# ORIGINAL

Decision No. 48221

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of ) BIDWELL WATER COMPANY for an order ) authorizing it to increase rates for) water service.

Application No. 33620

John C. Luthin, for applicant; Board of Supervisors of Plumas County, interested party, by Supervisor <u>E. J. Humphrey; Clyde F. Norris</u>, for the Commission staff.

# <u>O P I N I O N</u>

By the above-entitled application, filed July 30, 1952, Bruce and Adelaide S. Bidwell (Bidwell Water Company) seek an order of this Commission authorizing increases in rates for water service rendered in and about Greenville, Plumas County.

A public hearing in the matter was held before Examiner Emerson on December 9, 1952, at Greenville. No person appeared at the hearing to protest the granting of applicants' request for increased rates.

# Rates, Present and Proposed

Rates for service from this system were originally established in 1920 and revised in 1925. In 1941 this Commission by its Decision No. 34176 ordered revised schedules of rates which reduced many of the charges which had been in effect since 1925. The present proceeding is the first request for an increase in rates since 1925.

Requested increases vary between about 33% and 50% for flat rate customers and between about 33% and 98% for metered customers. The average consumer would experience an

-1-

increase of approximately 40% in his monthly bill under the requested rates. During the year 1952 the utility served an average of 360 flat rate consumers and 55 metered services.

Typical billings under present and requested rates and under those rates hereinafter authorized are as follows:

Flat Rat		narge Per Mo	nth
Classification	Present	Requested	Authorized
	<u>Rate</u>	Rate	Rate
For 5-room residence	\$2.00	\$2.70	\$2.60
For retail store	1.50	2.00	2.00
For doctor's office	1.50	2.00	2.00
For barber shop, single chair	1.00	1.50	1.50
For large garage or service station	5.50	7.70	7.70
For public hall or church	1.25.	1.70	1.70

			<u>Meter</u> Ra	<u>tes</u>		1
				Ch	arge Per Mo	nth
				Present	Proposed ··	Authorized
		Quantity		Rate	Rate	Rate
0-500	cubic	feet		\$1.50	32.00	\$1.85
600	cubic	feet		"1 <u>.</u> 50	2.25	2.10
700	cubic			1.50	2.50	2.35
800	cubic	feet		1.70	2.75	2.60
1,000.	cubic	feet		2.10	3-25	3.10
1,500	cubic	foet		3.10	4.50	4-35
2,000	cubic	feet		3.85	5.50	5.35
3,000	cubic	feet		5.35	7.50	7.35
5,000	cubic	feet		7-35	11.50	11.35
10,000	cubic	feet		9.85	19.00	18.85

The flat rates proposed are similar to those now charged with the exceptions that certain unused classifications have been discontinued and the allowance of 500 square feet of irrigated area under the basic residential charge is proposed to be eliminated.

With respect to meter rates, applicants allege that if all customers were to be metered, revenues under present rates would be less than those now derived from flat rates and that, therefore, meter rates must be substantially increased. The utility plans to meter all services, as the availability of funds permit, in order to reduce waste of water and lessen demands.

-2-

The intent in installing meters is not to increase revenues over those derivable from flat rates but to preserve the present adequacy of the system and to spread more equitably the charges for service between users.

## Summary of Presentations

Applicants, through a consulting engineer, presented a report and testimony regarding the financial results of operations of the utility. The Commission staff also presented a report and testimony on the results of its investigation of the utility's operations. The respective presentations are summarized in the following tabulation:

	Present Rates		Requested Rates	
Period	Applicant	CPUC Staff	Applicant	CPUC Staff
Estimated Year 1952 Operating Revenues Operating Expenses Net Revenue Rate Base (Depreciate Rate of Return	(15,495) 15, <u>598</u> (103) d) 58,553 Loss	\$15,861 14,099 1;762 58,546 3.01%	\$21,375 17,553 3,822 58,553 6.5%	\$22,759 16,386 6,373 58,286 <sup>2</sup> 10 <b>_93%</b>
Estimated Year 1953 Operating Revenues Operating Expenses Net Revenue Rate Base (Depreciate Rate of Return	\$16,225 16, <u>916</u> ( <u>691</u> ) d) 59,743 Loss	\$16,083 14,390 1,693 59,481 2.85%	\$22,475 18,846 3,629 59,743 6.1%	\$23,068 16,692 6,376 59,081 <sup>a</sup> 10.79%

#### (Red Figure)

a. Recognizes lower working cash requirement under increased rates.

The differences apparent in this tabulation arise primarily from the respective methods used in computing depreciation accrual and income taxes, and in the respective allowances of salaries for the owners. Applicants computed income taxes on a corporate basis while the staff computed taxes on income for an individual. With respect to salaries, applicants included an increase of \$1,200, on an annual basis, as added compensation

-3-

for their own efforts in managing, operating, constructing, maintaining and financing the system. In this respect the evidence is clear that applicants, to the present time, have not been able fully to draw the monthly salaries charged on the utility books because of lack of sufficient revenues, although the owners rely almost entirely upon such salaries for their total income. The Commission staff included no increased salaries in its estimates.

Heretofore depreciation expense has been computed by the utility by applying 5% sinking fund depreciation factors to the recorded fixed capital. Applicants now propose to use the remaining life method on a straight-line basis. Their consultant, therefore, made a reserve requirement study, adjusted the reserve and reapportioned it to capital accounts and recalculated the depreciation expense. The staff, on the other hand, followed its standard practice by which gross depreciable plant, less net salvage and apportioned recorded depreciation reserve, is spread over the remaining life of the property and the annual expense calculated thereon. For the purposes of this proceeding we shall adopt the latter method.

## <u>Conclusions</u>

It is apparent that applicants are not now earning a reasonable return, nor will they earn a reasonable return if present rates are continued. They are entitled to rate relief.

In view of the evidence we hereby adopt and find the following tabulation to be a reasonable estimate of the results .

-4-

of operations of this utility to be expected in the year 1953 under the rates which applicant has requested.

Opérating Révenues	\$22,500
Operating Expenses	18,250
Net Revenue	4,250
Rate Base (Depreciated)	59,500
Rate of Return	7.15%

Such a return, in this instance, would be in excess of a reasonable rate of return. We shall authorize rates, therefore, which should produce gross revenues of approximately \$21,800. After allowance for reasonable operating expenses in a total amount of \$18,100 a net revenue of \$3,700 will result thus indicating a rate of return of 6.22% on a depreciated rate base of \$59,500. For the purposes of this proceeding we find such rate base and rate of return to be reasonable.

Applicants are now furnishing fire hydrant service without a filed rate therefor. An appropriate rate for such service will be authorized. Applicants will be required to file an up-to-date set of rules governing customer relations. The present agricultural irrigation rate, long unused, may be cancelled. The schedule for general metered service will no longer provide that the customer pay the cost of meter installation.

# ORDER

Bruce and Adelaide S. Bidwell (Bidwell Water Company) having applied to this Commission for an order authorizing increases in rates and charges for water service in Greenville and vicinity, Plumas County, a public hearing thereon having been held and the matter having been submitted for decision,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AS A FACT that the increases in rates and charges authorized herein are justified and that the

-5-

existing rates, in so far as they differ from those authorized herein, are unjust and unreasonable; therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that applicants are authorized to file in quadruplicate with this Commission the schedules of rates set forth in Exhibit A attached to this order, in conformance with General Order No. 96, and, after not less than five (5) days' notice to the Commission and the public to make said rates effective for service rendered on and after March 1, 1953.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that applicants shall file in quadruplicate with this Commission within ninety (90) days after the effective date of this order a complete set of rules and regulations, including service area map, revised to reflect presentday practices and relations with its customers.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty (20) days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this\_ <u>nuldret\_.</u>, 1953.

2.4

# Schedule No. 1

EXHIBIT A Page 1 of 4

## CENERAL METERED SERVICE

1

## APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water service.

## TERRITORY

. In the unincorporated town of Greenville and vicinity, Plumas County.

## RATES

Quantity Rates:

#### Per Meter Per Month

First Next	500 cu. ft. or less 1,000 cu. ft., per 100 cu. ft	
Next	3,500 cu. ft., per 100 cu. ft	.20
	5,000 cu. ft., per 100 cu. ft	.15
Over	10,000 cu. ft., per 100 cu. ft	-08

## Minimum Charge:

For 5/8	3 x 3/4-inch moter	3 1.85
For	3/4-inch meter	
For	1-inch meter	
For	lz-inch meter	
For	2-inch meter	
For	3-inch meter	

The Minimum Charge will entitle the consumer to the quantity of water which that monthly minimum charge will purchase at the Quantity Rates.

EXHIBIT A Page 2 of 4

## Schedule No. 2

# FLAT RATE SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all flat rate water service.

## TERRITORY

In the unincorporated town of Greenville and vicinity, Plumaz County.

RATES

For each residence, flat, apartment, boarding or rooming or lodging house of five rooms or less, including reasonable and necessary quantities of water for an irrigated area of not over 500 square feet of lawns, gardens, shrubbery and trees
For each bathtub or shower
First 500 square feet
Hotels: General Use:
Each bathtub or shower

1

A-33620 \*

#### EXHIBIT A Page 3 of 4

### Schedule No. 2

### FLAT RATE SERVICE (Continued)

S (Continued)	Per Mon
For each restaurant, lunch counter, cafe, per unit of	
seating capacity	\$0.15
Minimum charge	. 2_70
Barber shops and beauty parlors	
For single chair or operator	1.50
For each additional chair	.35
For each bathtub or shower for public use	.70
For each flush toilet	- 10
	-35
Doctors' and dentists' offices	
For not more than two rooms with water service	2.00
For each additional room	.70
Garages and service stations	2.50
For each automebile wash rack	3.50
For each flush toilet.	.70
	• /\V
For each public hall, lodge room or church	1.70
For each drugstore, soft drink parlor, saloon	3.40
For each retail store, shop, bank	2.00
For each window box type air-cooling unit, in addition	
to regular flat rates, during months of June, July,	
August and September.	
For water wasting type	.55
For water recirculating type	-30

## SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. All service not covered by the above classifications will be furnished only on a metered basis.

2. Meters may be installed at option of the utility or the consumer for above classifications in which event service thereafter will be rendered only on the basis of Schedule No. 1, General Metered Service.

EXHIBIT A Page 4 of 4

## Schedule No. F-1

### FIRE HYDRANT SERVICE

### APPLICABILITY

Applicable to fire hydrant service for private or public agencies.

#### TERRITORY

The entire territory served from the company's piped distribution system.

. . . . .

#### RATES

Per Month Por hydrant ... \$2.00

.....

.

### SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. The company will supply only such water at such pressure as may be available from time to time as a result of its normal operation of the system.

2. The cuctomer shall indemnify the company and save it harmless against any and all claims arising out of service under this schedule and shall further agree to make no claim against the company for any loss or damage resulting from service hereunder.