Decision No. 40516

ORIGINAL BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Establishment of just, reasonable and nondiscriminatory maximum or minimum or maximum and minimum rates, rules, classifications and regulations for the transportation of property for compensation or hire over the public highways of the City of Los Angeles.

Case No. 4121

Arlo D. Poe, for Motor Truck Association of Southern California.

George T. Hurst, Joe Araiza, John D. Hunt, G. D. Lambert,
P. W. Davis, Franklin L. Ynox, Jr., Edgar S. Stanley,
R. B. Meyers, Ellis T. Longnecker, A. D. Paxton,
and E. J. Hunter, for various carriers.

C. G. Munson, for Los Angeles Warchousemen's Association.

W. G. O'Barr, for Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce.

L. Russell, Thomas W. Dench, F. A. Lincoln, Ray Ristrom, John F. Kirkman and B. F. Bolling, for various shippers and organizations.

(Appearances shown above are those entered in the instant phase of this proceeding. For earlier appearances, see previous decisions in this case.)

OPINION

By prior orders in this proceeding the Commission established minimum rates, rules and regulations governing the transportation of property by for-hire carriers within a portion of Los Angeles County, hereinafter referred to as the "drayage area." The present rates are set forth in City Carriers' Tariff No. 4, Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 5, which, for convenience, will be referred to as the "drayage tariff."

Minimum rates were first established in this proceeding effective May 1, 1938, and have been amended from time to time. The latest revisions became effective on January 1, 1947, at which time

The drayage tariff is Appendix "A" of Decision No. 32504 of October 24, 1939 (42 CRC 239), as amended.

the rates and charges were increased substantially in recognition of increased wages and fuel costs then prevailing. The Motor Truck Association of Southern California, hereinafter called "the Association," now asks that the rates be raised further in order to reflect additional increases in certain operating costs of the motor carriors.

Public hearing on the Association's petition was held before Examiner Bryant at Los Angeles on June 19, 1947. The matter is ready for decision.

The record shows that filing of the petition was motivated primarily by a substantial increase in the wages of drivers, helpers, and other employees, effective June 1, 1947, resulting from an arbitration award of the National Trucking Commission. Petitioner alleges that the wage increases, coupled with recent advances in insurance and fuel costs, will cause serious financial loss to carriers operating within the drayage area unless the minimum rates are increased immediately by sufficient volume to offset such increased costs.

The evidence offered in support of the petition is similar in many respects to that upon which the rate adjustment of January 1 was based. A consulting transportation engineer employed by the Association testified that carriers serving the drayage area perform services both within and without the drayage boundaries, and maintain no adequate segregation of revenues and expenses from which it would be possible to determine the financial results of the drayage operations alone. For this reason he did not undertake to measure the effects of the increased operating costs through a revenue—analysis method.

² See Decision No. 39847 of Movember 20, 1946 (47 Cal. P.U.C. 4).

Instead, he submitted an exhibit in which he brought up to date in certain respects a comprehensive cost study which he had introduced in an earlier phase of this proceeding, and upon which the general drayage rate adjustment of June, 1942, was based. The original study had been supplemented at a hearing in September, 1946, to reflect wages and fuel costs then current. The latest supplementary exhibit made further adjustments in the original study to reflect the most recent increases in wages, an advance of one cent a gallon in the cost of gasoline, and an increase of 65 per cent in the cost of insurance for public liability and property damage. The witness explained that insurance rates were not uniform, but that in his judgment, based upon analysis of many policies, 65 per cent was a minimum figure to reflect the average cost increase since his original study was prepared.

The consulting engineer testified that many other items of carrier expense had increased also, but were not adjusted in his exhibit for the reason that they were not readily susceptible of exact measurement. He did not believe that any item of cost was below the 1941 level as developed in his original study. He declared that the new wage contract necessitated an immediate revision of the rates in the drayage tariff, and that, in the interest of expediting consideration, it had been his purpose to include in his presentation only the three items of increased cost as to which he believed there could be no dispute, argument, or question. This form of presentation, he said, did not purport to produce a current study of the cost of transporting property within the Los Angeles drayage area. He insisted that it did, however, show beyond question the minimum percentages by which the cost of performing the various transportation services had increased since the rates were last revised.

According to the engineer's exhibit, the increases in wages, gasoline prices, and insurance costs, with resulting percentage adjustment of overhead expenses, had the effect of raising the total costs of performing the various transportation services by amounts ranging from about 10 to 16 per cent. He recommended specific upward revision of various rates and charges in the drayage tariff, varying according to the service performed, but generally designed to reflect the particular cost increases thus developed. More specifically; he asked that class rates, the principal commodity rates, and vehicle-unit rates, be increased by the percentages developed in his cost study; that charges for split delivery, segregation of pool cars, and handling under rail switching rates, be increased by 15 per cent; that the man-hour charge for accessorial services be increased from \$1.65 to \$2.00; that minimum per-shipment charges be increased from 43 cents to 50 cents, and from 61 cents to 75 cents; that charges for handling "C.C.D." shipments be increased about 12 per cent, except that a lower charge now maintained for making C.O.D. collections on shipments of less than 100 pounds be retained for carriers specializing in the handling of small packages; that a certain commodity rate on packages be increased from 9 cents per package, plus one-half cent for each pound, to 14 cents per package, plus one cent for each pound; and that certain rates based on the number of units shipped per calendar month be increased by 60 percent.

Other carrier witnesses testified regarding the latter three items, i.e., C.O.D. charges, package rates, and monthly-unit

The rate proposals are set forth in Exhibit No. 154. They were based upon his cost study except that (1) the C.O.D. proposal was designed to equalize charges within and without the drayage area, and (2) the "package rate" proposal was designed to secure uniformity with the published rates of certain package carriers.

rates. Regarding C.O.D. charges, it was stated that the present charge of 10 cents for each \$100 on shipments weighing less than 100 pounds, although possibly subnormal under present conditions, should be retained for "package" carriers pending further study. was suggested that the desired restriction to "package" carriers might be accomplished by making this C.O.D. charge applicable only when the shipments were transported at the package rate next discussed. Two witnesses, representing carriers specializing in package delivery, testified that in their opinion, the rate of 14 cents per package plus one cent per pound, as proposed by the Association engineer, would be excessive as a minimum rate for local drayage, but that an increase to 14 cents per package plus onc-half cent per pound would be reasonable. They recommended that the "maximum" charge per package under this rate, which is 35 cents at present and which the Association asked be increased to 50 cents, be eliminated entirely. Referring to the special monthly-unit rates, a carrier specializing in local drayage under rates of this type testified that in his opinion the minimum rates had always been insufficient, and would now have to be increased about 70 per cent in order to produce the cost of operation plus a reasonable profit. He said that his company currently assessed monthly-unit rates from 55 per cent to 118 per cent above those provided in the drayage tariff. He did not know of any other carriers specializing in this type of service.

A transportation engineer of the Commission's staff introduced a statement designed to show the financial condition of eleven

The monthly-unit rates herein referred to (Item 410-A of the drayage tariff) have not been revised since January 2, 1940. The proposal of the engineer that they be increased by 50 per cent was supported by a separate cost exhibit.

representative trucking companies for the first four months of 1947, and the effect of the increased wages on these carriers. According to this statement, an average operating ratio of 93 per cent would be produced by an increase of 10.46 per cent in the revenue. However, no relationship was developed between the revenues and the minimum rates. Of the cleven carriers, only two were known to derive more than 50 per cent of their revenues from operations within the drayage area. Overtime wages were not considered.

Several shipper representatives appeared as protestants to the sought rate adjustment, participated in the examination of the carrier witnesses, and offered oral arguments and statements of position. Representatives of two substantial shippers declared that they had no objection to an increase being granted if it were based upon sound facts and a study of the operating costs and the revenue derived from the movement of traffic within the drayage area, but they were opposed to the granting of increases "on theories and formulas such as have been presented in this case." Another shipper witness supplied factual data concerning operating and cost experience of a carrier regularly engaged by his company, utilizing a tractor and semi-trailer at vehicle-unit rates. This witness stated that the present rates had been profitable to this carrier, that if the carrier required additional revenue it could be obtained without revision of the drayage tariff, that the minimum rates had already been increased in 1947, and that his company objected to a further

The representative of a manufacturer of roofing materials and other articles moved that the testimony of the Association engineer be stricken from the record, and that the proceeding be discontinued. He pointed out that the Commission had revised the minimum rates on January 1, 1947, and argued that carriers requiring higher rates at this time should file applications under Section 63 of the Public Utilities Act. The motions were denied by the examiner.

increase in the hourly, weekly, or monthly vehicle-unit rates. Another shipper witness testified that he was considerably concerned, lest his company should find it necessary, if the drayage rates were increased materially, to discontinue the services of several small truck operators who were engaged in serving his company almost exclusively. He said that the company was currently making a study of its transportation costs, with the intention of purchasing its own equipment should the rates reach the point at which proprietary operation would be more economical. The position of the Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce, as explained by its representative, was that it would not oppose the granting of necessary rate increases in amounts sufficient only to defray the extra cost resulting from recent wage adjustments. He stated, however, that there was considerable fear among Chamber members that if minimum rates were raised much higher the carriers would be "priced out of the market," making it necessary for shippers to start their own trucking operations.

Counsel for the Association, in closing argument, declared that the cost-study method used by the consulting engineer is one so completely free from uncertainties and assumptions that it is a much more sound approach than any that could be devised based upon revenues and operating expenses. He said that the possibility of being priced out of the market had given the trucking industry a great deal of concern. Nevertheless, he stated, the carriers were burdened with substantial increases in expenses which they had made every effort to avoid or minimize. He argued that the trucking industry must be preserved in the public interest; that this cannot be done except by giving the carriers sufficient revenues from the freight transported to defray all of the costs of transportation and leave some profit; and that if an increase in rates means that some freight is going to be diverted from for-hire to proprietary transportation,

that is one of the penalties that must be paid in order to preserve the industry.

Under the particular circumstances of this case we believe it would be unwise to hold that rate revision must await a study of revenue and expenses resulting from the movement of traffic within the drayage area. We see no sound basis for questioning that the exhibits of the consulting engineer for the Association disclose conservatively the amounts by which the cost of performing transportation services within the Los Angeles drayage area have increased, as a minimum, since the rates were last reviewed. Although his figures might well be revised in some respects if the purpose were to develop a completely current study, the evidence leaves little doubt that the net effect of such revisions would be to show an increase rather than a reduction in the amounts by which the costs have advanced. We believe that the increased costs shown on this record clearly require an increase in the minimum rates heretofore established. With relatively minor exceptions hereinafter noted, the tariff revisions proposed by the Association appear to be well supported by the evidence and fully justified. Except as indicated, the increases hereinafter established are approximately the same as those recommended by the Association engineer.

The charge of 10 cents per \$100 for collecting and remitting the amount of C.O.D. bills on shipments weighing less than 100 pounds will be retained without change. This is in accordance with the proposal, except that it was urged that the 10-cent charge be applied only by so-called "package" carriers. The record does not show satisfactorily how such a limitation may be established properly and equitably.

force and effect.

ORDER

An adjourned public hearing having been held in the above entitled proceedings, and based upon all of the evidence and upon the conclusions and findings contained in the preceding opinion.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that City Corriers' Toriff No. 4, Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 5 (Appendix "A" of Decision No. 32504, as amended, in this proceeding) be and it is hereby further amended by substituting for the corresponding pages now contained therein the revised pages attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof, to become effective August 3, 1947, which pages are numbered . as follows:

Third Revised Page 16 Cancels Second Revised Page 16 Second Revised Page 17 Cancels First Revised Page 18 Third Revised Page 18 Cancels Second Revised Page 20 Third Revised Page 20 Cancels Second Revised Page 20 Third Revised Page 26 Cancels Second Revised Page 26 Third Revised Page 28 Cancels Second Revised Page 28 Fifth Revised Page 29 Cancels Fourth Revised Page 29 Fifth Revised Page 31 Cancels Fourth Revised Page 31 Third Revised Page 32 Cancels Second Revised Page 32 Fourth Revised Page 35 Cancels Third Revised Page 35 Second Revised Page 35 Second Revised Page 39 Cancels First Revised Page 39 Third Revised Page 40 Cancels Second Revised Page 40 Third Revised Page 41 Cancels Second Revised Page 41 Third Revised Page 41 Cancels Second Revised Page 41

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that tariff publication required to be made by common carriers as a result of the amendments hereof of the aforesaid tariff shall be made effective August 3, 1947, on not less than three (3) days notice to the Commission and to the public.

IT IS HERREY FURTHER CROTTED that common carriers be and they are, and each of them is hereby authorized to depart from the provisions of Section 24(a) of the Public Utilities Act and of Article XII, Section 21 of the State Constitution, to the extent necessary to carry out the effect of the order herein.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that in all other respects the petition of The Motor Truck Association of Southern. California, referred to in the preceding opinion, be and it is hereby denied.

In all other respects said Decision No. 32504, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty (20) days from the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 8th day

of July, 1947.

Janes Flula Justes 7. Craenes Shaft Pamele Q. Domining

Commissioners

Third Revised Page....16
Cancels
Second Revised Page...16

CITY CARRIERS TARIFF NO. 4
HIGHWAY CARRIERS TARIFF NO.5

Item No.	SECTION NO.1-RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)
ŀ	APPLICATION OF RATES
100	Rates provided in this tariff are for the transportation of shipments, as defined in Items Nos. 10(1) and 11(j) series from point of origin to point of destination, and include loading into and unloading from the carrier's equipment, subject to Note 1.
	NOTE 1When shipment is picked up at or delivered to a point not at street level, and no vehicular elevator service or vehicular ramp is provided and made available to the carrier, an additional charge of 5 cents per 100 pounds, minimum additional charge 25 cents per shipment, shall be assessed for the service of handling shipment beyond carrier's equipment; except that no additional charge shall be made for this service in connection with shipments weighing less than 100 pounds.
	ACCESSORIAL CHARGES
*110-C Cancels 110-B	An additional charge at the rate of \$\$2.00 per man per hour, minimum charge 50 cents, shall be made for stacking, sorting or any other accessorial or incidental service which is not authorized to be performed under the rates named in this tariff and for which a charge is not otherwise provided.
	MINIMUM CHARGE
*100.0	Except as otherwise provided the minimum charge per shipment shall be as follows:
*120-C Cancels	Weight of shipment
120-1	Less than 100 pounds 050 cents
,	100 pounds and over 075 cents
	*Change) Decision No. 40516
· · ·	
	EFFECTIVE AUGUST 3, 1947
	Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California
Correcti	on No. 66 San Francisco, California.

Second Revised Page....17
Cancels
First Revised Page....17

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO.5

Item No.	SECTION NO. 1-RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)
	SPLIT DELIVERY
	The charge for a split delivery shipment, as defined in Item No. 11(j) series, shall be the charge applicable to the transportation of a single shipment of the same kind and quantity of property from point of origin to that point of destination of any component part which produces the highest charge, plus an added charge as provided in paragraph (1):
	(1) Table of added charges:
*130-B Cancels 130-A	Number of Deliveries Added Charge 2
•	(2) At time of tender of shipment carrier shall issue a single bill of lading or shipping document for the composite shipment, and be furnished with manifest or written delivery instructions showing the name of each consignee, the point of destination, and the kind and quantity of property in each component part.
	(3) In the event a lower aggregate charge results from treating one or more component parts as a separate shipment, such lower basis may be applied.
	ALTERNATIVE APPLICATION OF COMMON CARRIER RATES
140	Common carrier rates may be applied in lieu of the rates provided in this tariff, when such common carrier rates produce a lower aggregate charge for the same transportation between the same points of origin and destination, and for the same accessorial services, than results from the application of rates herein provided.
	* Change) Decision No. 40516) Increase)
	EFFECTIVE AUGUST 3, 1947
Corre	Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, ection No. 67 San Francisco, California.

Item No.	SECTION NO. 1-RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)
	COLLECT ON DELIVERY (C.O.D.) SHIPMENTS
	(a) In the handling of C.O.D. shipments carrier shall, promptly upon collection of any and all moneys, and in no event later than ten (10) days after delivery to the consignee, unless consignor, in writing, instructs otherwise, remit to consignor all moneys collected by it on such shipments. (b) The charges for collecting and remitting the amount of C.O.D. bills collected on C.O.D. shipments weighing less than 100 pounds shall be 10 cents for each \$100.00 or fraction thereof. (c) The charges for collecting and remitting the amount of C.O.D. bills collected on C.O.D. shipments weighing 100 pounds and over shall be as follows:
; i	When the amount collected is and remitting will be
*150-C Cancels 150-B	Not over \$2.50
	Over 950.00 not over 1000.00
	*Change) Decision No. 40516EFFECTIVE AUGUST 3, 1947 (VIncrease)
Corre	Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Item No.	SECTION NO. 1-RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)
*165-B Cancels 165-A	POOL CARS (a) For the service of unloading, segregating, or unloading and segregating property tendered to the carrier in pool cars, the following charges shall be made in addition to transportation charges: (1) Merchandise classified as per 100 pounds First Class
	 (c) Classification ratings shall be based upon the L.C.L. (less than carload) ratings in the Western Classification, Exception Sheet or this tariff. (d) Articles taking a rating higher than first class shall be computed upon the percentage of the first class rating, as set forth in the Western Classification, Exception Sheet or this tariff.
170	DELAYED DELIVERY OF SHIPMENTS (a) Where carrier cannot effect delivery upon arrival of shipment at point of destination, a free storage period of 48 hours from the first 7:00 A.M. thereafter may be allowed. After said free storage period, storage charges shall be assessed at not less than 12 cents per 100 pounds per day for each of the first five days and at not less than 3 cents per 100 pounds per day for the sixth and each succeeding day until such time as instructions regarding disposition of the shipment are received by the carrier. In computing time, Sundays and legal holidays shall be excluded. (b) Subsequent delivery of the property from point of storage shall constitute a new shipment.
180	DISPOSITION OF FRACTIONS In computing a rate based on a percentage of another rate, the following rule shall be observed in the disposition of fractions: Fractions of less than ½ or .50 of a cent, omit. Fractions of ½ or .50 of a cent or greater, increase to next whole figure.
Correc	* Change Decision No. 40516EFFECTIVE AUGUST 3, 1947 V Increase) Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Third Revised Fage...26 Cancels Second Revised Page...26 CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 5

Item No.	SECTION NO. 3-CLASS RATES In Cents per 100 Pounds													
,	Rate Basis		Anv	Quan				ht 1: 500	n Po	unds		2,00	<u> </u>	
•		1	2	2	4	<u>-</u> _1	2	3.	4	1	2	3	4	
	♦ BC	54 57 60	49 51 55	474 4 4 4	37 41 42	41 44 46	37 39 43	32 36 37	29 31 32	32 36 39	30 32 36	25 29 31	23 25 29	
*310-C Cancels 310-B		•		.0			,						·	,
	Rate													
	Basis		2	1, 000				0,00		-	2	<u>6-50</u>	7 4	
	≎A ♦B ♦C	20 23 25	18 20 23	15 18 19	14 15 18	15 17 18	14 15 17	13 14 15	12 13 14	12 14 15	11 12 13	10 11	8 10 11	<u></u>

*Change)-Decision No. 40516

EFFECTIVE AUGUST 3, 1947

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California

tem No.	SECTION NO. 4COMMODITY RATES
	FREIGHT, regardless of classification, transported between or within the zones described in Items Nos. 30, 31, 32 and 33 series, subject to Note 1.
	Rate
*325-A ancels 325	each pound or fraction thereof of its gross weight.
	Note 1Rates named in this item apply only to shipments weighing less than 100 pounds, and are not subject to the provisions of Item No. 120 series.
	FREIGHT transported between or within the zones described in Items Nos. 30, 31, 32 and 33 series and between points at which facilities are maintained for the loading of property into or upon, and the unloading of property from, rail cars including truck loading and unloading facilities of plants or industries located at such rail loading and unloading points:
*330 - 2	
ancels 30-A	Any quantity or less-carload ratings as shown in the Western OAdded charges in Classification, Exception cents per 100 pounds Sheet or this tariff
	lst Class or Higher 7 2nd Class 6 3rd Class 5 4th Class or Lower 34
·,	*Change) Decision No. 40516
,	

C FGSOH C FGSOH ESOH A000 A000 *3n3				ts per 1		ES (Cont unds	inved)		
FGSOH FGSOH FGSOH FANOTH F	EIGHT, v	iz.:	, .		,	•			
	emerson of the serior of the serior and Exterior of the ansilos of the serior of the s	ortland ems Nos Corn Me other n Item steel A lain, co ent, s, roug s, rough black, sor pla black, cor cor, sylve cor, sylve cor, sylve cor	rticles, vi orrugated, h, , , galvanized	de- ies z.: twist- fabri- nsist-	Table to the control of the control	ated or ting of: es, ost, nels, eirc ers, elever, es, fish eys, tan rvoir, brangs, brangs, pier ses, pier ses, pier ses, pier ses, es, es, es, es, es, es, es, es, es	unfabri (Conti ular, ator, stior, blies, included include	tank tank ling Rags, bales ut; ubber) rn-out g pur-	
	Minimum Weight in Pounds (1980)								
	·	10,000			حورات مسلت خيب	20,000			
	R:	ate Basi	.s 	<u> </u>	Ŗa	te Basis			
· . 1	A ·	2	C		A	3	С		
	◊ 7	♦	\$10	\	6	◊ 6 ½	♦ 7		
* Char O Inc	nange) ncrease)	Decisio	n No. 405	is .		. ,			
					efi	ECTIVE	lugust	3, 194	

* Change) Decision No. 40516

EFFECTIVE AUGUST 3, 1947

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California,
San Francisco, California.

Correction No. 73

Third Revised Page 32

Cancels Second Revised Page 32 CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO.

SECTION NO. 4 - COMMODITY RATES (Continued) In Cents per 100 Pounds										
	LUMBER AND FORE	ST PROD	UCTS, as	describe	ed in Iter	1 No. 580	series			
Rate Basis	, Minimum weight in pounds									
	Any Quantity	500	2,000	4.000	70.000	20,000	30,000			
Ø A	18	15	12	10	ε	6	6			
ØВ	23	ıe	15	12	9 :	7	6			
♦ c	28	21	18	1 24	10	82	62			
	Rate Basis	LUMBER AND FORE of the Except Rate Basis Any Quantity A 18 B 23	LUMBER AND FOREST PROD of the Exception She Rate Basis Any Quantity 500 A 18 15 B 23 12	LUMBER AND FOREST PRODUCTS, as of the Exception Sheet: Rate Basis Any Quantity 500 2,000 A 18 15 12 B 23 18 15	LUMBER AND FOREST PRODUCTS, as described of the Exception Sheet: Rate	LUMBER AND FOREST PRODUCTS, as described in Iter of the Exception Sheet: Rate Minimum Weight in pounds	In Cents per 100 Pounds LUMBER AND FOREST PRODUCTS, as described in Item No. 580 of the Exception Sheet: Rate			

Docision No. 40516 ♦ Increase)

EFFECTIVE AUGUST 3, 1947

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 74

Fourth Revised Page 35

	ancels vised Page	35				ers' tarif Rriers' ta	te no. 4 Riff no. 5				
Item No.		SECTION NO			RATES (0						
	PAI	PER AND PAPER AR	TICLES,	, Viz:		-					
*385-A Cancels 385		Boxes, paperboa without filler the boxes in the Paperboard or Paperboard.	s, part he ship	titions a	ind pads s	urflcient	to complete				
		Minimum Weigh 10,000 pounds 20,000 pounds 30,000 pounds				-	∜Column B 10 9 8½				
	cor	CUMN A rates app or within but n in Items Nos. 3	ot beti	veen Zone	es 10, 12,	. 12 or 17,	1-B, 1-C or 1-D, , as described				
	COI	LUMN B rates app or 17 on the on as described in	ly: Bet c hand Items	tween Zon and Zone Nos. 30	nos 1-A, 1 eo 10, 11, . 31, 32 s	1-3, 1-0, 1 12 or 17 and 33 seri	1-D, 10, 11, 12 on the other, ies.				
	SUGAF	?:		•	•						
*390-D	Rate	Minimum Weight in Founds									
Cancels 390-C	Basis	Any Quantity	500	2,000	4,000	10,000	20,000				
	Ø A	23	20	15	13	6	6				
	◊ 3	30	23	20	15	6	6				
	♦ 0	35	29	23	18	82	7				

Change Decision No. 40516 Increase)

EFFECTIVE AUGUST 3, 1947

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, Scn Francisco, California.

Item No.	SECTION NO. 5UNIT RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS (Continued)
	FREIGHT, regardless of classification, transported between or within the zones described in Items Nos. 30, 31, 32 and 33 series, subject to Notes 1, 2 and 3:
	Minimum Units per calendar
*410-B Cancels 410-A	
	Note 2The weight of each shipment shall be the gross weight thereof. No allowance shall be made for the weight of containers.
	Note 3The number of units shall be computed as follows: Weight of shipment in pounds 50 or less Over 50 but not over 150 2 Over 150 but not over 300 3 Over 300 but not over 550 5 Over 500 but not over 550 5 Over 550 but not over 650 6 Over 650 but not over 650 7 Over 800 but not over 1,000 8 Over 1,000
*Chan \$Incr	ge } Decision No. 40516
	EFFECTIVE August 3, 1947
	by The Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Section No. 76

Third Revised Page 40 CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 Cancels HICHMAY CARRIERS' TARIFF MO. Second Revised Page ... 40 Item SECTION NO. 5 - UNIT RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS (Continued) No. FREIGHT, regardless of classification, transported between or within the zones described in Items Nos. 30, 31, 32 and 33 sories, subject to Notes 1, 2 and 3: ♦ Rates in Cents Minimum Charges in Cents Weight in Pounds Per Hour 284 1/2 250 or less-250 but not over 2,500-354 354 Over 392 Over 2,500 but not over 5,000-392 424 Over 5,000 but not over 8,000-424 Over 8,000 but not over 12,000-466 519 Over 12,000 but not over 20,000-549 687 Over 20,000 but not over 30,000-Over 30,000---Note 1. - Weight in pounds is the greatest (heaviest) gross weight of the property transported by the unit of carrier's equipment at one time during a single transaction. No allowance shall be made for weight of containers. * 420-C Cancels Note 2. - (a) The total of the loading, unloading and driving time computed from the arrival of carrier's equipment at point of origin, 420-B or first point of origin when more than one point of origin is involved, to the time unloading is completed at point of destination, or last point of destination when more than one point of destination is involved, subject to paragraph (b) hereof, shall be used to compute (b) In computing time under the basis outlined in paragraph (a) hereof the various time factors shall be not less than the actual time involved in minutes. After the total time has been determined under the provisions of paragraph (a) hereof, it shall be converted into hours and fractions thereof. Fractions of an hour shall be dotermined in accordance with the following table: Loss than 8 minutes ---- omit. 8 minutes or more but less than 23 minutes shall be \$\frac{1}{2}\$ hour.
23 minutes or more but less than 38 minutes shall be \$\frac{1}{2}\$ hour.
38 minutes or more but less than 53 minutes shall be \$3/4 hour. 53 minutes or more shall be 1 hour. Note 3. - Between the hours of 6:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M., and on Sundays or holidays, an additional charge at the rate of 75 cents per hour (or fraction thereof) shall be assessed. Change Docision No.40515 Increase) EFFECTIVE AUGUST 3, 1947 Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Third Revised Page 41
Cancels
Socond Revised Page 4

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4
HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO.

Item No.

SECTION NO. 5 - UNIT RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS (Concluded)

FREIGHT, regardless of classification, transported between or within the zones described in Items Nos. 30, 31,32 and 33 series:

Weight in Pounds subject to Note 1:		Olumn Column	Column 73	Column 4	Colum 05
2,500 or less———————————————————————————————————		366 393	424 456	5½	266 266
Over 5,000 but not over 8,000 Over 8,000 but not over 12,000	129	409 450	469 513	7 2 8	266 266
Over 12,000 but not over 20,000 Over 20,000 but not over 30,000	155	486 518	558 598	♦ 9} ♦ 10	288 288
Over 30,000	205	650	739	3123	295

COLUMN 1 - Rates in dollars per unit of carrier's equipment for a period of six successive days or any portion thereof. excluding Sundays and holidays, and limited to 8 hours out of each 9 consecutive hours. When equipment is operated in excess of 300 miles during such period, add rates provided by Column 4. When equipment is operated in excess of 8 hours in each 9 hours, add rates provided by Column 5.

*430-0 Cancels 430-B

COLUMN 2 - Rates in dollars per unit of carrier's equipment for a period of twenty-one successive days or, when the equipment is not operated on Saturdays, Sundays and holidays, for a period of twenty-one successive days exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays and holidays, or any portion of such periods. When equipment is operated in excess of 1,050 miles during the period, add rates provided by Column 4. When equipment is operated in excess of 8 hours in any one day, add rates provided by Column 5.

COLUMN 3 - Rates in dollars per unit of carrier's equipment for a period of twenty-five successive days or, when the equipment is not operated on Sundays and holidays, for a period of twenty-five successive days, exclusive of Sundays and holidays, or any portion of such periods. When equipment is operated in excess of 1,250 miles during the period, add rates provided by Column 4. When equipment is operated in excess of 8 hours in any one day, add rates provided by Column 5.

COLUMN 4 - Rates in cents per mile to be added to the Column 1, 2 and 3 rates when the unit of carrier's equipment is operated in excess of the maximum mileage allowed thereunder.

COLUMN 5 — Rates in cents per hour to be added to the Column 1, 2 and 3 rates when the unit of carrier's equipment is operated in excess of the maximum hours allowed thereunder.

Note 1.-Weight in pounds is the gross weight of the property transported by the unit of carrier's equipment at the time the equipment is transporting the greatest (heaviest) load during the period covered by the transaction. No allowance shall be made for weight of containers.

Change)
Decision No. 40516

Increase)
End of Tariff

EFFECTIVE AUGUST 3, 1947

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.