# ORIGINAL

Decision No. 49101

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the matter of the application of PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY, a corporation, for an order of the Commission issuing to applicant a certificate of public convenience and necessity, under Chapter 5, . Article I, of the Public Utilities Code of the State of California, for the construction, operation and maintenance of a natural gas pipe line project, herein described.

Application No. 29548 (Second Supplemental)

Appearances for Applicant: Robert H. Gerdes, Ralph W. DuVal and John C. Morrissey.

Interested Parties: City and County of San Francisco, by Dion R. Holm and Paul L. Beck; California Farm Bureau Federation, by Edson Abel.

For the Commission staff: Lloyd E. Cooper, Gas Engineer.

## OPINION ON SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION

Pacific Gas and Electric Company, operating public utility electric and gas systems and relatively minor water and steam heat systems in northern and central California, on July 24, 1953 filed this second supplemental application for authority to construct, install, operate and maintain facilities for enlargement of the capacity of its Topock-Milpitas 34-inch gas transmission pipeline so as to increase the daily capacity of the project from approximately 550 to 700 million cubic feet daily. Applicant also seeks authority to exercise the rights and privileges granted by Ordinance No. 714 of the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Bernardino, a limited county gas franchise granted to applicant.

- 4. A 3.6-mile length near Llanada of 7/16-inch wall pipe
- 5. A 32.1-mile length running northwest and southeast from Hollister of 11/32-inch wall pipe

When the proposed additions are completed a total of 808.25 miles of 34-inch O.D. pipe will be in service consisting of the following parts:

Original Line 501.7 Miles 1953 Loop 86.25 Proposed Loop 220.3 Total 808.25

Additional compressor capacity is proposed in the amount of 2,500 hp at Topock Compressor Station near the Arizona State line.
When completed the total compressor station capacity will be:

Topock 22,500 hp
Hinkley 25,000
Kettleman 19,360
Total 66,860

Applicant plans to commence construction of the proposed facilities as soon as all governmental authority, permits and rights are obtained and anticipates completion by October 15, 1954. It has arranged to purchase the pipe from the Consolidated Western Steel Division of United States Steel Company and understands that the material will be available when needed. It will have the work done by contract.

#### Plant Cost Estimate

The estimated cost of the proposed facilities for increasing the capacity of the Topock-Milpitas line from 550 to 700 million cubic feet per day is set forth in Exhibit No. SA-2 and may be summarized as follows:

#### Estimated Construction Cost

Land and Land Rights	\$ 448,000
Main Pipeline	21,406,000
Measuring and Regulating Stations	449,000
Compressor Stations	_ 1,830,590
Total Direct Costs	24,133,,590
Administrative Overhead at 6%	1,448,015
Total Estimated Costs	25,581,605

prices per ton are set forth in the exhibit. The main-line installation cost, included in the above total cost summary, is estimated at \$6.70 per foot for 1,163,184 feet or a total of \$7,793,333.

Applicant plans to finance the construction cost of this improvement from treasury funds and cash from internal sources, from short-term bank loans and from the sale of additional securities as may be necessary.

#### Loads

Applicant has experienced a constantly mounting demand For natural gas in its service area. Population has grown at a rapid rate in the state during the postwar period and applicant anticipates that the rapid growth will continue in the future. In 1950 the state's population was 10,586,223 and by the end of 1956 applicant estimates that it will have grown to 12,974,000 persons. In 1950 the population in its natural gas area was 4,383,865 and by the end of 1956 it estimates such population to grow to 5,211,000, or roughly 40 per cent of the state's total population. Each natural gas customer represents approximately four persons and on this basis applicant expects its total annual average number of customers to grow from 941,949 in 1949 to 1,338,944 in 1956. Moreover, because of a rising trend in usage per customer the consumption of natural gas has grown faster than the growth in population and customers. The next table summarizes the growth in load on the applicant's system and for the whole of northern California as set forth in Exhibit No. SA-5.

Summary of Average Daily Requirements - Million Cubic Feet

			و خد داد د					_
: Pacific Gas & Elec. Co.: Northern California Total							<u>:</u> :	
: :	Years	Firm	: Inter- :ruptible	: Total:	Firm	: Inter- :ruptible	Total	-: -:
1950 1951 1952 1953 1954	Actual " " Forecast " "	326 355 397 418 452	269 286 304 385 480 483	595 641 697 802 938 975	351 382 425 450 496 533	365 406 452 534 639	716 788 877 984 1,127	
1955 1956	17	528 563	426 446	954 1,009	572 610	587 611	1,221	

From the above tabulation it is apparent that approximately one half of the load in northern California on an average
day is composed of interruptible service that may be largely curtailed during the cold winter days when the demands of the firm
customers may rise to approximately three times their average daily
demands.

Inasmuch as a large portion of the gas transmitted by this line will be sold to interruptible customers there was question as to the design being based upon firm load peak day demands. Applicant's Exhibit No. SA-3, Sheet 1, shows that the summer load requirement in July was considered in designing the Topock-Hinkley section of the pipeline and assumed a 90° F. gas temperature. In the Hinkley-Milpitas section of the pipeline a 60° F. gas temperature and average January 1955 load requirements were the design basis. One of applicant's witnesses testified to the effect that if only the firm load at low load factor were to be served there might be an entirely different solution to this problem. Based on these facts and this testimony the Commission is of the opinion that in any cost analyses consideration should be given to demand and commodity assignment of these transmission costs to the interruptible class.

#### System Resources

The discovery of new gas sources in California has not kept pace with the growth in use of natural gas in the state during

the past few years. As a result the state has had to rely more and more on out-of-state sources to augment its dwindling reserves. The average daily California gas production available for utilization has fallen from 1,561,866Mcf in 1948 to 1,328,645 Mcf in 1952. This decreased availability, in part, is accounted for by increased use of gas for repressuring purposes in order to obtain maximum oil production. Applicant's Exhibit No. SA-4 shows the supply of natural gas available to northern California utilities and when related to the requirements reveals the following relationships:

Supply - Average Daily Volume in Million Cubic Feet

Years	:Califor : Dry : : Gas :	Oil	:From :	State	:Total	Ex Firm	cess of Firm &	Supply Interr	Over uptible
1949 1950 1951 1952	410	187 134 125 99	68 83 65 49	- 22 258 404	688 728 858 909	337 346 433 459		( <u>28)</u> ( <u>60)</u> ( <u>19)</u> ( <u>75</u> )	
			stimated 550 Mil					1 (* 1	
1953 1954 1955 1956	426 417 413 416	85 80 80 80	15 1 3 7	460* 497* 497* 497*	986 995 993 1,000	421		(141) $(177)$ $(166)$ $(221)$	
	,	Es at	stimated 700 Mil	with C	ut-of-S	tate t per	Gas Day	:	
1954 1955 1956	345 334 355	80 80 80	0 1 3	634* 634* 634*	1,059 1,049 1,072	526 477 462		$(\frac{113}{110})$ $(\frac{110}{149})$	

#### (Red Figure)

\* 91 per cent load factor.

The declining supply of oil-well gas from California sources as evidenced above is not due to a declining oil production but reflects the fact that increased volumes of gas are being returned to underground oil zones for maintenance of pressure and repressuring operations. The rate of dry gas production is not tied

to the rate of oil production, so that during periods of low system demand the dry gas can be cut back and conserved. In spite of the cut back in dry gas production in 1952 the estimated total gas reserves in the state dropped from 9,578 billion cubic feet to 9,300 billion cubic feet of natural gas.

The tabulation shows sizable excesses over firm requirements but also shows that with the full 700 million cubic feet per day from out-of-state sources there still will be some curtailment of interruptible load. Such conclusions are based on average day results but a review of the abnormal peak day conditions, also shown in Exhibit No. SA-5, indicates that with a 550-million cubic-foot supply from out of state a firm deficiency of 941 million cubic feet will exist in the coming winter season 1953-1954 and that with the full 700-million cubic-foot supply there will be no firm deficiency until the 1954-1955 winter season. Under such abnormal peak-load conditions and with 700 million cubic feet out-of-state supply, the firm deficiencies are forecast as follows:

Supply - Load Relationships
Abnormal Peak Day in Million Cubic Feet

Year	Supply	Load	Deficiency
1953-54 1954-55 1955-56 1956-57	1,660.5 1,622.5 1,587.5 1,553.9	1,604.0 1,723.0 1,845.0 1,963.0	100.5 257.5 409.1

While the above table shows no peak-day deficiencies in the 1953-54 season such forecast was on the basis that the applicant would obtain the added 150 million cubic feet before the abnormal peak day occurred in the wintertime. According to the service agreement the applicant will obtain only 50 million cubic feet on January 2, 1954 and the remaining 100 million cubic feet will not be available until November 1, 1954. If such an abnormal peak day occurred before the 100-million cubic-foot block is available a firm deficiency of

44.1 million cubic feet might result. However, such abnormal peak day does not occur every season and in actual practice the added 100 million cubic feet may not be needed for peaking purposes before November 1, 1954.

#### Source of Added Out-of-State Gas

Applicant proposes to obtain the additional supply of gas for the proposed project from El Paso Natural Gas Company, which in turn proposes to obtain its natural gas supply from gas producing fields located in (a) the permian Basin area in southeastern New Mexico and west Texas, and (b) the San Juan Basin area of northwestern New Mexico, southwestern Colorado and southeastern Utah. Applicant claims that these areas contain the nearest presently known adequate and dependable reserves of out-of-state gas.

Applicant presently is purchasing and receiving its supply of gas for the Topock-Milpitas pipeline under the provisions of a service agreement with El Paso Natural Gas Company dated February 19, 1952. At the hearing applicant submitted a copy of a revised service agreement under date of November 1, 1952 as Exhibit No. SA-7 which supersedes the February 19, 1952 agreement.

According to this agreement the quantities of gas and period of delivery may be summarized as follows:

Milli	mum Quantity on Cubic Feet per Day	Starting Date	Basic Period	Possible Additional Period
Total	150 250 150 50 100 700	1-1-51 1-1-52 1-1-53 1-1-54 11-1-54	20 yrs. 15 yrs. 15 yrs. 15 yrs. 15 yrs.	5 yrs. 10 yrs.

The service agreement provides that the applicant shall purchase the gas under at least a 91 per cent load factor condition, assuming atmospheric pressure at the purchase point of 14.53 pounds per

square inch and a delivery pressure of not less than 500 pounds per square inch. Additional provisions relating to dedication of reserves are set forth in the agreement.

Under Exhibit No. SA-8 applicant filed a copy of the Federal Power Commission decision under Docket No. G-2102 adopted June 25, 1953 which issued to Pacific Gas and Electric Company a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct the pipeline, transport and sell the additional 151,730 Mcf of gas at 14.73 psia pressure base (150,000 Mcf at 14.9 psia).

#### Annual Operation Expense

Applicant's estimates of the annual operation expense of the existing pipeline, the proposed improvement and the total line are presented in Exhibit No. SA-6 and may be summarized as follows:

Estimates of Cost of Plant and Annual Operation Expense

: Item	:		Proposed: Improvement:	Total Line
Plant Plus Working Capital Operation and Maintenance Com- pressor Fuel and Administrative		\$77,292,000	\$25,651,000	\$102,943,000
and General Expenses Depreciation Annuity(4% S.F.) Taxes		1,930,000 1,934,000 4,546,000	123,000 747,000 1,517,000	2,053,000 2,681,000 6,053,000
Return at 6% Total Estimated Annual Expenses		13,048,000	1,539,000 3,525,000	6,177,000

In the above tabulation the depreciation annuity is based on the 4 per cent sinking fund method and proposes the use of a life for the plant installed as of December 31, 1950 of 25 years, diminished by 1 year for each subsequent year's additions. Federal income taxes are based on the present rate of 52 per cent.

#### Unit Cost of Gas Delivered from Line

The volume of gas which applicant expects to purchase at Topock and deliver from the line, and the unit costs of purchase, transportation and delivery are estimated by applicant as:

	and the state of t				
Item	:	Present Line	: Proposed : Improvement	:Total Line	
Million Cubic Feet Annual Purchase Obligation (at 91% of Load Factor) Fuel Use Annual Delivery		184,791 3,504 181,287	50,397 350 50,047	235,188 3,854 231,334	
Unit Cost per Mcf At Topock Transportation Cost Average Cost Delivered		21.603£ 7.197£	21.603£ 7.845£	21.603£ 7.377£	
from Line	•	28.801¢	29-4486	28.941£	

Applicant's witness testified that for the year 1952 the average cost of gas obtained from California sources was 22.442 cents per Mcf and for the year 1953 is estimated at 23.9 cents per Mcf. These are prices in the field and are not directly comparable with the delivered cost of gas at Milpitas. While the cost of gas from out of state may be higher than California gas, applicant's witness was of the opinion that the proposed project is economically feasible. Inasmuch as the additional out-of-state gas is costing substantially the same as the present cut-of-state gas being purchased and since the applicant is now meeting the requirements of sale at prices sufficient to yield the out-of-state costs plus cost of delivery to customers it was the witnesses' conclusion that this additional gas will meet the same criteria and be economically feasible.

Furthermore, applicant's witness testified that for the domestic customers any other source of fuel that they might turn to for space heating would not only be more expensive but would require the customer to make substantial expenditures on his own premises to install equipment to utilize these alternate fuels. Likewise, if a

customer wanted to use other fuels for cooking or water heating, he would be faced with large installation costs and higher operating costs. In his opinion a similar situation exists as to firm commercial and industrial customers. On the basis of 1,085 Btu gas the witness showed in Exhibit No. SA-6 that the equivalent costs of various fuel oils are:

	Cents	per	Mcf
Bunker-	33.	.214	
Ordinary	35	.869	
Light	. 41.	.515	r
Diesel	77.	782	

Such fuel oil prices are based on the cost of fuel oil including state sales tax of 3 per cent f.o.b. tank cars at the Contra Costa County Refineries.

#### Conclusion on Feasibility of the Project

After reviewing the evidence presented by the applicant's witnesses, the relationships of future loads and supply estimates, it is concluded that there will be a market for the proposed additional 150 million cubic feet of natural gas daily from out-of-state sources. For several years the applicant will have available large quantities of gas for the interruptible class of customers which will result in reduced curtailments. During the winter season this increment will help to supply the peak requirements of the firm customers but this is not viewed as the most economic means of meeting winter peak loads. Applicant should continue its pending negotiations to develop promptly nearby seasonal underground storage reservoirs in order to supply the firm load deficiencies as indicated by applicant's estimates on an abnormal peak day.

So long as this gas can be delivered at Milpitas at a cost that will compare favorably with the equivalent market price for fuel oil, applicant's proposal appears economically sound. If the market price for fuel oil were to drop markedly this proposal might throw some burden on the firm customers, but this is a calculated risk that in our opinion should be taken in order to augment the local supply in anticipation of continued rapid growth of the firm load.

#### County Franchises

Applicant's Topock-Milpitas pipeline is constructed in part on private rights of way and in part on the highways, streets and roads in the Counties of San Bernardino, Kern, Kings, Fresno, San Benito and Santa Clara. Applicant claims it possesses general county gas franchises for all of said counties with the exception of San Bernardino and in this application requests a certificate declaring that the public convenience and necessity require and will require the exercise by applicant of the right, privilege and

franchise granted by Ordinance No. 714, dated June 15, 1953, of the Board of Supervisors of the County of San Bernardino, State of California. A copy of the ordinance is attached to the second supplemental application and marked Exhibit B.

### San Bernardino County Franchise

This franchise, Ordinance No. 714 of San Bernardino County, grants the right of installing, meintaining and using pipe and appurtenances, including telephone lines for patrol purposes, in so many and such parts of the public roads as the grantee may from time to time elect to use, for the purpose of conveying and distributing gas to the public for any and all purposes, within an area lying within a strip of land of the uniform width of twenty miles lying equally on each side of a designated line (the line applicant's Topock-Milpitas pipeline follows in San Bernardino County).

Said ordinance provides that the use of public roads for distribution shall be limited to such portions of said area as do not lie within the service area of the Southwest Gas Corporation, Ltd., as now or hereafter from time to time fixed by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, except as grantee may be authorized so to do by order of said Commission.

The franchise is of indeterminate duration and provides a fee payable annually of 2 per cent of the gross receipts arising from the use, operation or possession of the franchise. Applicant's total cost of acquiring this franchise is stated to be \$499.75.

Conclusion

No objection to the granting of the requested certificate of public convenience and necessity has been entered. Applicant's proposal appears sound from an engineering standpoint and will obtain gas from the nearest presently known large and reliable source of out-of-state gas. Risks are involved in that the gas reserves may not prove to be as great as forecast and that in time the cost may be

greater than the competitive cost of fuel oil or substitute fuel; however, currently this appears to be the least costly method of augmenting the supply of natural gas in northern California. Furthermore, this project could be of untold value in the event of war or other emergency as it could release equivalent quantities of fuel oil for other uses, including the fueling of U. S. Navy and merchant vessels operating in the Pacific Ocean. It is concluded that applicant's request should be authorized.

The certificate of public convenience and necessity granted herein is subject to the following provision of law:

That the Commission shall have no power to authorize the capitalization of the franchise involved herein or this certificate of public convenience and necessity or the right to own, operate or enjoy such franchise or certificate of public convenience and necessity in excess of the amount (exclusive of any tax or annual charge) actually paid to the State or to a political subdivision thereof as the consideration for the grant of such franchise, certificate of public convenience and necessity or right.

#### ORDER

The above-entitled application having been considered, a public hearing having been held, the matter having been submitted and now being ready for decision,

IT IS H REBY FOUND AS A FACT that public convenience and necessity require the construction, operation and maintenance of the natural gas pipeline project as shown on the map, page 3, of Exhibit No. SA-2 in this proceeding, and will require the exercise by applicant of the right, privilege and franchise granted to Pacific Gas and Electric Company by Ordinance No. 714 of the Board of Supervisors of San Bernardino County within the area in San Bernardino County set forth in said franchise, therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Pacific Gas and Electric Company be and it is granted a certificate that public convenience and

7. Shall not serve any new customer outside of the certificated area in San Bernardino County or from taps taken off the Topock-Milpitas line in San Bernardino County except upon further certificate of this Commission first obtained.

The authorization herein granted will lapse if not exercised within two years from the date hereof.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after after the date hereof.

RUNCI

ommissioners

Dated at San Farmina, California, this 15th day