation, applicant alleges, its service founh be extended to Brentwood, Bron, Bethany, Banta and Tracy. Of these points the larecst is Tracy which applicant now sorves in its intorstato operations. Intrastatc traffic destined to Tracy must now be turned over to Southern Pacific Company for delivory. A public necd oxelsts for tio establishment of the proposed service and, accordingly, applicant will be euthorized to extend its operations to these points. Eomever; in accordance with the application, a restriction will be fmposed excluding the right to transport uncrated household goods and office and store fixtures.

Valley Notor Ines, Inc. is hereby placed upon notice that operative rights, as such, do not constitutc a class of property
which may be capitalized or used as an element of value in ratefixing for any amount of money in excess of that originaliy paid to the State as the consideration for the grant of such rights. Aside from their purely permssive aspect, they extend to the holder a full or partial monopoly of a class of business over a particular route. This monopoiy feature nay be changed or destroyed at any time by the state, which is not in any respect ifmited to the number of rights which may be given.

## QRDER

Application having been made as above entitied; and the Commission now finding that public conventence and necessity so require,

IT IS CRDERED as follows:
(1) That a certificate of pubilc convenience and necessity be and it hereby is granted to Valley Lotor Lines, Inc., a corporation, authorizing the establisiment and operation of a service as a highway common carrice, as defined by Section 2-3/4, Public Utilities Act, betwecn the junction of California State Highways Nos. 4 and 24, at a point approximately three miles east of fintioch and 2.5 miles west of oakley, on the one hand, and Kanteca, on the other hand, via Oakley, Erentrood, Byron, Eethany and Tracy, including the right to serve all intermediate points excepting Oakiey; and also authorizing operation as such highway common carrier via Banta over the highway connecting with U. S. Eighway No. 50 at a point approximately five miles cast of Tracy
and again connceting with saic highray at a point apyroximately 3.4 miles wost of Tracy.

Such authority is grantod subject to the limitation that applicant shali not transport between said points, or any of them, uncrated houschold goods, office and store fixturcs, as defined in Item 40(a) of Cfity Carriers' Tariff No. 3 and Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 4., beine Appendix A of Decision No. 32629, when uncrated, as defined in Item $11(P)$ thercof.
(2) That in providing service pursuant to the cortificate herein granted, the following service regulations shall be complied with:

1. Applicant shall file a written acceptance of the certificate herein granted within a period of not to exceed thirty (30) days from the effective date hercof.
2. Applicant shall comply with the provisions of General Order no. 80 and Part IV of General Order No. 93-A by filine, in triplicate, and concurrently making effective, appropriate tariffs and time schedules within sixty ( 60 ) days from the effective datc hercof and on not less than one (1) day's notice to the comnission and the public.
3. Subject to the authority of the Commission to change or modify them by further order, applicant shall conduct operations, pursuant to the certificate herein granted, over and along the following routes:
(a) From the junction of Califorisa State zighways Mos. 4 and 24, approximately three miles east of fintioch, to the junction of California State Fighway No. 4 with an unnumbered county road approximately 1.4 miles north of Byron; thence over the last nemed highway via Eyron and Dethany to its junction with U. S. Highway No. 50 at a point approximately 2.5 miles south of Sethany; thence via U. S. Highray No. 50 to its junction with California State Fighway No. 120 approximately three miles west of Manteca; thence Via California State Fighway No. 120 to Nanteca.
(b) Via Bant over the route hereinabove described.

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.


