Decision No. <u>4298</u>S

URIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COLLISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of PINEDALE COMPRESS & WAREHOUSE CO., a corporation, For a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to Operate a Public Water Supply System

Application No. 30089

John M. Fleharty and Wiley Y. Thompson for applicant.

OPINION

Pinedale Compress and Warehouse Company, a California corporation, by the above-entitled application requests a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing it to operate a public utility water system to serve a parcel of land of approximately 586 acres at Pinedale, Fresno County, near the city of Fresno, California. A map of the area is attached to the application and identified as Exhibit B. During the recent war, this land was leased to the United States government for use as an Army camp, and was known as Camp Pinedale. The government constructed a number of buildings and improvements on the property, including a complete water system. Upon termination of the lease, in the fall of 1947, the water system became the property of the applicant. Applicant now supplies 30 service connections with water from this system and requests that both flat and meter rates be established.

A public hearing in this proceeding was held before

Commissioner Potter and Examiner Edwards at Pinedale on May 13, 1949.

At the hearing, applicant's Vice President, Elliott C. White, appeared as the principal witness. He described the method by which the Pinedale Compress and Warehouse Company acquired the water system, the portion now used, its approximate cost, and the plans for future development.

When the property was returned by the government, a monetary payment was made in lieu of restoring the property to its original condition. The government had installed an extensive water production and distribution system, which production system consisted of three wells with pumps and a 28,000-gallon pressure tank resting on concrete piers. The distribution system consisted of two-inch, three-inch, four-inch, six-inch, eight-inch and ten-inch pipe in the old barracks area.

A few of the government buildings are still standing and are rented for industrial, commercial or domestic purposes. In addition, the southeast corner of the property has been subdivided and 12 modern residences built. These residences are leased, and the domestic water system is extended to serve them. Future plans call for more subdividing of the area at some opportune time. Only a portion of the distribution system is necessary to serve the present eight apartments, 15 houses, 12 commercial and two industrial users. No government records of the original cost of this system are available, but the applicant estimated the original cost of the portion of the system now in use as follows:

| Well No. 2 Well No. 3 (standby) 7,000 ft. underground pipe (various sizes equivalent to Pressure tank (28,000 gallons) | | \$ 2,405.50 3,009.98 |
|--|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| | six-inch for pricing) | 17,990.00 7,500.00 |
| Total | | 30,905.48 |

Intangible capital in the amount of \$785 is claimed by applicant to be the estimated cost of organizing the water system.

Following the filing of the application, an engineering investigation of this water system was made by Mr. V. R. Muth of the Commission's Hydraulic Division staff. Mr. Muth prepared a report and presented it in evidence at the hearing. He estimated the reasonable historical cost of the facilities now in use at \$31,510. His estimate,

A-30089 EL Corr.

while it allowed for only one well and pump, allowed for a much larger total length of pipe. The pumps are comparatively large (50 hp) and not more than one will be needed for some time. No standby pump appears necessary because there is an interconnection with the distribution system of an adjacent utility, Pinedale Water Company. The necessary 15,200 feet of pipe, to reach all customers, included several sizes ranging from 1½ inch to ten inches in diameter.

Applicant estimates the monthly cost to operate this water system, excluding return, is \$210.97. Of this amount, 10% is charged to the company, leaving 90%, or \$189.87, as the cost to serve customers. Against this cost, applicant estimates revenue at only \$70 per month on the rates proposed. Rates are proposed at the level of those of the nearby Pinedale Water Company with a basic flat rate of \$1.50 per month. Mr. White was questioned as to his reason for proposing rates below cost to serve. He testified that he desires to maintain rates at a competitive level during development of the area, and will give the subject of rates based on costs more consideration after the property is developed.

There is an eight-apartment building that was not properly covered by applicant's proposed flat rates. The \$1.50 proposed flat rate covers a dwelling and irrigated lot of 700 square yards or less. A rate of \$1.50 for one apartment occupancy plus \$1 for each additional apartment occupied was discussed and will be adopted. From the record in this proceeding it appears equitable to establish flat rate service for industrial use based on estimated consumption. Such class of service is provided for in the flat rate schedule attached to this order. The subject of revenue from fire hydrants was also discussed. At present there are only a few hydrants in the domestic area.

Some additional future revenue may be derived from this source if a

No one appeared at the hearing to object to the granting of a certificate of public convenience and necessity to the applicant. Applicant's witness stated that a franchise to place the pipes in county roads was not required because practically all of the pipe is on private property; and in the new subdivision, space for utilities was provided when permission was given by the county officials to subdivide. Applicant obtained a test of the water from Well No. 2 on May 9, 1949, by a Fresno County Health Officer, and found it to be free of contamination and suitable for human consumption. Mr. White testified that no other utility is rendering public utility water service in this area. There are no loans or other indebtedness standing against the present water system. Applicant agreed to keep separate accounts on its water system operation expenses, revenue and capital so as to segregate it from its public utility warehousing operations.

The evidence indicates that the applicant will be able to operate, maintain and extend the water system in such manner as to furnish satisfactory water service during the remaining developmental stage of this area and thereafter in the future. Applicant's proposed rate levels appear reasonable. Under the circumstances, the Commission finds that public convenience and necessity require the issuance of this certificate.

The certificate of public convenience and necessity hereinafter granted is subject to the following provision of law:

> That the Commission shall have no power to authorize the capitalization of this certificate of public convenience and necessity or the right to own, operate or enjoy such certificate of public convenience and necessity in excess of the amount (exclusive of any tax or annual charge) actually paid to the State as the consideration for the issuance of such certificate of public convenience and necessity or right.

3. Within thirty (30) days after the effective date of this order, file four copies of a comprehensive map drawn to an indicated scale of not less than 400 feet to the inch; delineating by appropriate markings the various tracts of land, territory served and to be served, and the location of the various facilities and properties of the applicant.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty (20) days after the date hereof.

Koungth Potter

Schedule No. 1

FLAT RATE SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all flat rate water service.

TERRITORY

Throughout the entire service area, which includes approximately 586 acres of land owned by Pinedale Compress & Warehouse Co., Section 32, T. 12 S., R. 20 E., M.D.B.&M., Fresno County.

RATES

| | <u>P</u> | er Month |
|-----|---|----------|
| (1) | Dwelling of six rooms, or less, including irrigation on which house is located if not over 700 square yards, each | \$1.50 |
| (2) | Additional rooms in excess of six, each | 10 |
| (3) | Multiple dwellings or apartments, first unit | 150 |
| (4) | Additional apartments or residential units, each . | 1.00 |
| (5) | Stores, shops, markets, halls and offices, each | 1.50 |
| (6) | Sprinkling or irrigation not included in Item (1), for each month used, per 100 square feet | •05 |
| (7) | For industrial use, apply the quantity rates of Metered Service Schedule No. 2 to estimated average monthly water use in accordance with written agreement approved by this Commission. | |
| (8) | Minimum for any type of flat rate service | 1.50 |

Schedule No. 2 METERED SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water service.

TERRITORY

Throughout the entire service area, which includes approximately 586 acres of Land owned by Pinedale Compress & Warehouse Co., Section 32, T. 12 S., R. 20 E., M.D.B.&M., Fresno County.

RATES

| Monthly Minimum Charg | e: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Per Meter Per Month |
|---|------|----------|-----------|----------|---|---|---|-----|----|---|-----|---|---|-----|---|---|------------------------|
| For 5/8-inch meter | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | \$1.25 |
| For 3/4-inch meter For 1-inch meter | | • | ٠ | • | | | • | | | • | | • | | | | | 4.00 |
| For lanch meter For 2-inch meter | • • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | 7.50 12.00 |
| Monthly Quantity Rate | ·s : | | | | | | | | , | | | | | | | | |
| First 400 cubic fee All over 400 cubic | t o | r: t, | le: pe | 88 22 | i | ó | C | ab: | ic | ŕ | ee1 | t | • | • • | • | • | 1.25 |

The Monthly Minimum Charge will entitle the customer to the quantity of water which that monthly minimum charge will purchase at the Monthly Quantity Fates.

SPECIAL CONDITION

Meters may be installed upon any service at the option of either the utility or the customer. If installed at the option of the utility, the entire cost shall be borne outright by the utility. If installed at the option of the customer, the actual cost thereof shall be deposited by the customer with the utility and the amount so deposited shall be returned to the customer as credits on monthly bills for water consumed at the rate of thirty per cent (30%) of such monthly bills.