Decision No. 40541

# ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Walter E. & Althea V. Shull for order authorizing increase in water rates.

Application No. 30485

Walter E. Shull, Jr., and Althea V. Shull in propria personae; and B. C. Leech, Sr., interested party.

### OPINION

Walter E. Shull, Jr., and Althea V. Shull, doing business as Good Hope Water Company, own and operate a water system serving domestic customers in unincorporated territory in the vicinity of Perris, Riverside County, California, and filed the above-entitled application July 12, 1949, seeking authority to establish an increased schedule of rates.

A public hearing was held before Examiner Warner in Perris on November 2, 1949.

Country Club Heights about four miles southwest of Perris and one mile west of Highway 395 in Riverside County. The area served is a portion of a subdivision originally developed in 1924 by J. O. Walser. The water company was sold to applicants in 1947, the sale being authorized by Decision No. 40347, dated June 3, 1947, and Decision No. 41343, dated March 23, 1948; both decisions being in Application No. 28424.

Application for issuance of a certificate of public convenience and necessity granted, and present rates set by Decision No. 25308, in Application No. 18298, dated October 31, 1932.

A-30485 AB

The water system consists of a spring and a well with electrically driven pumps located therein. A gasoline-driven pump is used as a standby. A 15,000-gallon concrete reservoir is located 30 feet higher than and in the general vicinity of the well and spring. The system is entirely gravity flow. There are 15 customers.

The aforementioned spring, which constitutes the principal source of supply, overflows during winter months, but the water level subsides during summer and fall months. The evidence shows that the level has dropped eight feet this season and is at the lowest point in its history. Mr. Shull testified that he has had difficulty keeping the reservoir filled during the summer months due to the lack of water supply and also due to the habits of usage of some of the customers. The system is entirely metered and there are some restrictions as to usage during peak periods.

The record shows that the spring must be deepened and that will necessitate the bringing in of equipment, and an expenditure of funds.

A Commission staff engineer submitted a report of the operations for the year 1948 based on present rates and rates proposed in the application. The earnings are summarized in the following tabulation:

	<u>Year 1948</u>	
	Present Rates	Proposed Rates
Revenue from sale of water	\$ 373	\$ 670
Operating expenses	320	320
Taxes Depreciation Total expenses	12 117 449	40* 117 477
Net Income	( <u>76</u> )	193

\*Including federal income tax.

(Red Figure)		
Appraised fixed capital Working cash	3,395 50	3,395 50
Undepreciated rate base Accrued depreciation Depreciated rate base	3,445 1,088 2,357	3,445 1,088 2,357
Rate of Return	••••	8.2%

A-30485 AB The operating loss indicated is less than reported by applicants because capital charges were erroneously included in operating expenses. The rate base was determined from an appraisal of the properties made by the Commission's engineer. The record shows that applicants have never received any compensation for their operation of the water company. Under the proposed rates, the record shows applicants can finance the deepening of the spring, and install a 250-gallon storage tank to serve one customer whose property is located above the level of the reservoir. B. C. Leech, Sr., testified that he had installed two wells on his property, and he is now completely independent of the water company. Prior to that time his consumption accounted for over 20% of the company's total. He stated that he believed the company should be put in a position to operate on a reasonably sound financial basis, even though it meant increased rates, but he further stated that he believed such operations should provide adequate service. The Commission is of the opinion that applicants should take whatever practical steps they can immediately to improve operations of the water system, make improvements to the spring, and install the storage tank as proposed. The record shows that the average bill for 1948 was \$2.35 per month per customer and this would be increased to \$3.90 per customer per month under the proposed rates. However, it should be noted that the adjusted 1948 expenses as shown herein did not include normal repairs to the distribution system and source of water supply, and, that adjusted 1948 operating expenses did not include reasonable remuneration to the operators for their services. If such additional expenses were included, the net profit under the proposed rates would be reduced and the rate of return would be less than 6%. It is concluded, therefore, that the proposed rates would not produce an excessive rate of return, and the rates as proposed will be ordered herein. - 3 -

#### Schedule No. 1

#### GENERAL METERED SERVICE

#### AFPLICABILITY

Applicable to all water service.

#### TERRITORY

Within the authorized service area.

## 

The Minimum Charge will entitle the customer to the quantity of water which that monthly minimum charge will purchase at the Quantity Rates.