Investigation on the Commission's own motion into the status, maintenance, operation, use, safety, and protection of that grade crossing known as Millergrove Avenue ( 0 Bouchard Road) and the tracks of TEE ATCHISON, TOPEK's AND SANTA FE BAILWAY COMPANY, near Los N1etos, Los Angeles County, and identified as Crossing No. 2-152.4.

Case No. 5673
Macy Moran Palalich, Senior Counsel, of the Com-
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Shumway, for County of Los Angeles; Burke,
Wililams and Sorensen, by Roval M. Sorensen,
for Meridian Metalcrafts, Inc., C. N. Peterson
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M1tcheil and wil11am E1der for Brotherhood of
Locomotive Engineers; Cyril C. N1zz for Beli
Brand Foods, Ltd.; and Mort Beljash, for self;
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## QPINTON

This case was instituted on the Commission's own motion for the purpose of determining (1) the status of the grade crossing at M1llergrove Drive (formerly Bouchard Roed) and the tracks of The Atchison, Iopeka and Santa Fe Railway Company (hereinafter referred to es Santa $F e$, situated in Los Angeles County, and identified as Crossing No. 2- 159.4, (2) whether or not public safety requires the physical closing of said erossing, (3) whether or not public safety requires alteration or the construction and
maintenance of protective devices at said orossing, and (4) whether costs of such alteration on protection as may be found necessary shall be assessed to the Santa Fe or apportioned between said reilroad and the County of Los Angeles.

A public hearing was held in the above-entitled matter in Los Angeles before Examiner Nark V. Chiesa. Oraj and documentary evidence having been adduced, the matter was submitted for decision.

## Use_of Crossing.

The crossing in question in this proceeding is located between the communties of Rivera and Los Naetos in Los Angeles County and has existed since prior to 2926. This Commission has considered sald crossing to be a public crossing, having assigned to 1t, for 1dentification purposes, Crossing No. 2-152.4. There is ovidence in the Commission's records that said railroad has also in the past considered said crossing to be a publio one (see Application No. 25591, filed April 23, 1943).

Said crossing serves an otherwise 1solated area approximately 3800 feet in length and approximately 900 feet in width, which area is bounded on the north by Santa se's right of way and tracks, on the east by the rear property Ines of residential lots fronting on Decosta Avepue, on the south by the right of way and tracks of Facific Electric Railway Company and the Southern Pacific Company, and on the west by the right of way and track of the Southern Pacific Company. The long axis of said area runs east and west. Parallel with and contiguous to the northern ine of the Santa Fe right of way is Rivera Road. Parallel with and
contlguous to the southerly line of the Pacific Electric Rallway Company right of way'1s Los Nietos Road. M1llergrove Drive 1ntersects Rivera Road from the north and crosses the Santa Fe tracks into the said area at a point a little to the east of its center. Millergrove Drive is not a dedicated street between Eivera Road and the Pacific Electric Railway Company right of way.

In 1930 Millergrove Drive, then known as Bouchard Road, continued southerly, as a l2-foot dirt road, at right angles across the single main-ilne track of the railway as a county lane to the south line of the rallway's right of way, at which point 1t coursed easterly along the right of way for a short distance before its southerly continuation between two orange groves.

Protection consisted of one Standard No. 1 crossing sign and one advance sign. The railroad was on a fill, with the approaches on the highway shown to be four per cent grade on the south side and six per cent on the north. Corner views of the crossing were shown as open for three quadrants, with that for the southwest termed poor.

In 1943, by Application No. 25591, Sente Fe applied for authority to construct an adaitional main track across public highways between Hobert Yard and Fullerton, including Bouchard Boad Crossing No. 2-252.4. By Decision No. 36386, deted Nay 25, 2943, the Commission authorized such construction. Construction was completed in November, 1946.
us part of this Commission's state-wide grade crossing surfey, begun in 1947, said Crossing No. 2-152.4 was investigatod. 1n December of sa1d year and it was found that the grade
approaching the tracks on the north was $s i x$ per cent and the grade approaching the tracks on the south side was eight per cent. The crossing over the tracks had been widened by the railroad to 17 feet, but the approaches and the reverse curve to the south of the tracks remained 12 feet in width. Upon the Comission's recomendation, the railroad installed two "2 tracks" signs and painted the crossing number on another sign. At the same time It was recommended that the County of Los Angeles move the crossing about 50 feet to the east in order to eliminate the reverse curve from the south, ralse both approaches, install two refiectorized advance warning signs, and instali a boulevard stop sign at Rivera Road. The county has not performed the work, except that the boulevard stop sign was installed at the northwest Cormer of Bouchard Road and Bivera Road.

The County's position is that this crossing is a private street as there has been no dedication for public use.

In July, 2950, as a result of a follow-up investigation by the Commission's staff and representatives of the Santa Fe, the crossing was reported as "not a county road. Should be private crossing."

The evidence in the proceeding shows that the area served by said crossing prior to 1953 consisted of farm land (orange groves) and was occupled by not more than three or four residences. We find that there was no general public use of said crossing prior to 1953.

In 2953 and 2954 the character of the area began to change from agricultural to industrial, the property having been sold to four different purchasers who have developed it, or
intend so to do, industrially. In the latter part of 2953 said purchasers constructed a private road on their property between the said crossing at the Santa fe right of way and the Pacific Electric Bailway Company right of way. In the summer of 1953 Bell Brand Foods, Ltd., a corporation, engaged in the food processing business, began construction oí a large plant on a portion of the area adjoining the Pacific Electric Railway Company right of way and facing east on said southeriy extension of Millergrove Drive. The plant was completed in February 2954 and now employs approximately 200 persons. Between the Bell Brand property on the south and the Santa Fe right of way on the north Is the property and plant of the Meridian Metalcraft, Inc., a corporation, constructed in 1954. A part of this property is also occupied by the Key Engineering Company, a machine shop. It was testified by the owner of the metalcraft business that $n 40$ to 50 cars of our employees ... and 10 to 20 other cars come 1n there" on business each day. Mort Ballagh, part owner of the property 1mmediately south of the Santa Fe right of way on the east side of Millergrove Drive, testified that he soon proposes to develop the land for industrial purposes. The Gaoriel Box and Container Company, owner of the property immediately south of the Ballagh property and across the street from the Bell Brand plant, plans to bulld a large factory in the near future.

The evidence shows that the crossing at M111ergrove
Drive is the only present access to the properties hereinabove mentioned. There $1 s$ one other crossing into this area situated approximately 700 feet west of Millergrove Drive, which is a
private road crossing serving one truck farmer and is not accessible to the remainder of this area.

The evidence is clear that since 1954 many persons, employees, and others having business at the said plants, drive passenger cars and trucks over the said Santa Fe main-inine tracks at the Millergrove crossing, and that some trucks are of the large tractor and trailer type. A 16-hour traffic.count at said Crossing No. 2-152.4 made on Monday, September 12, 1955, between 2 p.m. and 10 p.m., "and on Thursday, September 15, 2955, between 6 a.m. and $2 \mathrm{p.m}$. , shows the following number of vohicies, pedestrians, and trains passed over the crossing:

|  | Total <br> 16 hrs . |
| :---: | :---: |
| Automobiles | 405 |
| Trucks, 11ght | 81 |
| $"$ heary | 57 |
| " with trailers | 29 |
| Motorcycles | 6 |
| Bicycles | 12 |
| Total vehicles | 589 |
| Pedestrians | 208 |
| Total | 697 |
| Trains |  |
| Passenger EB | 6 |
| Freight EB | 2 |

Total trains 25
The evidence also shows that trafilc of this character has been moving over the crossing since early 1954 and that the volume of traffic is increasing. The Santa Fe presented

Exhibit No. 8 containing the following summary of traffic using sald crossing for a period of 12 hours between 6 a.m. and 6 p.m., on November 28, 1955:


Upon the evidence hereinabove set forth, and other evidence of record, we find that the said Millergrove Drive Crossing No. 2-152.4 has now attalnod the character of a publicly used crossing, and within the purview of the Public Utilities Code is a public road or highway.

## Eresent Conditions at Crossing.

Uncontradicted to ony material respect is the following portion of the Commission's engineers' report:
"Millergrove Drive, as it is presently constructed, crosses the two main-Iine tracks of the Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Rallway Company at an angle of approximately 45 degrees, with the highway paved to a width of 24 feet. Measured along the tracks, this portion is about 30 feet.
"Wilh the difference in elevation that exists between the pevement of Bivera Boad at iilllergrove Drive and the top of rails
of The Atchison, Topeks and Santa Fe Ra1lway Company, an apron of pavement on the northerly approach has been warped to meet theco extrematies, still retaining a shelf-11ke segment where Rivera foad formerly existed. Severe grades on the approaches, at some portions even exceeding 20 per cent, coupled with dirficult courses to follow along the westeriy edge and an absence of superelevation in pavement at points where normaily expected, creates a hazard to the motorist, even without the peril of an approaching train.
"The condition of the pavement, for the most part, is good, it having been placed at a comparatively recent date.
"Two main-line tracks cross the paved area on tangent alignent. A slight distance to the east, the railroad's curve to the right restricts the motorist's visibility of westbound trains by the convergence of a row of signal poles along the right of way, as well as trees on private property. To assure himself of sefe conditions to the west, the southibound motorist must. look back over his right shoulder while attempting to keep his car properiy directed along the pavement. For the enginemen.on westerly movements, tils visibility is effectively restricted until the train is within between 800 and 900 feet of the actual crossing.
"Present protection consists of two Standard No. 1 crossing signs, one located on either sido of the tracks, which have been reflectorized with reflex reflocting sheet material.
"No advance warning signs have been installed, but RYR pavement markings are in place on the pavement, one approximately

300 feet to the south of the tracks and the second on the northerly side, painted upon the apron of the grade of approach between Bivera Road and the tracks themselves.
"on both approaches to the crossing, double white ilnes ore painted on the center line of the pavement.
"A street lamp has been installed on the northeast comer of Rivera Road and Millergrove Drive, but its effectiveness at the crossing is questionable.
"Based upon train operations in effect during the month of September, 1955,40 or more train movements cross Milergrove Drive within 24-hour periods. On September 12 there were 21 passenger-train and 19 fre1ght movements. On September 15, 22 passenger trains crossed this location and 26 freight movements took place.
"The track is designed for high-speed operation, with the adjacent two-degree curve heavily superelevated. The maximum speed prescribed for freight-train operation in this district is 55 miles per hour.
"On westbound operations, passenger trains are limited in their speed around the curve east of Millergrove Drive to 70 miles per hour, owing to the No. 20 turn-out into single track at D. T. Junction approximately 1,800 feet west of M1Ilergrove Drive, where a maximum speed of 40 miles per hour is in effect.
"Eestbound passenger trains are normally operated at a maximum speed of 90 miles per hour but are reduced by the curve gust east of Millergrove Drive to 80 miles per hour."

In order to reduce the north grade of approach at the present Millergrove Drive crossing to an acceptable standard, it
would be necessary either to lower the main-inne tracks of the Santa Fe for a considerable distance from said crossing, or to raise Rivera noad at least two feet, creating a serious drainage problem, and, in either case, the expense would be substantial and in our opinion unjustifiable.

The parties to this proceeding do not contend that the present condition of Crossing No. 2-152.4 is not a dangerous one.

The record shows, and we find, that the logical solution to the crossing problem in the 1 mmediate area with which we are concerned is the closing of the Millorgrove Drive crossing and the construction of the proposed extension of Pioneer Boulevard bisecting the said area between the rallroads' rights of way at a location a short distance west of M11lergrove Drive. Pioneer Boulevard would provide safe crossing conditions both from North and from South. Ploneer Boulevard from the south is now 1 m proved to Los Nietos Road which borders the Pacific Electric Ba1lway Company. right of way. Its extension northeriy through this area, crossing the Santa Fe right of way and Rivera Boad to Slauson Arenue, is pert of the County's master highway plan. The boulevard could be made accessible to the properties along Millergrove Drive through private negotiations.

The Comission having considered the evidence of record, finds that the conditions of trevel at the Millergrove Drive

[^0]Crossing No. 2-152.4 are dangerous to the public, both as users of the street and as passengers and employees of the railway, and justify the closing of said crossing, We further find that the abolishing of said crossing by physical closing is required by public convenience, necessity and safety.

As stated hereinbefore, in connection with its statewide crossimg survey which was begun in 1947, the Comission recommended that the County of Los Angeles take certain measures which were believed would tend to alleviate the dangerous conditions then and now presentiy existing at the crossing. The situation has become greatly aggravated since 2953, but the County of Los Angeles has not seen fit to do more than to instail a boulevard stop sign at the northwest comer of Miliergrove Drive and Rivera Boad. Therefore the aggravated conditions are, In part, charged to the fallure of the County of Los Angeles, because of which it is right and proper to assess a reasonable part of the cost of the added protection which will be required in the order herein. This reasonable part of such added proteotion is hereby found to be a sum oqual to 25 per cent of the cost of providing watchmen which the ratlway company will be required to provide at the crossing.

The Commission is concerned with the effects upon the pubilc, upon the industries involved and upon the railway company, which can be expected to result from the closing of the crossing of Millergrove Drive over the tracks of the railway company. As stated above, the extension of Ploneer Boulevard through the appropriate actions of the County of Los ingeles will afford the public and the industries involved with safe crossing conditions,
and will avoid the expenditures by the railway company incidental to compliance with the following order. The consideration of such actions is recommended to the County of Los Angeles.

The crossing will be ordered ciosed, subject, however, to the conditions as set forth in the following order.

## 오를

A pubilc hearing having been held, the commission being fully adrised in the premises and good cause appearing, IT IS ORDERED:
(1) That The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railiway Company shall close Millergrove Drive Crossing No. 2-152.4 at such time as owners or other parties interested in the properties or plants now reached by use of said crossing have other means of access opened to the area in question, but not later than one year after the effective date of this order,
(2) That The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Bailway Company shall establish and make effective the following improvements within sixty days after the effective date of this order:
(a) Provide crossing watchmen at Millergrove Drive Crossing No. 2-252.4, to warn the public of approaching trains throughout a period of 24 hours dally.
(b) Install an annunciator system to warn the watchman at least 50 seconds in advance of the arrival at the crossing of the normelily fastest train movement.
(c) Make all possible 1 mprovements to the north approach of said crossing, by filling and paving, to minimize the grade and improve the course of said crossing.
(3) That The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall, in the first instance, bear all costs of construction, maintenance and personnel hereinbefore required, and the County of Los Angeles shall bear 25 per cont of the monthly costs, payable monthly to the railway company, of providing the watchmen as specified.
(4) That within thirty days after the closing of said crossing, or the completion of the improvements, pursuant to this order, The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company shall so advise the Commission in writing.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at $\qquad$ San Francisco
, California,
this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$


Commissioners

> Comisu:oicr Peter E. Mitchell nocessarily absent, did not participate the disposition of this procoodinge


[^0]:    $1 /$ The Comission's engineer reports that "The County of Los Angeles has made an engineering survey of this possibility, and the method appears to be entirely feasible. A grade of approach across the high-speed main-11ne tracks of The ftchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Eallway Compeny has been laid out with.a:maximum grade of 2.5 per cent. At the southerly crossing, a 4 .per cent grade is obtainable."

