Decision No. 46632



BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of DELANO BUS LINE

- (a) For authority to raise the fare from the City of Delano to Headquarters, Sierra Vista Ranch, Camps #2, #1, #3, Sierra Vista Ranch and from Headquarters, Sierra Vista Ranch, Camps #2, #1, #3, Sierra Vista Ranch to the City of Delano.
- (b) For authority to raise the fare from the City of Delano to Lanza Camp, California Vineyards Inc. and from Lanza Camp, California Vineyards, Inc. to the City of Delano.
- (c) For authority to raise the fare from the City of Delano to Marlin Camp and from Marlin Camp to the City of Delano.
- (d) For authority to raise the fare from City of Delano to Schenley H. Ranch, Schenley Industries Inc. and from Schenley H Ranch, Schenley Industries Inc. to the City of Delano.

Application No. 32716 as amended.

Gustave W. Metz for applicant

<u>opinion</u>

Applicant Gustave W. Metz, doing business as Delano
Bus Line, has been operating a passenger stage service between
Delano and certain ranches in the surrounding area by author(1)
ity of this Commission since April 1951. He seeks by the

⁽¹⁾ Decision No. 45425, dated March 6, 1951, in Application No. 32123.

present application to increase his fares. The amended application seeks no increase of fare for transportation within the city limits of Delano, but the present 20-cent fares from Delano to Wallace Camp, to Headquarters, and to Camps Nos. 1, 2 and 3, are proposed to be increased to 25 cents. The present 25-cent fare from Delano to Lanza Camp is sought to be increased to 35 cents. No fare changes are proposed between Delano and Marlin Camp and Schenley H. Ranch.

As justification for the relief requested, the application alleges that the fares have not been increased since the operation was established by applicant's predecessor in 1946, but that the cost of operation has increased "to wit: tires, gasoline, oil, mechanical repairs including parts and labor, insurance and the possible need of replacing the present bus."

Public hearing was held before Examiner Rowe in Delano, California, on December 18, 1951. Oral and documentary evidence was adduced and the matter was duly submitted for decision.

Notice of the hearing and of the proposed fare increase was published in a newspaper of general circulation printed and published in Delano on December 3, 1951. Like notices were posted December 2, 1951, at the terminals and bus stops and in the equipment of applicant.

An associate transportation engineer on the Commission staff testified that he had made a study of applicant's service and facilities and of his operating expenses and revenues. According to his undisputed testimony, during the

seven-month period ending October 31, 1951, applicant's operation has resulted in a net operating loss of \$199.82. The rate base is \$600.00. The operating ratio for this operation is 112.2 per cent. This result was reached after adjusting applicant's records by allowing for depreciation and owner's salary of \$100.00 per month.

This expert estimated that with the proposed fares and with the same allowance for depreciation and salary an operating ratio of 105.2 per cent would result. At the present fares this witness stated that he expects an operating ratio of 130.1 per cent and a net loss of \$557.00 during 1952.

The probability of an increase of patronage is extremely doubtful in this operation. Applicant's only customers are Mexican nationals, Filipinos and Japanese field workers. They use this transportation service only in the evening to go to and return from Delano to the labor camps on the different ranches for recreation and to attend church and for other purposes during the day on Saturdays and Sundays. In its very nature this operation is necessarily seasonal and very unstable. The presence of taxicabs which cruise over the various ranches furnishes a substantial competition. This competition prevents applicant from asking a greater fare increase, but also materially reduces his bus revenue. In the opinion of this witness the proposed fares may result in some improvement in financial position should traffic increase. However, under no foresecable increase in traffic could these fares produce an unreasonably high rate of return. Considering the entire

published, filed and made effective within sixty (60) days after the effective date of this order.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty (20) days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Heaneised, California, this &

day of January, 1952

Commissioners

Commissioner Justus E. Craomer, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.