# ORIGINAL

Case No. 4108

Decision No. <u>46544</u>

c.4108-4109.s

1

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Establishment of rates, rules and regulations for the transportation of property by radial highway common carriers and highway contract carriers between, and by city carriers within, the Cities of Oakland, Alameda, Albany, Berkeley, Emeryville and Piedmont.

In the Matter of the Investigation and Establishment of rates, charges, classi-fication, rules, regulations, contracts and practices of East Bay Drayage and Warehouse Co. et al., between the Cities of Oakland, Alameda, Albany, Berkeley, Emeryville and Piedmont.

Case No. 4109

#### Appearances

Clifton E. Brooks and Philip A. Winter, for petitioner. Daniel W. Baker, for Draymens' Association of Alameda County.

#### <u>O P I N I O N</u>

This decision deals with a petition filed October 29, 1951, by C. R. Becker, doing business as Delivery Service Company, seeking increases approximating 122 percent in the minimum rates established for the transportation of small shipments within and between East Bay cities.

Petitioner specializes in the transportation of small shipments as a highway common carrier between, and as a city carrier within, the East Bay cities of Alameda, Albany, Berkeley, El Cerrito, Emeryville, Oakland and Piedmont. For convenience, this operation will herein be referred to as the "common carrier operation." In addition, he conducts another highway carrier operation under a con-tract carrier permit between the East Bay cities mentioned and San Leandro Hayward Niles. Pleasanton Walnut Creek Martinez Leandro, Hayward, Niles, Pleasanton, Walnut Creek, Martinez, Pittsburg, Antioch, Richmond, Vallejo and intermediate points. The proposed increases would apply to the "common carrier opera-tion." Petitioner does not request an increase in, nor does he propose to raise, the minimum rates established for the transporta-tion of small shipments handled under his contract carrier permit.

-1-

Public hearing was held at San Francisco on November 29, 1951, before Examiner Lake.

C.4108-410

The minimum rates here in issue are set forth in Items 950, 900 and 990 series of City Carriers' Tariff No. 2-A - Highway 2 Carriers' Tariff No. 1-A. Certain of these rates apply for retail purcel deliveries. Others apply to small shipments transported for jobbers, wholesalers and industries. The volume of the rates varies with the number of shipments transported per month and the number of daily deliveries furnished. A higher basis is provided for special delivery service.

This matter was last considered by the Commission in Decision No. 46207 dated September 18, 1951, in these proceedings. In that decision a need for additional revenues for petitioner's combined operations was indicated. However, the showing made with respect to the financial results of the "common carrier operation" was found to be deficient, particularly in that it was not established that the allocation of the expenses to each goveration was proper. Petitioner's request was denied.

In the instant proceeding petitioner testified that since 1948 substantial increases had occurred in the costs of performing the services which he renders. Increased operating expenses due to higher labor rates and increased cost of material and supplies equaled 22 percent of the current total expenses. An increase in labor rates of 7% percent, he said, had been granted his operating employees as recently as November 1, 1951.

2	The	proposed	rates	are	specifically	set	forth	in	the	petition.
3	See	Decision	No. 40	5207,	supra.					

-2-

The witness stated that rates for the contract carrier services had been kept abreast of the increased costs but that no change had been made since 1948 in the established minimum rates for the "common carrier operation." The former operation, he asserted, was profitable but the "common carrier operation" was being conducted at an operating ratio in excess of 100 percent.

The financial results of petitioner's operations for the period January 1, 1951 to September 7, 1951, under present and proposed rates are set forth in the following Tables:

#### TABLE NO. 1

Revenues and Expenses under Present Rates

	Common <u>Carrier</u>	Contract <u>Carrier</u>	Combined Operations
Revenues Expenses	\$74,346.15 74,354.20	\$33,543.66 30,461.44	\$107,889.81 104,815.64
Net Income	(3.05)	3,082.22	3,074.17
Operating Ratio After Taxes (1)	100.01%	93.11%	97.86%
. (1)	Taxes were deter	mined at corp	oration rates
()	Indicates Loss		•

#### TABLE NO. 2

Revenues and Expenses under Proposed Rates

	Common	Contract	Combined
	<u>Carrier</u>	<u>Carricr</u>	Operations
Revenues	\$83,639.42	\$33,543.66	\$117,183.08
Expenses(1)	76,989 <b>.</b> 37	31,365.77	108,355.14
Net Income	6,650.05	2,177.89	8,827.94
Operating Ratio After Taxes(2)	94.04%	95.13%	94.35%

(1) Includes, for the entire period, wage (1) Increases which became effective November 1, 1951.
 (2) Taxes were determined at corporation rates.

Σ

Petitioner's auditor testified that in determining the financial results of the separate operations he had allocated the direct operating expenses, except with respect to the wages for the dispatcher, to the particular operation in which these expenses were incurred. The dispatcher's wages were allocated on the basis of the number of packages handled in each operation. The general overhead and administrative expenses were allocated to each operation on the basis of the percentage ratio which the direct operating expenses bear to the total expenses. This treatment of expenses cures the deficiencies found in petitioner's prior proceeding.

No one opposed the granting of the relief sought. The executive secretary of the draymen's association testified that his association had considered petitioner's request and was in favor of its being granted. He said that an investigation of the East Bay carriers affected by the rates in issue disclosed that petitioner was the only carrier operating wholly in this field. He said further, that other carriers handled this class of traffic only to a limited extent and then only as a convenience to their patrons.

The record is convincing that the minimum rates here in issue for the transportation of small shipments are insufficient. It has been shown, and we hereby find on this further record, that ' the proposed rates are reasonable. The petition will be granted.

• Running expenses were calculated on mileage. Drivers' wages were computed upon man hours. Insurance, licenses and depreciation expenses for the automotive equipment were assigned on a vehicle unit basis.

\_<u>}</u>\_

## <u>or r d e r</u>

Based upon the evidence of record and upon the conclusions and findings set forth in the preceding opinion,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that City Carriers' Tariff No. 2-A -Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 1-A (Appendix "A" of Decision No. 41362 as amended) be and it is hereby further amended by incorporating therein, to become effective January 20, 1952, Second Revised Page 39 cancels First Revised Page 39 and Second Revised Page 40 cancels First Revised Page 40, attached hereto and by this reference made a part hereof.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that tariff publications required to be made by common carriers as a result of the order herein may be made effective on not less than five (5) days' notice to the Commission and to the public.

In all other respects said Decision No. 41362, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty (20) days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 18 day of rember , 1951.

-5-

eul

### Second Revised Page ... 39 Cancels First Revised Page ... 39

į,

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 2-A HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 1-A

No.	COMMODITY	RATE	
	PARCEL CITY DELIVERY (RETAIL) as defined in Item No. 940 series. SCHEDULE A SERVICE(Applicable only when shipper request and carrier furnishes no more than two daily deliveries) (Subject to Note 1). Monthly Volume 10 units or less	52	
950-B Ancels 950-A	Over       10 but not over       20 units         "       20 "" " " 10 "         "       10 " " 1 10 "         "       10 " " 1 1,200 "         "       1,200 " " " 1,200 "         "       1,200 " " " 1,200 "         "       1,000 " " " 1,200 "         "       1,000 " " " 1,200 "         "       1,000 " " " 10,000 "         "       10,000 " " " 12,000 "         "       12,000 " " " 111,000. "         "       12,000 " " " 111,000. "	45 36 25 25 23 29 18 17 16	
	SCHEDULE & SERVICE(Applicable only when shipper requests and carrier furnishes three or more daily deliveries) (Subject to Note 1). Monthly Volume 49 units or less	68 39 33 23	
0960-B Cancels 960-A	direct from consignor to consignee)	78	
970-A Cancels 970	at one destination of not more than two shipping containers with a total weight of contents not over 40 pounds. Ship- ments weighing more than 40 pounds or consisting of more than two shipping containers shall be charged one unit rate for each 40 pounds or each two shipping containers, or fraction thereof, whichever results in the higher charge. Weekly Volume		
	First 100 units	214 22 20 18 17 16	
	* Change ) Ducision No. 48544		

٠

Second Revised ge ... 40 Cancels First Revised Page ... 40

.9

CITY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 2-A HIGHWAY CARRIERS' TARIFF NO. 1-A

	SECTION 3 - COMMODITY RATES (Continued)	
	In cents per 100 pounds, except as noted	
Itom ( No.	COMMODITY	PATE
*980-E Cancels 980-A	MEAT DELIVERY RATES—(Applicable to meat, fish and poultry and the edible by-products thereof, fresh, dried, smoked, pickled or canned, when shipper requests and carrier furnishes three or more daily deliveries). (Subject to Note 1.) Service Charge—per week———————————————————————————————————	In Cents Per Unit
	Weckly Volume First 100 units	16 14 12 12 12 10
0750-3 Cancels 990-A	and only on deliveries from jobbers, wholesalers, industries and rotail stores to other jobbers, wholesalers, industries and retail stores.	In Conts Per Paekage 52 45 36 27
	<ol> <li>On all packages exceeding 40 pounds each in weight, each additional 25 pounds or fraction thereof shall be consid- ored an additional package and charge will be at the rates applicable for a 40 pound package.</li> </ol>	!
1000-A Cancels 1000	PILING, WOODEN, treated or untreated. Minimum 40,000 pounds per shipmont	8
	PIPE, CONCRETE, over 3 feet in length and under 9 feet in length Rates apply within and between all Zones and include distribu- tion at place of delivery, but do not include use of Dorrick. Minimum 50 tons per job, subject to Item No. 180 series Minimum 500 tons per job, subject to Item No. 180 series	8
	* Change ) Decision No. 46544	
	EFFECTIVE JANUARY 20, 195	2
Correc	Issued by the Public Utilitics Commission of the State of Califor San Francisco, Califor tion No. 65	

- 40 -