स्कार Decision No. 38241 PEFORE THE RAILHOAD CONTISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA In the Matter of the Application of CALIFORNIA ELECTRIC POWER COMPANY, ORIGINAL an electrical public utility corporation, for Authorization to carry out the terms of a Special Written Agree-Application No. 26869 ment for the Sale and Purchase of Electric Energy, Power and Service, executed by and between California Electric Power Company and HANFORD FOUNDRY COMPANY, a California corpora-) tion, under Section 17, Subdivision (b), and Section 19 of the Public Utilities Act of the State of California. BY THE COMISSION: <u>O R D E R</u> California Electric Power Company submits this application and requests an Order of the Commission under Section 17(b) and 19 of the Public Utilities Act, authorizing the execution of a contract with the Hanford Foundry Company of San Bernardino dated August 31, 1945. The contract contains the terms and conditions under which the utility will render electric service to this customer. Electric service has heretofore been rendered under Applicant's rate Schedule P. Rate Zone C. The utility points out that the rate level of that schedule is higher than that of rate Schedule PCM-1. Rate Zone B, of the Southern California Edison Company Ltd., which latter schedule, likewise available in parts of San Bernardino, would be applicable to this customer were it served by the Edison Company. It is alleged that the contract herewith submitted will accord to this customer rates comparable to those contained in the Edison Company's schedule. Comparison of the terms of the contract, with the _1provisions of Edison's Schedule PCM-1 reveals that the rates, minimum charges, connected load, billing horsepower, maximum, off-peak and fluctuating demand provisions are substantially identical with the aforementioned schedule.

The contract further specifies the point and voltage of delivery, method of combining meter readings, restriction of the use of energy to consumers' premises, and protective and jurisdictional clauses. The agreement is for an initial period of three years and for successive periods of three years until cancelled by either party on thirty days' written notice prior to the end of any such three-year period. The Company justifies the contract on the basis that the reduction in rates amounting to from 10% to 12% is necessary in order to retain the business of this customer. Customer ownership of the power service transformer bank permitting delivery of energy at 33,000 volts and the definite period of assured business specified by the term of the contract are subsidiary reasons advanced in justification of the contract.

The application having been considered, the Commission being of the opinion that it should be granted, and that a public hearing is unnecessary, therefore

accordance with the provisions of Section 17(b) and 19 of the Public Utilities Act, be and it is hereby authorized to carry out the terms and conditions of that certain contract, dated August 31, 1945, with the Hanford Foundry Company, submitted as Exhibit "A" in this application, to render the service and to charge and collect the rates specified therein, and to make the terms of the contract effective as of the date of the contract, August 31, 1945.

The effective date of this Order shall be the date hereof,.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this ______day of

gleute, 1945.

Justing + Geline

Commissioners.