## ORIGINAL

Decision No. 48435

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application ) of Mabel Alice Benenato for ) exemption from the provisions of ) General Order No. 84C regarding ) C.O.D. Bond.

Application No. 34111

## OPINION AND ORDER

Applicant holds a city carrier permit. Under the provisions of General Order No. 84C she may not handle C.O.D. (collect on delivery) shipments until she provides a bond of not less than \$2,000 and files such a bond with the Commission. She seeks exemption from these requirements in connection with shipments transported for Franklin Pharmacy, Zarett's Pharmacy, Eales Pharmacy and Conrad's Pharmacy. The pharmacies have informed the Commission in writing that the bonding of applicant is not necessary in connection with their C.O.D. consignments.

The bonding provisions were established primarily for the protection of shippers. Since that protection has been voluntarily waived by the shippers involved, it appears that the sought exemption is justified. A public hearing is not necessary.

Attention is called to the fact that the exemption herein granted extends only to shipments transported for the designated pharmacies. Should applicant desire to handle C.O.D. shipments for anyone else, all outstanding requirements must be met.

Because the conditions under which the C.O.D. service in question is performed may change at any time, the exemption will be limited to a one-year period and made subject to such earlier cancellation, change or extension as circumstances may require.

govern the C.O.D. services involved in this proceeding.

This order shall become effective twenty days after the date hereof.

the rules and regulations set forth in General Order No. 840 shall

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 30 day of March, 1953.

Commissioners