

ORIGINAL

Decision No. 47501

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation to determine whether)
the provisions of proposed General)
Order No. 99 should be adopted and)
supersede the provisions of General)
Order No. 93-A pertaining to highway)
common carriers, petroleum irregular)
route carriers and petroleum)
contract carriers.)

Case No. 5097

O P I N I O N

Pursuant to Decision No. 47027, dated April 22, 1952, in Case No. 5097, the effective date of Decision No. 46591, dated December 21, 1951, in Case No. 5097, extending the effective date of certain designated provisions of General Order No. 99 was extended to August 1, 1952, and the presiding hearing officer was directed to file a proposed report.

A proposed report of Examiner Grant E. Syphers was filed on June 13, 1952, providing that in accordance with the Rules of Procedure of this Commission the parties might serve and file exceptions within twenty (20) days after service of the proposed report, and further providing that replies to exceptions might be served and filed within fifteen (15) days after service of the exceptions. Under date of July 3, 1952, exceptions to the proposed report were filed by counsel for the Operations--Safety Division of the Public Utilities Commission staff. In order to provide for adequate time in which to

consider these exceptions as well as any other exceptions and replies which may be filed, the effective date of Decision No. 46591, supra, will be extended to October 1, 1952.

ORDER

The Commission being advised in the premises and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that the effective date of Decision No. 46591, dated December 21, 1951, in Case No. 5097, be, and it hereby is, extended to October 1, 1952.

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 22nd day of July, 1952.

[Signature]
President

[Signature]
Justice J. Cassner

[Signature]
Harold Kula

[Signature]
Kenneth Potter

Commissioners

Commissioner Peter E. Mitchell, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.