

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of:) MERRAL J. FOX, an individual, doing) business as FOX TRANSFER, for a) certificate of public convenience) and necessity to operate as a highway) common carrier of general commodities) between various points and places in) Southern California, pursuant to) Section 1063 of the Public Utilities) Code of the State of California.

53518

Application No. 36516

$\underline{O P I N I O N}$

Herral J. Fox is a highway carrier engaged in the transportation of property in California pursuant to permits issued him by this Commission.

Applicant seeks an order authorizing him to conduct service as a highway common carrier for the transportation of general commodities, with certain exceptions, generally between various points in a defined portion of Los Angeles County.

Notice of filing of the application was given all common carriers subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

This application was filed pursuant to Decision No. 50448, in Case No. 5478; dated August 17, 1954. In that decision, the Commission enunciated a policy under which it may consider operation as a highway permit carrier up to and including September 10, 1953, as evidence of public convenience and necessity, if it appears that such operation may be found to constitute common carriage under the terms of the Nolan decision (Nolan v. Public Utilities Commission, 41 C (2d) 392). The allegations of the application and the representations filed in this matter indicate, however, and the Commission finds that as of September 10, 1953, applicant

-1-

GF

Decision No.

A-36516 GF

was conducting his operations within the scope of the permits heretofore issued by this Commission. Such operations not having constituted highway common carriage, the sought certificate of public convenience and necessity is not a requisite for continuance of applicant's operations as conducted on the said date; and the application will be denied.

The applicant is hereby placed on notice that the Commission, by this decision, makes no finding and expresses no opinion as to whether applicant has or has not been conducting his operations within the scope of his permitted authority since September 10, 1953; and that the provisions of Section 1063 of the Public Utilities Code will be strictly enforced.

<u>ORDER</u>

The Commission has considered the application and representations filed herein, Now Therefore

IT IS ORDERED that Application No. 36516 is denied. The effective date of this order shall be ninety days after the date hereof.

Dated at ______ San Francisco _____, California, this , 1956. GLUG

Commissioners

-2-