Decision No. 53414

## ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

The Application of CENTRAL VALLEY TRANSPORT, INC., a corporation, to operate a highway common carrier service.

Application No. 36269

## <u>opinion</u>

Central Valley Transport, Inc., is engaged in the transportation of property in California pursuant to a permit issued by this Commission.

Applicant seeks an order authorizing it to conduct service as a highway common carrier for the transportation of general commodities between Los Angeles and San Francisco; Oleum and McKittrick, Taft, Wasco, Delano and Bakersfield; Los Angeles and McKittrick, Teft, Wasco, Delano and Bakersfield; between Bakersfield and Victorville, Lancaster and San Luis Obispo; and to pick up and deliver said proporty from and to farms, fields warehouses, packing and processing sheds and docks, and other customary places of storage and delivery thereof, within a radius of 50 miles from each of said localities.

Notice of filing of the application was given all common carriers subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

This application was filed pursuant to Decision No. 50448, in Case No. 5478, dated August 17, 1954. In that decision, the Commission enunciated a policy under which it may consider operation as a highway permit carrier up to and including September 10, 1953, as evidence of public convenience and necessity, if it appears that such operation may be found to constitute common carriage under the terms of the Nolan decision (Nolan v. Public Utilities Commission, 41 C (2d) 392). The allegations of the application and the representations filed in this matter indicate, however, and the Commission finds that as of September 10, 1953, applicant was conducting its operations within the scope of the permit heretofore issued by this Commission. Such operations not having constituted highway common carriage, the sought certificate of public convenience and necessity is not a requisite for continuance of applicant's operations as conducted on the said date; and the application will be denied.

The applicant is hereby placed on notice that the Commission, by this decision, makes no finding and expresses no opinion as to whether applicant has or has not been conducting its operations within the scope of its permitted authority since September 10, 1953; and that the provisions of Section 1063 of the Public Utilities Code will be strictly enforced.

## o r d d d d d

The Commission has considered the application and representations filed herein, Now Therefore

IT IS ORDERED that Application No. 36269 is denied.

The effective date of this order shall be ninety days after the date hereof.

|      | Dated at  | San Francisco | . California | , this Bluday                    |
|------|---|---------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| of . | July  | , 1956.       |              |                                  |
|      |   |               | 4-22         | Lelee )                          |
|      |   | · · · · 5     | Justus 2     | President                        |
|      | \$1.0   | I             | 1.10         | A                                |
|      |   | <u> </u>      | Janes !      | Modelmer                         |
|      | Company and the second |               | Marin A      | Sodie.                           |
|      |   | _             |              | White there is the approximately |
|      |   |               |              | Commissioners                    |

Commissioner Rex Hardy being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.