ORIGINAL

Decision No. 51584

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of RICHARD A. JOHANSON for a License as a Motor Transportation Broker.

Application No. 36591

OPINION

In the above-entitled proceeding Richard A. Johanson seeks a license to conduct business as a motor transportation broker at 619 West Belmont Avenue, Fresno, California. Applicant alleges that he is an employee and an officer, the secretary-treasurer, of the newly formed corporation, D. D. Byrnes, Inc. The latter is doing business at Fresno as California Trucking Exchange. The corporation, by endorsement, has joined in this application. A coapplicant form of surety bond has been executed by the corporation as principal.

Applicant Johanson asserts that he has not previously sold, or offered for sale, or negotiated for or held himself out to sell, furnish or provide transportation by motor carrier, except that he has been employed since January, 1953, by D. D. Byrnes, a broker, as an assistant.

Applicant says he is 29 years of age. As an employee of the corporation he plans to follow the same method of arranging transportation as that used by D. D. Byrnes. Such method was set forth in Byrnes' Application No. 33406 on which the Commission

The Commission also has before it for consideration a similar application (No. 36590) filed by D. D. Byrnes requesting reissuance of a motor transportation broker's license. The corporation, D. D. Byrnes, Inc., has also filed a joint endorsement of Byrnes' application. He is the president of the corporation.

rendered Decision No. 48165. The record in that proceeding showed that the business consisted of arranging for the transportation of unmanufactured agricultural commodities in interstate commerce which is exempt from regulation by Section 203 (b) (6) of the Interstate Commerce Act. (See Determination of Exempted Commodities 52 M.C.C. 511.) The motor carriers to be used by applicant are engaged exclusively in interstate commerce and are not required to hold any certificates or permits issued by the Interstate Commerce Commission. Decision No. 48165 described the operation as follows:

Consequently, the carriers for whom applicant wishes to be permitted to arrange transportation will vary from time to time and applicant can never know from one day to the next when a new and different carrier will request his services. These carriers perform transportation, which is not subject to the Interstate Commerce Act, from points in the eastern, northern, southern or middle western part of the United States to points in California and upon their arrival in California they contact applicant and request that he arrange for an east-bound or northbound load of exempt commodities for them to carry back.

Under these circumstances there will not be sufficient time for applicant to obtain from such new or additional carriers a letter of authority and file it with this Commission with the request that the order of the Commission granting a motor transportation broker's license be amended to include the name of such new or additional carrier before arranging the required transportation for such carrier. Applicant has submitted the names of 309 such carriers he proposes to serve and asks that the Commission order set out such names as being the motor carriers for whom he will be licensed to sell transportation. In addition he requests that just as soon as the names of additional carriers are known who desire his services, applicant be permitted to furnish the Commission the names and addresses of such additional carriers so that the license may permit him to serve such carriers.

After full consideration of applicant's request we find no reason to withhold issuance of a license as applied for. The license will be granted under the same terms and conditions as that granted to D. D. Byrnes by Decision No. 48165.

over the public highways as a motor trans-portation broker on behalf of the motor carriers now included in the order of the Public Utilities Commission granting this license or subsequently included by amendment."

a 1.1.12 7.2

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof. San Francisco Dated at _, 1955.