Decision No. 42037



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of CALIFORNIA COMPRESS & WAREHOUSE COMPANY, a copartnership, Bakersfield, California, for authority under Section 63 of the Public Utilities Act to increase its present existing Warehouse Rates.

Application No. 29480

Appearances

DeWitt Morgan Manning, for applicant.
L. H. Stewart, for San Joaquin Compress & Warehouse Company, intervenor in behalf of the applicant.

<u>opinion</u>

California Compress & Warehouse Company, a copartnership consisting of A. D. Repp and J. C. Wilson, copartners, is engaged in the business of compressing and warehousing cotton at Bakersfield. By this application it seeks authority to increase certain of its public utility warehouse rates and charges.

The application was submitted at a public hearing held before Examiner Bryant at Bakersfield on July 16, 1948. The matter is ready for decision.

California Compress & Warehouse Company operates 175,000 square feet of floor area, of which 40,000 are allotted to compress operations and 135,000 to the public warehouse services herein involved. The facilities were constructed in 1947 by California Cotton Cooperative Association, Ltd., which leases them to the applicant herein. Applicant started operations in September, 1947.

Evidence in support of the application was introduced through testimony and exhibits submitted by A. D. Repp, partner and

active head of California Compress & Warehouse Company. He explained that the present rates of his company were established, by agreement with the lessor and prior to actual operating experience, upon basis of the lowest rates maintained for each service by other cotton warehouses in the San Joaquin Valley. The rates now proposed are similar to those being sought by other California warehousemen in separate proceedings, and are assertedly on a level relatively no higher than are the rates for storage and handling of cotton currently in force and applied by various cotton warehouse concerns located in other states. The proposed changes are indicated in the margin lelow.

The profit and loss statement as submitted by the witness for the period from September, 1947, to the close of the company's fiscal year on June 30, 1948, shows that applicant's over-all operations, for the compress and warehouse combined, resulted in net revenues of \$32,498 before income taxes, and \$26,427 after income taxes. For the warehouse operations separately, according to the segregated and allocated figures, applicant sustained a net loss of \$20,073. The warehouse figures, together with applicant's calculation of the results which would have obtained had the sought rates

Present and proposed rates are shown in detail in Appendix "A"horeto. The principal changes would be as follows:

•		in Cents) Proposed
Storage, per bale per month	25	30
per bale per month	23 50 30 30 150	28 75 35 35 185

Virtually all of the revenues, and a substantial majority of the expenses, were credited or charged directly at their source without the necessity of allocating. Bases for allocating the remaining expense items between compress and warehouse operations were explained by the witness.

been in effect, are shown in the following table: 3

WAREHOUSE OPERATIONS September 1, 1947 to June 30, 1948

Revenues:	Under Rates As Assessed	Had Sought Rates Been in Effect
Storage	\$ 6,614 10,491 5,408 2,236 1,591 \$26,340	\$ 7,936 15,736 7,455 2,236 1,984 \$35,347
Expenses:	1	
Labor and Salaries	\$25,402 15,073 1,413 4,525 \$46,413	\$25,402 15,073 1,413 4,525 \$46,413
Net Loss from Warehouse Operations	(<u>320,073</u>)	(311,066)

The witness anticipated a substantial increase in the volume of warehouse business during the current fiscal year, but did not undertake to predict the amount specifically. He testified that, in addition to expense increases resulting from increased volume, the costs of labor and rental would be at higher rates. Wages, he said, had been increased by IO cents an hour. Rental for the compress and warehouse facilities, which during the past year was on the basis of \$15,000 plus 25 cents for each bale in excess of 80,000 bales, had been increased to \$25,000 with the same bale charge.

No one opposed the granting of this application. San Joaquin Compress & Warehouse Company, which is similarly engaged in

Results would have been less favorable under a full year's operation, the witness said, as revenues in the cotton warehouse industry are negligible during the summer months whereas many of the expenses are constant.

The witness stated that rental during the past year was relatively low, and had been agreed upon in consideration of the fact that the company's business was in a formative period during its initial year.

warehousing cotton in the Bakersfield area and which is seeking a comparable rate adjustment in another proceeding, intervened in behalf of the applicant and urged that the application be granted.

The record is clear that, on the basis of bales handled and services performed during the past fiscal year, neither the existing rates nor those herein proposed would be sufficient to return the full cost of performing the services. Whatever may be the rate level, the financial outcome of applicant's warehouse operations during the ensuing year will be dependent to a large extent upon the number of bales of cotton to be tendered for storage and other services. The record does not afford a basis for estimating the business volume; therefore, revenues and expenses for the current fiscal year cannot be determined in advance. Nevertheless, in view of all the circumstances herein presented, including the substantial loss from warehouse operations during the past year and the increased expenses to be borne during the current fiscal year, the record is convincing that revenues under the sought rates would not be excessive and that the rates are otherwise reasonable.

Upon careful consideration of all of the facts and circumstances of record, the Commission finds as a fact that the increased rates and charges proposed by the applicant in this proceeding, as specifically set forth in Appendix "A" attached hereto, are justified. The application will be granted.

ORDER

Public hearing having been had in the above entitled application, and based upon the evidence received at the hearing and upon the findings and conclusions set forth in the preceding opinion,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that California Compress & Warehouse Company be and it is hereby authorized to establish, on not less than five (5) days' notice to the Commission and to the public, the proposed increased rates and tariff changes specifically set forth in Appendix "A" which is attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof."

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the authority herein granted shall expire, except to the extent that it is exercised within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this order.

This order shall become effective twenty (20) days from the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 14th day of September, 1948.

Commissioners

CORRECTION

THIS DOCUMENT
HAS BEEN REPHOTOGRAPHED

TO ASSURE LEGIBILITY

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that California Compress & Warehouse Company be and it is hereby authorized to establish, on not less than five (5) days' notice to the Commission and to the public, the proposed increased rates and tariff changes specifically set forth in Appendix "A" which is attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the authority herein granted shall expire, except to the extent that it is exercised within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this order.

This order shall become effective twenty (20) days from the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 14th of September, 1948.

-5-

APPENDIX "A"

(California Compress & Warehouse Company)

Item				Rates ()	(n Cents)
1000				Present	Proposed.
1.	RECEIVING CONCENTRATED COTTON, Includes weighing, tagging, sampling on arrival only, and issuing individual receipt.	per	bale	50	75
2.	STORAGE, per month or fraction thereof,	per	bale	25	30
2-A.	STORAGE OF COMPRESSED COTTON, per month or fraction thereof,	per	bale	23	28
3.	RECEIVING COMPRESSED COTTON FOR CONSOLIDATION AND SHIPMENT, but not compressed at this plant,	,			65
4.	WEIGHING TRANSIT COTTON,	per	bale	18	25
· 5.	REWEIGHING,	per	bale	30	35
5-A.	REWEIGHING AND RESAMPLING at same time,	per	bale	50	60
6.	REWEIGHING AT TIME OF SHIPMENT,	per	bale	18	25
7.	RESAMPLING,	per	bale	30	35
8.	RESAMPLING AT TIME OF SHIPMENT,			20	25
9.	LOADING UNCOMPRESSED COTTON, Includes assembling, marking, loading to cars or trucks.			75	100
11.		per per	bale bale bale bale	5 Free	** ** ** **
12.	TAGGING: With shipper's tags, Removing tags,	per per	bale bale	5 5	6 6
13.	BANDS: When more than eight bands to each bale are ordered,	per	band	12	शं र शंद
16.	GOVERNMENT LOAN COTTON: Transmitting samples, postage, filling out schedule of pledged cotton and signing loan papers,		bale	*	25
17.	EXTRA SERVICES: All labor performed which is not specifically provided for herein, will be charged at perman-hour rate of,	~~~		150	185

* New Item ** Item to be eliminated, account nonutility service.

(End of Appendix $^{n}A^{n}$)