Decision No. 45088

## ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Establishment of ) just, reasonable and nondiscriminatory) maximum or minimum or maximum and mini-) mum rates, rules, classifications and ) regulations for the transportation of ) property for compensation or hire over ) the public highways of the City of Los ) In the Natter of the Investigation into) the rates, rules, regulations, charges,) allowances, and practices of all common) carriers, highway carriers, and city carriers relating to the transportation) of property.

Case No. 4121

Case No. 4808

## Appearances

Arlo D. Foe, for Motor Truck Association of Southern

California, petitioner.

A. A. Asatoorian, R. D. Adams, James F. Bartholomew, R. D. Campbell, J. R. Decker, H. T. Halbert, H. Halverson, Pete Kazarian, Albert Kulla, E. T. Longenecker, Alberto R. Pearson, J. B. Robinson, R. A. Schneyer, O. H. Scott, Morgan Stanley, Clyde Storey, and Matt Williams, for various carriers, interested parties.

- P. J. Arturo, John F. Kirkman, J. O. Masters, A. L. Russell, J. A. Sullivan and Robert K. Wilson, for various shippers and shipper organizations, interested parties.
- W. G. O'Barr, for Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce, interested party. Charles H. Jacobsen, for Engineering Staff, Transportation Department, Public Utilities Commission.

## SUPPLEMENTAL OPINION

This opinion deals with proposed revisions in the minimum rates, rules and regulations governing the transportation of general commodities by for-hire carriers within the portion of Los Angeles County hereinafter referred to as the "drayage area" and, under specified conditions, within Los Angeles and Orange Counties. The rates are set forth in City Carriers' Tariff No. 4, Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 5, which will be referred to as the "drayage tariff".

The rates in the drayage tariff were last adjusted on September 1, 1948. By petition filed in August, 1950, The Motor Truck Association of Southern California sought increases in the minimum rates to compensate for increases in operating costs which assertedly had become effective since the previous rate adjustment. sought increases were denied for want of sufficient justification. In its decision the Commission pointed out that the evidence which was submitted in support of the petition was unsatisfactory in that it was based substantially upon a 1941 cost study which "was made under conditions prevailing in a prewar era now remote by nearly a decade". The Commission further pointed out that the 1941 study itself was not wholly satisfactory for the purpose of establishing minimum rates because it included assumptions as to load and use factors, average truck speeds, loading and unloading performance, overhead and gross revenue expenses and other basic elements of cost which were not fully supported at the time.

In the instant phase of these proceedings the Association 2 again petitions for increases in the drayage rates. It alleges that the costs of transporting proporty within the drayage area have increased to such an extent since September 1, 1948, that the present rates do not return a reasonable profit and that they are not generally sufficient to return even the cost of the service. Petitioner asserts that unless the minimum rates are increased by sufficient volume to offset the increased costs, the economic stability of many carriers will be seriously impaired.

Public hearing of the matter was held before Examiner Abornathy at Los Angeles on May 21, 1951.

Decision No. 45160, December 19, 1950

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For convenience The Motor Truck Association of Southern California : - is referred to as the "Association".

Evidence in support of the petition was submitted by a consulting engineer employed by the Association and by a member of the Association's rate committee. The engineer testified that for the past fifteen years he has been actively engaged in making cost analyses and rate studies in connection with the minimum rates in California. He said that in the course of his work with the Association he is in close contact with the carriers and that information is furnished him continuously concerning various phases of the operations of the transportation industry. With respect to the expense increases referred to by the Association in its petition, he reported increases of approximately 15 per cent in the wage rates of labor; 35 to 45 per cent in the costs of equipment; 58 per cent in the cost of tires; and 10 to 25 per cent in other items of operating expense. He stated that the carriers are becoming very discouraged in endeavoring to cope with the increased costs under rates which have not been adjusted since September, 1948.

The witness introduced and explained an exhibit covering a study he had made to determine the cost of transportation service in the drayage area. He asserted that in the development of his study he had endeavored to meet meticulously the Commission's criticisms of the cost evidence which had been submitted previously in those proceedings and that he had obtained figures which he believed to be representative of current operating experience. He said that he had "leaned heavily" on recent time studies of the Commission's staff and of Southern California Freight Lines and that in addition he had made analyses of recent loading and unloading records. His studies showed, he reported, improvements in various of the cost factors, such as operating performance per man hour, with resulting reductions in operating costs particularly with those

relating to the larger shipments. Where he had found no substantial differences between present operating data and data reached in previous studies in these proceedings he had continued the use of the carlier figures.

According to the testimony of the engineer, the development of cost data relating to operations solely within the drayage area is very difficult for the reason that none of the carriers are engaged exclusively in drayage service and their financial records are not separated as to their drayage and other operations. There is not a natural line of demarcation between industrial activity inside and outside of the drayage area. As a result the draymen all extend their transportation operations to points outside of the drayage area. The equipment which the carriers use for the combined drayage and extended service is larger and more expensive than that which would be adequate for drayage operations exclusively. The engineer said that in order to avoid burdening his drayage cost data with costs applicable to the carriers' operations outside of the drayage area, he had used as vehicle costs the costs of the vehicles which would be appropriate for the drayage service only.

The engineer testified that the method which he used in the development of his costs had been designed to arrive at the lowest costs compatible with an efficient operation. Where he found, for example, that lowest cost would be obtained through the direct pickup and delivery of shipments, his exhibit reflected such costs. On the other hand, where the lowest costs are obtained by operation of service where the shipments are picked up and brought to the carriers' depots for redistribution, he had used these cost figures. With regard to employment of helpers he had allowed for the use of the helpers only to the extent that/use would result in the lowering of

the over-all costs of the service, regardless of whether the carriers employed helpers in this manner in their actual operations.

Two scales of costs for measuring rates were developed by the engineer. In one scale he included a sum for profit equivalent to a rate of return upon the average vehicle investment plus an allowance for working capital. In the other scale costs were expanded on the basis of an operating ratio of 93 percent, before taxes, to allow for profit. The method of allowing a rate of return upon investment is one that was followed in the early development of minimum rates, the witness said. He expressed the opinion, however, that the operating ratio method is the more sound in so far as truck transportation is concorned, and recommended that the drayage rates be increased so as to result in an operating ratio of 93 per cent before income taxes.

Upon the basis of his cost figures the engineer recommended adjustments in virtually all of the rates in the drayage tariff, including the class rates, the commodity rates, the hourly, weekly, and monthly rates, and the charges for accessorial and incidental services. With respect to the class rates, he recommended in addition to the increases the restoration of the rate relationships which were fixed when the rates were originally established. Because of horizontal increases in the rates since 1941, he said that the original relationships have been distorted. In general, the increases which he proposed in the class rates range from about 10 per cent to 33 per cent, and average about 22 per cent. With respect to commodity rates he proposed increases corresponding to the average

The resulting rates would be about 5 per cent higher for shipments in the smaller weight brackets than would the corresponding rates developed to provide an 8 per cent return upon average vehicle investment and working capital.

increases in the class rates applicable to the Quantity involved.

The increases which he advocated in the hourly, weekly, and menthly vehicle rates applicable in the drayage area and elsewhere in Los Angeles and Orange Counties range from 12 per cent to 30.5 per cent and average 21.5 per cent. Corresponding increases were recommended in the rates for miscellaneous and accessorial services.

A transportation engineer of the Commission's staff also submitted data relating to the hourly, weekly, and monthly vehicle rates. In addition, he supplied figures pertaining to the separate rental of trailers. In general, his cost figures are somewhat lower than those of the Association's consultant. The differences stem principally from the fact that the Commission witness used more favorable vehicle use factors in the development of his data than did the Association witness. Moreover, he included no allowance in his figures for cargo insurance on the assumption that the shippers themselves assume the liability for loss or damage to shipments transported at the hourly, weekly, and monthly rates. He said that the trailer rental costs had been developed inasmuch as he had found that trailers are rented by themselves apart from any towing equipment.

Representatives of shippers and of organizations participated in the examination of the witnesses. Objections to some of the Association's proposals were raised, but no specific evidence was offered in opposition to the granting of the sought increases.

For services which involve labor principally, he proposed a rate increase of 15.63 per cent to correspond to the average increase in the carriers' labor costs since September 1, 1948. Increases of 22 per cent were proposed in certain unit rates with the cancellation of rates for quantities of 25,000 units or more per month. Parcel rates would be increased to 16 cents per package plus 1-3/4 cents per pound from 14 cents per package plus one-half cent per pound so as to correspond with rates recently approved for the principal common carrier of parcels in the drayage area.

The evidence herein is convincing that the present drayage rates are no longer sufficient to compensate the carriers adequately for their services and that increases in the rates are justified. Although substantial increases in the rates are indicated, for the most part the increases appear no more than necessary to meet the increased costs and to provide a reasonable margin for profit.

Generally speaking, the cost data which were developed by the engineer are conservatively stated. In some respects it appears that the impact of recent expense increases has been minimized.

The costs were constructed on the assumption that they should reflect maximum efficiency in vehicle and helper usage. It is questionable that the carriers can maintain such a level of efficiency in the day-to-day conduct of their operations. Also, the development of costs on the basis of vehicles theoretically suitable for operations within the confines of the drayage area tends to ignore costs applicable to the carriers' present drayage services and assumes that the carriers in the restricted service would be able to maintain the same load and use factors that they now attain. Moreover, in the cost data only measurable expense increases are reflected. No effect was given to expense increases resulting from the so-called "fringe" provisions of the carriers' wage contracts with their employees.

 results. The amount of the adjustment that should be made in the consultant's depreciation expense cannot be determined from the record. Although the depreciation charges are clearly excessive, it appears that in the summation of all of the costs, the excessive charges are substantially offset by the understatement of other expenses in the respects referred to above. More precise information regarding the indicated adjustments would be desired, and should be furnished in further phases of these proceedings; however, to withhold necessary increases pending a further refinement of the record would unreasonably deprive the carriers of revenues needed to provide adequate service to the public. The consultant's cost data, as to its over-all results, will be accepted.

Although the hourly, weekly, and monthly vehicle cost figures which were developed by the consultant are higher than those of the Commission engineer, it appears that the cost figures of the consultant should be preferred as being the more representative of current costs. It appears also that a proposed scale of weekly rates based upon vehicle use for five successive days and proportionate to the rates based upon vehicle use for six successive days should be

Petitioner Association argued that depreciation charges should be based upon current vehicle costs so that the carriers will be able to meet increasing costs of new vehicles when necessary to replace present equipment. Thus in effect the Association seeks funds from present rate payers to enable the carriers to meet future capital requirements. The accumulation of capital for the conduct of an enterprise is a function of investors. Rate payers should not be expected to provide capital for the future in addition to paying a reasonable rate of return upon the value of the properties used by the carriers in their operations.

C. 4121 - 4808 - Drayage - AF

adopted in order that rates be provided to conform to present transportation practices. No specific need for trailer rental rates was shown and no changes in the present rate structure will be made in this respect.

In this phase of these proceedings the Association purportedly undertook through its consultant witness to meet fully the Commission's criticisms of evidence received in the prior hearing relating to the drayage rates. The consultant assertedly developed current figures in support of his cost study. The evidence as a whole is convincing that with minor exceptions the increased rates sought by the Association are justified. Increases in the commodity rates will be established on the basis of the increases in costs to the separate zones instead of on the basis of average increases as advocated so that unwarranted increases will not be made in any of the zone rates. The Association's proposed cancellation of unit rates for quantities in excess of 25,000 units per month will be denied for lack of justification. In other respects the increased rates recommended by the consultant will be established.

Upon consideration of all of the facts and circumstances of record, the Commission is of the opinion and hereby finds that the existing drayage rates should be revised to the extent herein indicated and as provided in the order which follows.

An adjourned public hearing having been held in the aboveontitled proceeding, and based upon all of the evidence and upon the conclusions and findings contained in the preceding opinion,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that City Carriers' Tariff No. 4, Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 5 (Appendix "A" of Decision No. 32504, as amended in this proceeding) be, and it hereby is, firther amended by substituting for the corresponding pages now contained therein the revised pages attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof, to become effective September 17, 1951, which pages are numbered as follows:

Fifth Rovised Page 16 cancels Fourth Rovised Page 16 Fourth Revised Page 17 cancels Third Revised Page 17 Revised Page 18 cancels Fifth Revised Page 18 Sixth Sixth Rovised Page 20 cancels Fifth Revised Page 20 Fifth Revised Page 20 cancels
Fifth Revised Page 26 cancels
Fifth Revised Page 29 cancels
Seventh Revised Page 29 cancels
Eighth Rovised Page 31 cancels
Fifth Revised Page 32 cancels
Sixth Revised Page 35 cancels
Fifth Rovised Page 36 cancels
Fifth Revised Page 39 cancels
Fourth Revised Page 40 cancels
Fifth Revised Page 40 cancels
Sixth Revised Page 41 cancels Fourth Revised Page 26 Fourth Revised Page 28 Sixth Revised Page 29 Seventh Revised Page 31 Fourth Rovised Page 32 Fifth Rovised Page 35 Fourth Revised Page 38 Third Revised Page 39 Fourth Revised Page 40 Rovised Page 41 cancels Fifth Revised Page 41 Sixth

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that tariff publications required to be made by common carriers as a result of the amendments herein of the aforesaid tariff shall be made effective on or before September 17, 1951, on not less than five (5) days' notice to the Commission and to the public.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that in all other respects the potition of The Motor Truck Association of Southern California, referred to in the preceding opinion, be, and it hereby is, denied.

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 5

Item No.	SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)
	APPLICATION OF RATES
100.	Rates provided in this tariff are for the transportation of shipments, as defined in Items Nos. 10(1) and 11(1) series from point of origin to point of destination, and include loading into and unloading from the carrier's equipment, subject to Note 1.
	NOTE 1 When shipment is picked up at or delivered to a point not at street level, and no vehicular elevator service or vehicular ramp is provided and made available to the carrier, an additional charge of 5 cents per 100 pounds, minimum additional charge 25 cents per shipment, shall be assessed for the service of handling shipment beyond carrier's equipment; except that no additional charge shall be made for this service in connection with shipments weighing less than 100 pounds.
	ACCESSORIAL CHARGES
÷110-E Cancels 110-D	An additional charge at the rate of \$2.43 per man per hour, minimum charge \$1.21, shall be made for stacking, sorting or any other accessorial or incidental service which is not authorized to be performed under the rates named in this tariff and for which a charge is not otherwise provided.
	MINIMUM CHARGE
ת ממנא	Except as otherwise provided the minimum charge per shipment shall be as follows:
%120-D Cancels	Weight of shipment (in pounds)
120-0	But Over Not over
•	0 25 52 25 50 65 50 75 76 75 100 91 100 - 100
≯Cher ♦ Inc:	nge ) Decision No. 46088
	EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 17, 1951
Issue	d by the Fublic Utilities Commission of the State of Californi San Francisco, Californi
Correc	tion No. 112

Fourth Revised Page ... 17
Cancels
Third Revised Page ... 17

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HIGHWAY CARRIERS: TARIFF NO. 5

Item No.	SECTION NO. 1 — RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)
	SPLIT DELIVERY  The charge for a split delivery shipment, as defined in Item  No. ll(j) series, shall be the charge applicable to the transportation  of a single shipment of the same kind and quantity of property from  point of origin to that point of destination of any component part  which produces the highest charge, plus an added charge as provided  in paragraph (1):
*130-D Cancols 130-C	(1) Table of added charges:  Number of Deliveries
	(2) At time of tender of shipment carrier shall issue a single bill of lading or shipping document for the composite shipment, and be furnished with manifest or written delivery instructions showing the name of each consignee, the point of destination, and the kind and quantity of property in each component part.  (3) In the event a lower aggregate charge results from treating one or more component parts as a separate shipment, such lower basis may be applied.
140	ALTERNATIVE APPLICATION OF COMMON CARRIER RATES  Common carrier rates may be applied in lieu of the rates provided in this tariff, when such common carrier rates produce a lower aggregate charge for the same transportation between the same points of origin and destination, and for the same accessorial services, than results from the application of rates herein provided.
*	Change ) Decision No. 46088
	EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 17, 1951 ssued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California,
	Sen Francisco, California.

Sixth Revised Page----18 CITY CARRIERS! TARIFF NO. 4 Cancols HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 5 Fifth Revised Page --- 18 SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued) No. COLLECT ON DELIVERY (C.O.D.) SHIPMENTS (a) No carrier shall handle any C.O.D. shipment unless and until it has on file with the Commission a good and sufficient bond in such form as the Commission may deem proper, in a sum of not less than Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00). (b) The bond required by paragraph (a) hereof, shall be filed by the carrier as principal and by some solvent surety company, authorized to do business in the State of California, as surety, payable to the State of California, and/or any person or persons to whom any amount may be due on any C.O.D. shipment transported by said carrier and not remitted to the person or persons to whom it is due within ten (10) days after delivery of any such ship-\*150-F Cancels ment; however, when the carrier has filed with any municipality or board thereof, pursuant to ordinance, a bond in a 150-E sum not less than Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00), payable to said board or municipality and/or any person or persons to whom any amount may be due on any C.O.D. shipment transported by said carrier and not remitted to the person or persons to whom it is due within ten (10) days after delivery of any such shipment, the filing by such carrier of a certified copy of said bond with this Commission shall be deemed compliance herewith. Each bond filed pursuant to the foregoing shall specify the extent to which the carrier's operations are covered thereby and may cover more than one operative authority held by the same carrier. When a carrier with such a bond or bondson file with the Commission obtains additional operative authority, said bond or bonds shall be revised or reissued to show whether or not the additional operative authority is covered thereby. No C.O.D. bond shall be canceled on less than thirty (30) days' notice to the Commission. (c) In the handling of C.O.D. shipments carrier shall, promptly upon collection of any and all C.O.D. moneys, and in no event later than ten (10) days after delivery to consignee, unless consignor instructs otherwise in writing, remit to consignor all C.O.D. moneys collected by it on such shipments. (d) The charges for collecting and remitting the amount of C.O.D. bills collected on C.O.D. shipments weighing less than 100 pounds shall be \$15 cents for each \$100.00 or fraction thereof. (e) The charges for collecting and remitting the amount of C.O.D. bills collected on C.O.D. shipments weighing 100 pounds and over shall be as follows:

	\$ 5.00		ver \$2.50	
		cover	2.50 not	Over
	10.00		5.00 not	Over
	20.00	revo	10.00 not	Over
	25.00		20.00 not	
54	40.00		25.00 not	Over
58	50.00		40.00 not	Over
.73	60.00		50.00 not	Over
76	80.00			Over
79	100.00		80.00 not	Over
1.00			100.00 not	Over
1.02			102.50 not	Over
1.07			105,00 not	Over
1.10			110.00 not	Over
1.12			120.00 not	Over
1.17			140.00 not	Over
			150.00 not	Over
1.27 1.31			160.00 not 180.00 not	Over
1.46				Over
7.40	250.00		200.00 not	Over
1.00	360.00			_
2 3 3	100.00	CAGT	360.00 not	,
		CAGT	100.00 not	
				1
2.78	550.00			
				1
				1
				1
				1
4.31	900-00			Over
			900.00 not	Over
4.75	1,000.00			Over
00.00	\$4.75 per \$1	rate of	1,000.00 at	Over J
1.68 1.89 2.12 2.34 2.56 2.78 2.78 2.99 3.21 3.43 3.66 3.88 4.09 4.31 4.53 4.75	300.00 350.00 400.00 500.00 550.00 650.00 750.00 850.00 950.00	over over over over over over over over	250.00 not 300.00 not 350.00 not 450.00 not 550.00 not 550.00 not 650.00 not 750.00 not 850.00 not 850.00 not 900.00 not	Over Over Over Over Over Over Over Over

Correction No. 114

Item No.	SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)
	POOL CARS
	(a) For the service of unloading, segregating, or unloading and segregating property tendered to the carrier in pool cars, the following charges shall be made in addition to transportation charges:
	(1)Merchandise classified as per 100 pounds  First Class———————————————————————————————————
*165-E Cancels 165-D	destination involved.  (b) The term "Pool Car" as used in this item means a rail car or motor vehicle (other than carrier's equipment) containing property intended for delivery to two or more points of destination located within the zones described in Items Nos. 30 to and including 33 series.
	<ul> <li>(c) Classification ratings shall be based upon the L.C.L. (less than carload) ratings in the Western Classification, Exception Sheet or this tariff.</li> <li>(d) Articles taking a rating higher than first class shall be computed upon the percentage of the first class rating, as set forth in the Western Classification, Exception Sheet or this tariff.</li> <li>(e) When rail pool cars are unloaded and segregated at and deliveries made from carrier's established depot, said depot will be considered as being located within Zone 1-A for the purpose of assessing transportation charges under this tariff, and transportation rates shall be applied from Zone 1-A as point of origin.</li> </ul>
170	DELAYED DELIVERY OF SHIPMENTS  (a) Whore carrier cannot effect delivery upon arrival of shipment at point of destination, a free storage period of 48 hours from the first 7:00 A.M. thereafter may be allowed. After said free storage period, storage charges shall be assessed at not less than 1½ cents per 100 pounds per day for each of the first five days and at not less than 3 cents per 100 pounds per day for the sixth and each succeeding day until such time as instructions regarding disposition of the shipment are received by the carrier. In computing time, Sundays and legal helidays shall be excluded.  (b) Subsequent delivery of the property from point of storage shall constitute a new shipment.  DISPOSITION OF FRACTIONS
180	In computing a rate based on a percentage of another rate, the rule shall be observed in the disposition of fractions:  Fractions of less than \( \frac{1}{2} \) or .50 of a cent, omit.  Fractions of \( \frac{1}{2} \) or .50 of a cent or greater, increase to next whole figure.
	↑ Change   Doctision No. 46088
	EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 17, 1951
Correct	Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Fifth Revised Page ....26
Cancels
Fourth Revised Page....26

city carriers' tariff no. 4 Highway carriers' tariff no. 5

Item No.	SECTION NO. 3 - CLASS RATESO In Cents per 100 Pounds												
	Rate				Min	imum	Weig	ht i	n Pot	mds			
	Basis	Any wuantity			500			2,000					
	<del></del>	1	2	3 1	4	1 1	2	3 1	4	1	2	3 1	4
	A	80	72	64	56	57	52	46	40	1111	39	35	31
	В	81	73	65	57	58	53	47	42	47	42	38	33
	C	82	74	66	<i>5</i> 8	59	54	48	42	54	49	43	38
*310-E Cancels 310-D													
	Rate				Min	lmum	weig	ht 1	n Poi	unds			
	Basis			.000				000			20,0	00	
			2	3	_4	1 1	2	_3_1	4	1 1	2		14
	A	26	23	21	18	19	17	15	°13	15	13 <del>2</del>	12	10多
	В	28	25	22	20	51	19	17	15	15%	: 14	12호	ુગા
	С	37	33	30	26	27	5/1	21	19	19	17	15	13
1	nge roase, c: change	:cept	as n	oted	}	Decis	cion (	Wo.	<b>4</b> 60:	SS			
													·
	•	٠										•	
						Ī	FPEC	TIVE	S 3	SEPTEM	BER 1	.7, 19	)51
Issued	by The	Publ	ic V	tili	ties.	Comm	issi	on c					lifornia lifornia
Correc	tion No.	116											

Fifth Revised Page ... 28
Cancels
Fourth Revised Page ... 28

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 5

Item No.	SECTION NO. 4 - COMMODITY RATES
*325-B Cancels 325-A	FREIGHT, regardless of classification, transported between or within the zones described in Items Nos. 30, 31, 32 and 33 series, subject to Note 1.  ARTE  16 Cents per package or per piece, plus 1-3/4 cents for each pound or fraction thereof of its gross weight.  Note 1.—Rates named in this item apply only to shipments weighing less than 100 pounds, and are not subject to the provisions of Item No. 120 series.
	FREIGHT transported between or within the zones described in Items Nos. 30, 31, 32 and 33 series and between points at which facilities are maintained for the loading of property into or upon, and the unloading of property from, rail cars, including truck loading and unloading facilities of plants or industries located at such rail loading and unloading points:  Apply the railroad switching rates in effect on date of shipment as published in the tariffs of the rail carriers on file with the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, plus an added charge as provided herein.
*330-D Cancels 330-C	Any quantity or less-carload ratings as shown in the Western Classification, Exception Sheet Added charges in or this tariff cents per 100 pounds
	lst Class or Higher       8½         2nd Class       7½         3rd Class       6½         4th Class or Lower       4½
	* Change ) Decision No. 46088
	EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 17, 1951
	Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.
Correct	ion No. 117

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 5

Cancels Sixth Revised Page ... 29

Item No.	SECTION NO. 4 - COMMODITY RATES (Continued) In Cents per 100 Pounds						
	FREIGHT, V	iz.:					
*340-G Cancels 340-F	also Item Flow or Grain and scribed the Exce Iron and Bands, Bars,pla Billets, Castings Fencing, Fittings Forging, Hoops, Ingots, Nails, Nuts, Pipe, Rivets, Rods, Sheets,b gated of Tinplate Washers, Wire. Iron and	ms Nos. 40 Corn Meal, other art in Item No ption Shee Steel Arti in, corruga , rough, , pipe, rough, lack, galve r plain, le, , Steel, str unfabrica uss, ost,	icles as de-	es),  of  r bent,	fab corrections of the correction of the correct	sisting of: ( mes, circula ders, des, elevate gors, joist, der assembli wor, ing, tes, fish, loys, tank o lings, bridg ls, es, riveted es, sses, ing, pier, nbuckles, ghts (not in ights), s. ; viz.: er, waste, an ne pressed t n-out; Tubes( ic, old, worn- ap, having va r purposes or er, newsprint	mfabricated, Continued)  r,  or,  os, tank or  or reservoir,  ge,  or cast,  cluding sash  calcs; Sacks,old, (rubber),old, (rubber),pneu- out; Metal, clue for remolt- cly.  cruit, not fit
		10,000	<u>Minimum Woigh</u>	t in Pou	nds	20,000	
		Rate Basis				Rate Basis	
}	A	В	С		A	В	C
	\$ 8	◊10	<b>♦14</b>	<b>◊</b>	7	◇ %	♦ 9
	* Chan ◊ Incr		ision No. 4	60SS			
				EFFEC	TIVE	SEPTEMBER	17, 1951

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Correction No. 118

Eighth Revised Page ... 31
Concels
Seventh Revised Page ... 31

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 5

No.	SECTION NO. 4 - COMMODITY RATES (Continued) In Cents Per 100 Pounds									
	FREIGHT, vi		tems Nos. 341	., 342 and	343 serie:	s)				
342-B Cancels 342-A	Roofing, Building, or Paving Wine, domestic, having a Material, as described in declared value of not more Item No. 1110 series of the Exception Sheet (subject to Note),									
<i>J42</i> -41	lllO series there may b yarn, nails	of the inclu and ti	Exception Shaded: metal fa	eet as bei steners, m s, not to	ng subjectet or w	sted in Item No t to Note 1 the coden strips, m n percent (10%)	rein,			
	FREIGHT, as	descri	bed in Items	Nos. 341 a	nd 342 se:	mes.				
			Minimum Wei	ght in Pou	mds					
*343 <b>-</b> E		10,000	)		20,000					
Cancels 343-D	R	ate Bas	is		Rate Basi	<u> </u>	·			
345 <del>-0</del>	A	B	С	A	В	C				
<del> </del>	♦10	◊12	♦16}	\$\$	♦9	♦ 10½				
	Coment clinker, Sacks, empty, cement, returning from an outbound paying load.  Minimum Weight 28,500 pounds									
3/.5-C	Sacks, emp	ty, cer	•	-	•	paying load.				
	Between		•	ght 28,500	pounds					
345-C Cancols 345-B	Between Any point located wi	thin	And Any other point located	(1)MII	pounds ES not	(1)MILES But not	4			
Cancols	Between Any point	thin 1-B,	Minimum Weig And Any other	(1)MII Over or	pounds ES not	(1)MILES But not Over over	Rate 6 74 82			
ancols	Between Any point located wi Zonos 1-A, 1-C, 1-D, 11, 12 or  (1) Milca mile	thin 1-B, 10, 17	And  Any other point located within Zones 1-A, 1-B, 1-C, 1-D, 10, 11, 12 or 17	(1)MII But Over or 0 22 72 122 2	Pounds  ES not rer Rate  2½ 4  7½ 4½  2½ 5  25 5½  asis of th	(1)MILES But not Over over 25 50 50 75	Rate 6 75 85			
ancols	Between Any point located wi Zonos 1-A, 1-C, 1-D, 11, 12 or  (1) Milca mile	thin 1-B, 10, 17 ge shal	And  Any other point located within Zones 1-A, 1-B, 1-C, 1-D, 10, 11, 12 or 17	(1)MII Over or  O 22 72 122 2 1 on the beighway or	Pounds  ES not rer Rate  2 4 4 7 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	(1)MILES But not Over over 25 50 50 75 75 100	Rate 6 75 85			
ancols	Between Any point located wi Zonos 1-A, l-C, l-D, ll, 12 or  (1) Milca mile stre  * Change	thin 1-B, 10, 17 ge shal	And  Any other point located within Zones 1-A, 1-B, 1-C, 1-D, 10, 11, 12 or 17	(1)MII Over or  O 22 72 122 2 1 on the beighway or	pounds  ES not ver Rate 2½ 4 7½ 4½ 2½ 5 25 5½ asis of th highways	(1)MILES But not Over over 25 50 50 75 75 100	Rate 6 72 St			
ancols	Between  Any point located wi Zonos 1-A, l-C, l-D, ll, 12 or  (1) Milca mile stre  * Change Increase	thin 1-B, 10, 17 ge shall age via et or s ) Decis	And Any other point located within Zones 1-A, 1-B, 1-C, 1-D, 10, 11, 12 or 17  I be computed any public heary treets.	(1)MII Over or O 25 75 125 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	pounds  ES not ver Rate 2½ 4 7½ 4½ 2½ 5 25 5½ asis of the highways	(1)MILES But not Over over 25 50 50 75 75 100 e shortest actuor any public	Rate 6 74 82 ial			

Fifth Rovised Page ... 32 Cancels Fourth Revised Page ... 32

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 5

Itom No.	SECTION NO. 4 - COMMODITY RATES (Continued) In Conts per 100 Pounds											
	LUMBER AND FOREST PRODUCTS, as described in Item No. 580 series of the Exception Sheet:											
	Fate	Mi	Minimum woight in pounds									
*360-E	Bosis	Any Quantity	500	2,000	4,000	10,000	20,000	30,000				
Cancols 360-D	Φ Δ	23	ध्य	16	13	95 5	7	7				
	◊ в	32	22	19	13½	10	8	7				
	<b>⋄</b> c	36	26	24	20	74字	102	8				
			!									

<sup>\*</sup> Change ) Decision No. 45088

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 17, 1951

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Correction No. 120

Sixth Revised Page ... 35 Cancels Fifth Revised Page ... 35

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HICHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 5

Item No:	SECTION NO. 4 - COMMODITY RATES (Concluded) In cents per 100 pounds									
	PAPER AN	D PAPER ARTICL	ES, Vi	iz.:						
	Boxes, paperboard or pulpboard, flat or folded flat, with or without fillers, partitions and pads sufficient to complete the boxes in the shipment,  Paperboard or Pulpboard, binders', bristol, card, tar or trunk board.									
	Min	imm Weight			<b>\$</b> (	Column A	♦ Column B			
*385-C Cancels 385-B	20.	000 pounds 000 pounds			_ <u></u>	<del></del> 9	14 <del>)</del> 11 10 <del>)</del>			
	30,000 pounds————————————————————————————————————									
	wit Ite	hin but not be ms Nos. 30, 31 rates apply: on the one han	tween ., 32 a Betwo d and	Zones 10 and 33 se en Zones Zones 10	0, 11, 12 eries. s 1-A, 1. 0, 11, 12	2 or 17, a -B, 1-C, : 2 or 17 or	as describe 1-D, 10, 11 n the other	d in , 12 or		
	wit Ite	hin but not be ms Nos. 30, 31 rates apply: on the one han	tween ., 32 a Betwo d and	Zones 10 and 33 se en Zones Zones 10	0, 11, 12 eries. s 1-A, 1. 0, 11, 12	2 or 17, a -B, 1-C, : 2 or 17 or	as describe 1-D, 10, 11 n the other	d in . , 12 or		
*.u	wit Ite COLUMN B 17 dos	hin but not be ms Nos. 30, 31 rates apply: on the one han	tween, 32 a Betwood and and as Nos.	Zones 10 and 33 se cen Zones Zones 10 . 30, 31	0, 11, 12 eries. s 1-A, 1. 0, 11, 12	2 or 17, a -B, 1-C, 1 2 or 17 or 33 series	as describe 1-D, 10, 11 n the other	d in . , 12 or		
*390 <b>-</b> F	COLUMN B 17 dos SUGAR:	hin but not be ms Nos. 30, 31 rates apply: on the one han	tween, 32 s Betwood and s Nos. Mini	Zones 10 and 33 se cen Zones Zones 10 . 30, 31	0, 11, 13 eries. s 1-A, 1. 0, 11, 13 , 32 and	2 or 17, a -B, 1-C, 1 2 or 17 or 33 series	as describe 1-D, 10, 11 n the other	d in , 12 or		
Cancels	COLUMN B 17 dos SUGAR:	hin but not be ms Nos. 30, 31  rates apply: on the one han cribed in Item	tween, 32 s Betwood and s Nos. Mini	Zones 10 and 33 se cen Zones Zones 10 . 30, 31	o, 11, 12 eries. s 1-A, 1- o, 11, 12 , 32 and	2 or 17, a  -B, 1-C, 1  2 or 17 or  33 series	as describe	d in , 12 or		
*390-F Cancels 390-E	COLUMN B 17 dos SUGAR: Rate Basis	hin but not be ms Nos. 30, 31  rates apply: on the one han cribed in Item  Any Quantity	tween, 32 s Betwood and s Nos. Mini	Zones 10 and 33 seen Zones Zones 10 30, 31	o, 11, 12 eries. s 1-A, 1- 0, 11, 12 , 32 and ght in Po	2 or 17, a -8, 1-C, 1 2 or 17 or 33 series	as describe 1-D, 10, 11 1 the other 5.	d in , 12 or		

Change Decision No. 46088

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 17, 1951

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Correction No. 121

Fifth Rovisod Page ... 38

Cancels

Fourth Rovised Page ... 38

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HICHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 5

Itom No.	SECTION NO. 5 - UNIT RATES, RULES AND RECULATIONS (Continued)
*401-E Cancels 401-D	RULES AND REGULATIONS (Concluded)  (Items Nos. 400 and 401 series)  (d) Rates named in Items Nos. 420 and 430 series are subject to an additional charge at the rate of \$\frac{1}{2}.43\$ per man, per hour, minimum charge \$\frac{1}{2}.21\$, when carrier furnishes help in addition to the driver. The time for computing the additional charge shall be not less than the actual time in minutes the helper or helpers are engaged in performing the services. The total time so computed shall be converted into hours and fractions thereof. Fractions of an hour shall be determined in accordance with the table provided by Note 2(b) Item No. 420 series.  (e) Unit rates named in Item No. 410 series are not applicable when shipper requests and carrier furnishes transportation directly from point of origin to point of destination without passing through carrier's terminal.
*	Change   Decision No. 46088
	EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 17, 1951
Correct	Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Item No.	SECTION NO. 5 - UNIT PATES, RULES AND RECULATIONS (Continued)
	FREIGHT, regardless of classification, transported between or within the zones described in Items Nos. 30, 31, 32 and 33 series, subject to Notes 1, 2 and 3:
*410-D Cancols 410-C	Minimum Units per calendar per unit  Any Quantity———————————————————————————————————
·	NOTE 3.—The number of units shall be computed as follows:  Weight of shipment in pounds  Number of units  50 or less———————————————————————————————————
	over 1,000 pounds, use same method of computation as provided above for first 1,000 pounds.
	* Chango ) Decision No. 45088
	EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 17, 1951
	Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.
Corro	tion No. 123

Fifth Revised Page ... 40 Cancels
Fourth Revised Page ... 40

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4 HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 5

Item No.	SECTION NO. 5 - UNIT RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS (Continued)
*420-E Cancels 420-D	FREIGHT, regardless of classification, transported between or within the zones described in Items Nos. 30, 31, 32 and 33 series, subject to Notes 1, 2 and 3:
	to Notes 1, 2 and 3:  \( \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc
	250 or less
	Over 250 but not over 2,500 500 500
	Over 2,500 but not over 5,000——— 520 520
	Over 5.000 but not over 8.000—— 545 545
	Over 8.000 but not over 12.000 560 560
	Over 12,000 but not over 20,000 665 665
	Over 20.000 but not over 30.000 720 720
	Over 30,000 but not over 30,000——— 720 720 720 870
	NOTE 1.—Weight in pounds is the greatest (heaviest) gross weight of the property transported by the unit of carrier's equipment at one time during a single transaction. No allowance shall be made for weight of containers.
	NOTE 2.—(a) The total of the loading, unloading and driving time computed from the arrival of carrier's equipment at point of origin, or first point of origin when more than one point of origin is involved, to the time unloading is completed at point of destination, or last point of destination when more than one point of destination is involved, subject to paragraph (b) hereof, shall be used to compute charges.
	(b) In computing time under the basis outlined in paragraph (a) hereof the various time factors shall be not less than the actual time involved in minutes. After the total time has been determined under the provisions of paragraph (a) hereof, it shall be converted into hours and fractions thereof. Fractions of an hour shall be determined in accordance with the following table:
	Loss than 8 minutes omit.
	8 minutes or more but less than 23 minutes shall be & hour.
	23 minutes or more but less than 38 minutes shall be 2 hour.
	38 minutes or more but loss than 53 minutes shall be 3/4 hour. 53 minutes or more shall be 1 hour.
	NOTE 3.—Between the hours of 6:00 P.M. and 7:00 A.M., and on Sundays or helidays, an additional charge at the rate of \$\infty\$100 cents per hour (or fraction thereof) shall be assessed.
	* Change ) Decision No. 46088
	EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 17, 1951
	Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.
Corro	etion No. 124

Sixth Revised Page ... 41
Cancels
Fifth Revised Page ... 41

Correction No. 125

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 4

Item	evised Page 41 HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 5
No.	SECTION NO. 5 - UNIT RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS (Concluded)
	FREIGHT, regardless of classification, transported between or within the zones described in Items Nos. 30, 31, 32 and 33 series:
	Weight in Pounds Column
	2,500 or less———————————————————————————————————
	Over 8,000 but not over 12,000—141 169 597 693 101 337 Over 12,000 but not over 20,000—167 200 716 814 11 337 Over 20,000 but not over 30,000—181 217 775 880 13 352
<u>*</u> 430 <b>–</b> E	Over 30,000 218 261 937 1051 17 359 (1)COLUMN 1—Rates in dollars per unit of carrier's equipment for a
	period of five successive days or any portion thereof, excluding Sunday and holidays, and limited to 8 hours out of each 9 consecutive hours.
	When equipment is operated in excess of 250 miles during such period, add rates provided by Column 5. When equipment is operated in excess of 8 hours in each 9 hours, add rates provided by Column 6.
ancels 430-D	period of six successive days or any portion thereof, excluding Sundays and holidays, and limited to 8 hours out of each 9 consecutive hours.
	When equipment is operated in excess of 300 miles during such period, add rates provided by Column 5. When equipment is operated in excess of 8 hours in each 9 hours, add rates provided by Column 6.
	COLUMN 3-Rates in dollars per unit of carrier's equipment for a poriod of twenty-one successive days or, when the equipment is not operated on Saturdays, Sundays and holidays, for a period of twenty-one
	successive days exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays and holidays, or any portion of such poriods. When equipment is operated in excess of 1,050 miles during the period, add rates provided by Column 5. When equip-
	ment is operated in excess of 8 hours in any one day, add rates pro- vided by Column 6.
	COLUMN 4—Rates in dollars per unit of carrier's equipment for a period of twenty-five successive days or, when the equipment is not operated on Sundays and holidays, for a period of twenty-five successive
	days, exclusive of Sundays and holidays, or any portion of such per- iods. When equipment is operated in excess of 1,250 miles during the period, add rates provided by Column 5. When equipment is operated in
	oxcess of 8 hours in any one day, add rates provided by Column 6.  COLUMN 5—Rates in cents per mile to be added to the Column 1, 2, 3 and 4 rates when the unit of carrier's equipment is operated in
	excess of the maximum mileage allowed therounder.  COLUMN 6—Rates in cents per hour to be added to the Column 1,  2, 3 and 4 rates when the unit of carrier's equipment is operated in excess of the maximum hours allowed thereunder.
	NOTE 1.—Weight in pounds is the gross weight of the property transported by the unit of carrier's equipment at the time the equipment is transporting the greatest
	(heaviest) load during the period covered by the transaction. No allowance shall be made for weight of containers.
	* Change ) \$\forall \text{Increase} \text{ Decision No. 45088} \\ (1) New column of rates. )
	EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 17, 1951
	Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California,

C. 4121 - 4808 - HM - Drayage In all other respects said Decision No. 32504, as amonded, shall remain in full force and effect. The offective date of this order shall be twenty (20) days after the date horoof. Dated at San Francisco, California, this 142 day of August, 1951. COMMISSIONERS