Decision No. 47291

ION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BEFORE THE FUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF

In the Matter of the Investigation) into the rates, rules, regulations,) charges, allowances and practices) of all common carriers, highway) carriers and city carriers relating) to the transportation of property.)

Case No. 4808

SUPPLEMENTAL OPINION AND ORDER

Decision No. 46028 of July 31, 1951, established, effective January 1, 1952, revised constructive highway mileages for use in . connection with minimum rates set forth in Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 2 (rates for general commodities). It required common carriers to make corresponding revisions in their tariffs not later than January 1, 1952. By Decision No. 46581 of December 21, 1951, Valley Express Co. and Valley Motor Lines, Inc., were authorized to defer their tariff filings until June 30, 1952. By petition filed June 7, 1952, they seek authority to defer further and until September 30, 1952, the filings in question.

Petitioners represent that they desire to reissue their tariffs in their entirety, that they have been granted extended operating rights, that they have been authorized to establish various joint rate arrangements, that these developments have increased the volume of work involved in completely reissuing the tariffs, that they have been engaged in completely reissuing the tariff revisions since September 15, 1951, and that based on the results thus far achieved they will require the sought additional time in order to attain their objective of completely reissuing the tariffs.

The establishment of revised mileages is a matter affecting carriers and shippers generally, not a matter with which only petitioners and their patrons are concerned. Other common carriers have completed their tariff work. The order establishing the revised

-1-

mileages was issued some ten and one-half months ago. Petitioners elected to undertake the reissuance of their tariffs in full knowledge of their responsibility to establish tariff changes within the time specified for completion of the adjustments required by the mileage changes. It appears that the volume of work involved in reissuing petitioners' tariffs, not the amount of work relating to the mileage adjustments, has delayed the filing of tariffs pursuant to Decision No. 40028, supra. As above stated the reissuance of their tariffs was undertaken by petitioners on their own initiative. This work may be deferred without authorization of the Commission. In the circumstances, the proposed further extension of time to complete the tariff work involved in the mileage adjustments has not been justified. The petition will be denied. Petitioners are hereby placed on notice that they are required to establish the necessary tariff changes within the specified time.

Therefore, good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the petition of Valley Express Co. and Valley Motor Lines, Inc., filed June 7, 1952, in this proceeding, be and it is hereby denied.

Dated at San Francisco, Culifornia, this 17th day of June. 1952.

-2'-