Decision No. 46559
IA
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
In the Matter of the Application of KEY SVSTEM TRiNSIT IINES, a corporation, for authority to reroute a portion of its No. 87 Kingsiand jevenue passenger stage in ne in the city of oakjend. State of California.

Donahue, Richarc, Rowell \& Gallagher, by George E. Thomas, for applicant.
John W. Collier, City ittornoy, Loron W. East, Public Utilities Engine or, for City of Oakiand, interested party.
William R. Peters, Associate Mransportation Engineer, for the Commission's staff.
Cester B. Wigging, Mrs. Mergaret T. Gibos, Committee to extend Brookdale Bus Line, interested parties.

## OPINION

Appiicant Key System Tranalt Ines, presentiy rendering a passenger stage service within the East Bay area among other points soeks authority to reroute its No. 87 Kingsiand fivenue passenger stage inne via Konticelio Avenue, and Brookda $\theta$ Avenue.

By virtue of Decision No. 30981, dated June 13, 1938, and Decision No. 32464 , datod November 10, 1938, in Appiication No. 19502, applicant is presently authorized to operate over the following route:

From the intersection of 55 th Avonue and Foothilil Bollovard in the City of Oakiand, via Trask Streot, Kingsiand Avenue, Madera Avenue, Birdsall Avenue and Kingsiand Avenue, returning to point of commoncement:

The proposed routo would extond one block farther aiong Eirdsall avenue to Monticello Avenuo and then back to the point of commencement via Monticollo ivenue and Brookdale Avenue thus rosulting in a much larger loop than that prosentiy followed. A public hearing was held before Examinor Daly in the City or Oakiand on February 27, 2952, on which date the matter was submitted.

A trafilc omgineer for applicant tostifiod that sinco 2949 eppilcant has beer considering the possibility of service for those rosidonts in the vicinity of Monticello and Brookialo Avenues, by extending 1ts route No. 87. In tho meantime he statod that residents in this aroa prosonted a potition fon extonsion of the No. I4 motor coach ine from its present torminal at Brookdalo Avonue; via Brookdale Avenue as for as Kingsiand and thonce via the route of the No. 87 Inne and via Foothill Boulovard connecting with the Arthur-011vo line ot Beck Avonuo. After full considaration of tho proposals applicant folt that tho foasiblo answer to tho problem was the extension of tho No. 87 11no rathor than the No. 14 inno. The proposod rorouting, ho assorted, would provide sorvice to those rosidonts in tho Maxwell Park Area in oddition to oliminating the nocossity of waiking a considerable distanco up a sharp grado on Brookdale dvenuc from the present routo siong Kingsiand. He aiso stated that the oinmination of sorvice song Kingsiand Avozue would rosult in a waiking distance of 300 foct ot tho farthor most points to olther Monticollo Avonuo or Madere Avenue for those peoplo rosiding on Kingsiond Avenuo.

The witness further statod that a trarife check was conductod on Tuesday, Jamary 22, 2952, between the hours of 6:26 a.m. and 6:47 p.m. and indicated the following: of a total of 225 passongers who boorded the No. 87 11ne, $1 d_{4}$ boorded on Kingsiand Avonue at Virginia, Fioming and Madera Avenues - all of these stops being on the portion of the route which applicant proposes to discontinuo. Oi a total of 316 passengers who aifghtod, 87 left the coach at the stops named.

Reprosentatives of the committoe for tho extonsion of the No. If Brookdale Bus Line appeared In support of the proposod rerouting, but rcquosted that tho linc bo extendod an additional
four blocks from Konticello and Allendale Avenues, thence via illendale ivenuo one block to Renwick Street, via Renwick Street two blocks to Brookdale Avenue, thence via Brookdale svenue and along the route as proposed by applicant.

The only protests voiced wero by two residents of Kingsland livenue who based their obfections upon the fact that the proposed rerouting passed a grammar school located on the comer of fleming Avenue and Monticello Avenue. It was their contention that a bus operating past the school would constitute a traffic hazard for the children.

Tho City of Oaklane took no position either in lavor of on in opposition to the authority sought.

The extension suggested along Allendale Avenue and Renwick Street would cover a comparatively short, level area and result in several additional turns on the route. The objection raised by the two residents of Kimgsiand dvenue as to the possibie trafife hazard for the school children appeurs to be overmagnified in viow of the ract that tho ohildren are guided across the intersections by the student trafifc patrol and tho fact that appieant proposes a bus stop at the conner of Fioming and Monticolio Avenues, where the school Is situated.

The proposod rerouting appuars to be in tho pubiic interest, for it would provido sorvice to more people as woll as eliminato the necossity of walking a stoep uphill grade for many rosidents.

After full comsideration of the facts tho commssion is Of the opinion and so Iinds that public convonitre and necossity recuire the rerouting of applicant's No. 87 Kingsiand ivenue passongor stage line as proposed. Tho change will be authorizad by amonding the route description in Docision No. 30981.

## ORDER

An application having been filed, a public hearing having been held thereon, the matter having been submitted, the Commission being fully informed and having found that public convenience and necessity so require,

IT IS ORDERED:
(1) That the route description as set forth in Route No. (3) on sheet 2 of Decision No. 30981, dated June 13, 1938, in Application No. 19502, 26th Supplemental, is hereby amended to read as follows:

Commencing at the intersection of Foothill Boulevard and Track Street, thence along Trash Street, iingsiand Avenue, Madera Avenue, Birdsall Avenue, Monticello Avenue, Brookdale Avenue, to Kingsiand Avenue to point of commencement.
(2) That applicant shall give prior notification to the public of the change herein authorized by posting adequate notice thereof along said No. 87 Kingsiand Avenue Line, at its terminals and on the buses operated over said line for not less than five (5) cays.
(3) That in all other respects Decision No. 30981 shall remain in full force and effect.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty (20). days after the date hereof.
 day of $\qquad$ .2952.


