Decision No. 53070

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of INGLEWOOD CITY LINES, a corporation, for an order granting permission to increase its local basic passenger rate and fare for the transportation of persons.

Application No. 37551

Spencer and Harris, by <u>Vernon P. Spencer</u>, for applicant.

<u>Clark H. Sturm</u>, for Board of Public Utilities and Transportation of the City of Los Angeles; <u>Mrs. Frank Herzog</u>; <u>Mrs. Linden Bald</u>; <u>Mr. Michael Lavine</u>; <u>Mr. Stephen Doss</u>; interested parties.

<u>Timothy J. Canty</u> of the Commission staff.

OPINION

By this application Inglewood City Lines, a California corporation engaged in the "passenger stage" business in Inglewood and vicinity, seeks authority to increase its adult passenger fare as follows:

	Present Fare	Proposed Fare
Adult Cash Adult Tokens	<pre>12 cents 5/55¢ (ll cents)</pre>	15 cents $2/25\phi$ (12 $\frac{1}{2}$ cents)

Applicant alleges that the proposed increase in fares is required if losses are to be avoided; that costs of labor, fuel, tires, and other materials and supplies will be materially higher, and that estimated passenger revenue under present fares will not be adequately compensatory.

Applicant operates a single zone system. No change is proposed for the school fare which is seven cents cash or ten tokens for 70 cents. Free transfers for adults and school children will be continued.

A public hearing was held in Inglewood before Examiner
Mark V. Chiesa on February 20, 1956. Oral and documentary evidence
having been adduced, the matter was submitted for decision.

Evidence was presented by applicant showing estimated results of operation under present and proposed fares for the 12 months ending October 31, 1956. A Commission engineer presented estimates for a like period. A comparison follows:

	Applicant		Case I Case II	
	Present Fares	Proposed Fares	Present Fares	Proposed Fares
Mileage	599,444	599,444	599,540	599,540
Revenue	\$253,002	\$270,441	\$257,180	\$272,610
Expense	209,378	209,378	203,250	203,250
Depreciation	23,652	23,652	14,620	14,620
Operating Taxes	24,395	24,881	23,620	24,010
Net Income before Income Taxes	4,423/	12,530	15,690	30,730
Income Taxes	-	3,004	4,040	9,250
Income after Taxes	-	9,526	11,650	21,380
Operating Ratio after Taxes	101.7%	96.5%	95.5%	92.1%
Rate Base	\$104,151*	\$104,151*	\$103,760	\$103,760
Rate of Return	-	9.1%**	11.2%	20.6%
				

Red Figure/

The variance in annual depreciation results from disallowance of any depreciation on fully depreciated items of plant and equipment, and the use by the Commission's staff of a twelveyear service life for certain buses, on a remaining life basis, with appropriate adjustments for salvage value. Applicant, on the

^{*} Exhibit "C" filed with application adjusted to conform with testimony of applicant's president.

^{**} Figure supplied by staff.

other hand, used a life basis of not more than eight years. Although several minor differences are apparent in the two estimates of results of operation, the other principal variance is in operating expenses and results from the staff's elimination of certain personal charges to several accounts, which charges were deemed inappropriate.

In addition to the estimated results of operation as hereinabove set forth, the Commission staff also presented figures based on three alternate fare structures. As the resulting figures showed lower operating ratios and higher rates of return than shown in Case I, which operating ratio and rate of return the Commission finds to be reasonable, it would serve no purpose to itemize the results of said alternate structures.

The Commission, having considered the evidence of record, finds that the staff has based its results of operation upon accounting procedures which are more in line with the Commission's policy pertaining to depreciation of plant and equipment, and also that the staff's elimination of certain charges listed by applicant as an expense was justified.

We cannot find that an increase in applicant's present fares has been justified. The application will be denied.

At the hearing the Commission staff suggested that applicant's routes, regular passenger and school routes, should be redefined so as to show the manner in which they are operated.

Applicant having consented thereto, an in lieu certificate will be granted setting forth each route in its entirety.

<u>1</u> /	CASE III	CASE IV CASE V
	: Alternate : 13¢ cash :Tokens 5/.55	: Alternate : Alternate: : 13¢ cash : 12¢ cash : Tokens 2/.25:No Tokens:
Operating Ratio Rate of Return	94.1% 15.0%	93.0% 94.8% 18.1% 13.1%

A-37551 EI

- (b) Within sixty days after the effective date hereof, and upon not less than five days' notice to the Commission and the public, applicant shall establish the service herein authorized and file in triplicate and concurrently make effective tariffs and time schedules satisfactory to the Commission.
- (4) That the certificate of public convenience and necessity herein granted by paragraph (2) of this order shall be in lieu of any and all operating authority heretofore granted to the Inglewood City Lines, and all prior operating authority heretofore granted to Inglewood City Lines is hereby revoked.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

	Dated at	LoscAngeles	, California,
this _	day of	may.	, 1956.
		Like E.	224/11)
		Justin	3. Auluu
		Rau	5 Justerenier
		Marko	Buch
		12/3	Hudge Commissioners

Decision No. 53070

Dated WAY 1 5 1959

Application No. 37551

APPENDIX A Page 2 of 3

REGULAR ROUTES (Continued)

Route No. 3 - Los Angeles Airport-Imperial and Crenshaw

Beginning at the intersection of Prairie Avenue and llOth Street, thence along llOth Street, Crenshaw Boulevard, Imperial Highway, Prairie Avenue, Manchester Boulevard, Oak Street, Arbor Vitae Street, Aviation Boulevard, Century Boulevard, and Avion Drive to Los Angeles International Airport.

Also, beginning at the intersection of Arbor Vitae Street and Freeman Boulevard, thence along Freeman Boulevard and Century Boulevard to its intersection with Aviation Boulevard.

Route No. 4 - North Inglewood

Beginning at the intersection of Ellis Avenue and Inglewood Avenue, thence along Ellis Avenue, Beech Street, Fairview Boulevard, La Brea Avenue, Ellis Avenue, Inglewood Avenue, Acacia Street, Venice Way, Hyde Park Boulevard, Redondo Boulevard, Regent Street, La Brea Avenue, Manchester Boulevard, Hillcrest Boulevard, Grace Street, Prairie Avenue, and Manchester Boulevard to its intersection with Hillcrest Boulevard.

SCHOOL ROUTES

The following routes are authorized only for the transportation of school children to and from classes or school functions at public, parochial or other private grade or high schools:

(a) Beginning at the intersection of Arbor Vitae Street and Inglewood Avenue, thence along Inglewood Avenue, lllth Street, Prairie Avenue, Imperial Highway, Cherry Avenue, 119th Street, and Yukon Avenue to its intersection with Imperial Highway.

Decision No. 53070

Dated WAY 151956

Application No. 37551

APPENDIX A Page 3 of 3

SCHOOL ROUTES (Continued

(b) Beginning at the intersection of Imperial Highway and Prairie Avenue, thence along Imperial Highway, Acacia Avenue, 118th Street, Felton Avenue, 121st Street, Anza Avenue, and 118th Street to its intersection with Aviation Boulevard.

Also, along Acacia Avenue between 118th Street and 119th Street.

(c) Beginning at the intersection of Century Boulevard and Doty Avenue, thence along Doty Avenue, 113th Street, Lemoli Avenue, 108th Street, Crenshaw Boulevard, Century Boulevard, and Van Ness Avenue to its intersection with 96th Street.

Applicant is authorized to turn its motor vehicles at termini and intermediate points, in either direction, at intersections of streets or by operating around a block contiguous to such intersections, or in accordance with local traffic rules.