53067



Decision No. \_

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of: ) JAMES E. DOYLE, doing business as DOYLE ) DRAYING CO., for a certificate of public ) convenience and necessity to operate as ) a highway common carrier of commedities ) generally between Concord and vicinity, ) on the one hand, and Los Angeles Basin ) Territory, and Mojave, Inyokern, Naval ) Ordnance Testing Station near China Lake, ) Lancaster, Palmdale, Barstow, Victorville, ) U. S. Marin Corp. Base near Twenty Nine ) Palms and Camp Irwin, on the other hand; ) and between Concord and vicinity, on the ) one hand, and Red Bluff, Herlong and ) Tulelake, on the other hand; and between ) Concord and vicinity, on the one hand, and Stockton, Travis Air Force Base, near ) Fairfield, Hamilton Air Force Base, near ) Ignacio, and San Jose, and Points and ) places in the vicinity of the foregoing, ) on the other hand; and serving intermediate) and off-route points, subject to restric- ) tions.

Application No. 36140

## $\underline{O P I N I O N}$

James E. Doyle is engaged in the transportation of property in California pursuant to permits and a certificate of public convenience and necessity issued by this Commission.

Applicant seeks an order authorizing him to conduct service as a highway common carrier for the transportation of general commodities between Concord and all points within a radius of 50 miles thereof, on the one hand, and points in Northern, Central and Southern California, including desert points, intermediate and offroute points:

Notice of filing of the application was given all common carriers subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

GF

A-36140 GF

This application was filed pursuant to Decision No. 50448, in Case No. 5478, dated August 17, 1954. In that decision, the Commission enunciated a policy under which it may consider operation as a highway permit carrier up to and including September 10, 1953, as evidence of public convenience and necessity, if it appears that such operation may be found to constitute common carriage under the terms of the Nolan decision (Nolan v. Public Utilities Commission, 41 C (2d) 392). The allegations of the application and the representations filed in this matter indicate, however, and the Commission finds that as of September 10, 1953, applicant was conducting his operations within the scope of the permits heretofore issued by this Commission. Such operations not having constituted highway common carriage, the sought certificate of public convenience and necessity is not a requisite for continuance of applicant's operations as conducted on the said date; and the application will be denied.

The applicant is hereby placed on notice that the Commission, by this decision, makes no finding and expresses no opinion as to whether applicant has or has not been conducting his operations within the scope of his permitted authority since September 10, 1953; and that the provisions of Section 1063 of the Public Utilities Code will be strictly enforced.

-2-

## $\underline{O} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{R}$

The Commission has considered the application and representations filed herein, Now Therefore

IT IS ORDERED that Application No. 36740 is denied.

The effective date of this order shall be ninety days after the date hereof.

Dated at \_\_\_\_\_ Los Angeles \_\_\_\_, California, this // day mar \_, 1956. oſ esident 10 Commissioners