BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of MERLE R. HENDRIX and LOUIS SAFRANEK, JR., doing business as OUTINGDALE WATER COMPANY, for authority to increase their presently established rates to water users under section 454 of the Public Utilities Code.

) Application No. 37847

Louis J. Safranek, Jr., for applicants. E. Ronald Foster, for the Commission staff.

#### OPINION

## Nature of Proceeding

Applicants, on March 17, 1956, filed this request for an increase in rates from ten dollars annually to thirty dollars annually for public utility water service furnished to approximately 55 flat rate consumers in a subdivision in El Dorado County, about 12 miles south of Placerville.

Applicants allege that the proposed rates will provide a 3.9 per cent rate of return upon the 1955 investment in plant and equipment plus estimated 1956 additions in the amount of \$10,000, and that such increase is necessary in order to produce sufficient revenue to defray necessary operation and maintenance expenses and to yield a reasonable return on the investment in utility property.

The application also sets forth a request for an increase from \$2.50 to \$3.50 for what it describes as a charge for a service connection.

#### Public Hearing

The application was submitted for decision at a public hearing held June 22, 1956, at Placerville, before Examiner Edward F. Walsh. A number of consumers of the system, including both

permanent and summer residents, participated in the hearing. The record also includes a detailed report (Exhibit 7) of an investigation of the operations of the system by a member of the Commission's Hydraulic Section, who testified at the hearing.

Description of Present System

Applicants acquired the water system in November, 1955, (Decision No. 52227, Application No. 37407) from prodecessors who supplied water to consumers by private agreements until 1943. By Decision No. 39949 dated February 4, 1947 in Case No. 4726, this water company was doclared to be a public utility and the rates presently in effect were then established.

The system receives its water from the middle fork of the Cosumnes River by virtue of a prescriptive right filed with the State Board of Water Resources, of one second foot of water. Water is impounded behind a dam across the river at the subdivision and pumped from the dam site to a 45,000-gallon concrete reservoir at a suitable elevation to supply the system by gravity.

At the beginning of 1956 the transmission and distribution system consisted of approximately 7,550 feet of pipe ranging in size from 3/4-inch to 6 inches. Since that time approximately 1,200 feet of 6-inch and 1,700-feet of 32-inch pipe have been added or relocated. These additions and relocations were made in order to eliminate water pressure deficiencies in certain parts of the system during peak summer demand periods. This was the major complaint of customers with relation to past service of the company.

#### Results of Operation

The record indicates that the company has operated at a loss every year since 1948. The tabulation below summarizes the results of operation at present and proposed rates as developed by the Commission's staff engineer in Exhibit 7 and at proposed rates as developed by applicants' accountant. Applicants made no estimate of results for 1956 at present rates.

	<del>-</del>	1956 Estimated			
Marine Company Company		Sta	ff :	Com	oany :
Item	: :	Present Rates	:Proposed: Rates :	Prosent Rates	: Proposed: Rates:
Total Operating Rovenues		\$ 600	\$ 1,800		\$ 1,800
Total Operating Expenses		1,628	1,664		1,410
Incl. Taxes & Depreciation					
Net Revenuo		(1,028)	136		390 ·
Average Depreciated Rate Bas	Θ	10,305	10,305		10,000
Rato of Roturn		em em em em Aprilan in a gra	1.32%		3-9%
(Re	d	Figuro	()		

The estimate of total operating revenue is based upon an annual flat rate of thirty dollars and an estimate of 60 customers in 1956. The record discloses that some seasonal customers expressed dissatisfaction with being charged the same rate as permanent residents. The record also indicates that there are approximately six permanent residents, two of whom are the operators of the system, and the system is operated and maintained on a year-round basis. The system is not meterod.

### Summary and Conclusion

The record establishes that this utility is in need of additional revenue in order to continue to provide adequate service.

The estimate of total operating expenses for 1956 by applicants appears to be unreasonably low and without basis or

actual experience of the company in 1955 or in the first part of 1956. The estimate of the Commission's staff engineer, on the other hand, appears more realistic and has a basis in past experience of the company and will therefore be adopted by this Commission as being a reasonable estimate. The differences in the rate base are not significant but the rate base developed by the Commission's staff engineer has been more accurately developed and will therefore be the one adopted for the purposes of this proceeding.

It does not appear feasible at this time to establish a seasonal rate as well as an annual flat rate. The system is operated on a year-round basis for the benefit of all consumers should they desire to use it. The number of permanent residents is small compared to the number of seasonal residents. Any reduction in the form of a seasonal rate could only be obtained at the expense of an excessive rate being assessed against the permanent residents in order to produce the necessary revenue to operate the system satisfactorily. Such a rate could only be equitably established under these circumstances in a metered system. The installation of meters and related expenses would result in the need for the establishment of a higher rate than now requested.

The record also indicates that the company's rules and regulations need to be revised and brought up to date and that the recommendations contained in Chapter 12 of Exhibit 7, the staff report in evidence, should be carried out. The company is specifically directed to fence the reservoir area and to install locks on the reservoir door and fence gate. This work is to be completed by December 31, 1956.

The evidence revealed that applicants' request to increase to \$3.50 a charge for a service connection refers actually to a charge for reconnection of service after discontinuance for

1. That applicants are authorized to file in quadruplicate with the Commission after the effective date of this order, in

A-37847 GF used until review indicates it should be rovised; that applicants shall review the depreciation rate, using the straight-line romaining life method whenever substantial changes in depreciable capital occur and at intervals of not more than five years, and revise the above rate in conformance with such review; and that results of those reviews shall be submitted to the Commission. The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date heroof. , California, this The Dated at San Francisco day of 1956. Commissioners Commissioner....Ray E. Untereiner .. being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding. -7-

#### APPENDIX A

#### Schedule No. 2R

# ANNUAL RESIDENTIAL FLAT RATE SERVICE

#### AFPLICABILITY

Applicable to all residential flat rate water service furnished on an annual basis.

#### TERRITORY

The unincorporated community of Outingdale, El Dorado County.

#### RATE

Per	Service Connection Per Year
	***

For each residence including premises ...... \$30.00

### SPECIAL CONDITION

The above annual residential flat rate applies to service during the 12-month period commencing January 1, and is due and payable in advance of commencement of service.