ORIGINAL

Decision No. 53521

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

IRENE CLAY,

Plaintiff,

VS.,

Case No. 5679

SUNSHINE WATER COMPANY, a corporation,

Merton G. Wray, for complainant.

Defendant.

Hill, Farrer & Burrill, by <u>William S. Scully;</u> <u>William McD. Miller</u> and <u>D. B. Dickson</u>, for defendant.

Sam McGuffin, interested party.

William C. Bricca and Charles W. Drake, for the Commission staff.

INTERIM OPINION AND ORDER

A complaint having been filed against the Sunshine Water Company by approximately 52 users of the water service, an answer thereto having been filed and public hearing having been held thereon, it having been shown that the defendant company has a water supply which is inadequate and insufficient to permit service to any additional consumers and which water supply must be carefully conserved, and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED:

(1) That Sunshine Water Company shall provide no service to any new customers or to any additional subdivisions outside of its present service area unless or until otherwise ordered by this Commission.

-1-

AM

C. 5679 AM

(2) That Sunshine Water Company shall, on or before August 10, 1956, formulate a program for the conservation of water, which program shall be placed into effect immediately, if necessary, and the company shall notify this Commission by August 15 of the plan so formulated.

(3) That Sunshine Water Company shall also file with this Commission biweekly reports indicating the water pressures of its system, which reports are to be filed during the period commencing August 10, 1956, and ending October 29, 1956.

This order is interim in nature and a final order in this matter will be issued subsequently by this Commission.

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof. San Francisco Dated at_ , Celifornia, this 3/sT day of , 1956. OINO

Commissioners

Commissioner Justus F. Graqmor, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.