Decision No. 53956

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA WATER COMPANY for an order granting a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct or extend its plant or system and to render service in certain territory in Orange County, and for order preliminary to issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity for exercise of franchise rights.

O'Melveny & Myers, attorneys, by Lauren M.

Wright and Frederick N. Edwards, for applicant.

Arlyne Lansdale, attorney, for Dyke Water Company;

Moss, Lyon & Dunn, attorneys, by George C. Lyon,
for Pacific Water Co.; Jack H. Croul, Lee T.

Hollopeter, secretary-treasurer and general
manager, and John Amos Fleming, attorney, for
Lakewood Water & Power Company; Walter Rawlings,
president, for Rancho Water Company; E. T.

Ibbetson, president, for Ideal Petroleum Company;
Richard T. Hanna, attorney, for a group of
landowners; Harry A. Keithly, attorney, for
Anaheim Sugar Company, for Aldrich R. Peck as an
individual, and for Aldrich R. Peck, Dorothy
Thayer Peck and Charles H. Thatcher, trustees;
protestants.

Cyril M. Saroyan, Charles W. Mors, Theodore Stein,
and Charles W. Drake, for the Commission staff.

<u>OPINION</u>

Southern California Water Company, 1 a corporation, by the above-entitled application filed July 28, 1955, seeks a certificate of public convenience and necessity to extend and construct its public utility water system in unincorporated territory in Western and southwestern portions of Orange County. An order preliminary to the issuance of certificate of public convenience and necessity for

^{1/} Hereinafter referred to as Southern California, or applicant.

exercise of franchise rights is also sought. The area requested to be certificated is delineated on the map, Exhibit B, and is described by metes and bounds in Exhibit C of the application. The area comprises approximately 60 square miles and includes most or portions of the areas covered by Applications Nos. 36592, 37042, 37097, 37161, and 37491 (infra).

Hearings

Public hearings in this matter were held before Commissioner Justus F. Craemer and Examiner Stewart C. Warner on September 28, 29 and 30, 1955, and before Examiner Warner on October 18, 19, 20 and 21, November 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18, and December 5, 6, 7 and 8, 1955, at Santa Ana. The matter was consolidated for hearing with Applications Nos. 36592 and 37096 of Pacific Water Co.: 37097 and 37161 of Dyke Water Company; 3/ 371674/ of Park Lane Water Company 2 and applicant; 37491 of Lakewood Water and Power Company; \mathbb{Z} and Case No. 5666 of Orange County and Orange County Waterworks District No. 3 vs. Dyke. Lakewood made its affirmative showing as to its application, and its protest to the instant captioned application was heard. The matter was submitted for decision on the last-named date. Lakewood's application was continued to a date to be set. The instant captioned matter is now ready for decision.

The record contains 1,815 pages of transcript and 120 exhibits.

Hereinafter referred to as Pacific.

Hereinafter referred to as Dyke.

Granted by Decision No. 52834, dated March 27, 1956.

Hereinafter referred to as Park Lane.

Filed November 16, 1955.

Hereinafter referred to as Lakewood. Hereinafter referred to as the County.

Protests

Pacific, Dyke and Lakewood protested the portions of Southern California's application for a certificate which overlap their applications; a group of landowners protested the inclusion of their properties within any area sought to be certificated; a group of Dyke's consumers representing Mountain View Terrace Community Association filed a petition of 1,000 names protesting, and an individual consumer protested, against the recommendation testified to by the chairman of the County Board of Supervisors which requested that the Commission require all public utility water companies operating in this portion of the County to install meters on all consumers' premises for conservation purposes; the County protested any portion of the application for a certificate within the boundaries of Districts Nos. 3 or 5; and Ideal Petroleum Company and Rancho Water Company, 10, public utility water companies under the jurisdiction of the Commission serving comparatively small areas inside the proposed areas, protested the application in so far as it conflicted with their present certificated areas.

The rival applicants protested the application on the grounds that they were, themselves, ready, willing, and able to serve larger areas than they were presently serving. Each protested the "checkerboard" public utility water system growth in this

^{9/} Orange County Waterworks District No. 5.
10/ Rancho Water Company filed Application No. 37579, dated December 15, 1955, too late for consolidation herein. Said application seeks authority to extend Rancho's water system into an area west of its presently certificated area and within Dyke's certificated area in Application No. 37097. Rancho also filed Application No. 38233, dated July 11, 1956, for authority to sell its water system to applicant.

Barstow and, as of June 30, 1955, it was furnishing water service to 91,014 water consumers in 21 operating districts. The instant application involves applicant's Huntington Beach and Cypress-Los Alamitos-Stanton Districts. Although the water systems in the latter district are not interconnected, they are consolidated as a district of the company for tariff purposes.

As shown on Exhibit No. 111, applicant's balance sheet as of June 30, 1955, total fixed capital amounted to \$22,710,214 with a related depreciation reserve of \$3,834,208. Of the total fixed capital, water fixed capital amounted to \$21,727,535. Total operating revenues from water operations, for the 12 months ending June 30, 1955, was \$3,713,637. Revenue from electric and ice operations totaled \$299,046.

Description of the Proposed Area

Generally speaking, Southern California's proposed area is bounded on the north by Crescent—Olive Avenues; on the east by Dale Street to Garden Grove Boulevard; on the far east and southeast by the Santa Ana River; on the south by the Pacific Ocean including Huntington Beach; and on the west by the Orange County-Los Angeles County dividing line.

The map, Exhibit No. 23, purports to show Southern California's service areas in its Huntington Beach and its Cypress-Los Alamitos-Stanton Districts in solid brown; the Berlin Tract (infra), a tract outside its service area, in which Southern California was furnishing water service as of June 30, 1955, in brown crosshatching; and the boundaries of the area requested to be certificated by the instant application by a brown line. The certificated areas of Park Lane acquired by applicant in accordance with provisions of Decision No. 52834 (supra) are shown on said exhibit in solid green.

Growth of the Area

The evidence shows, and the Commission takes judicial notice of, the unprecedented growth of the areas covered not only by the instant application but by the applications of Pacific, Dyke, and Lakewood together with the manifold problems arising therefrom. Subdivisions have been and are being developed in areas formerly devoted to citrus orchards and to farm and dairy lands, and the character of the area is rapidly changing from agriculture to residential and commercial suburban. Much of the proposed areas is suitable for and is being developed as subdivisions for homesites. However, a substantial portion of the southwest section is subject to lowland conditions, and is being reserved by landowners for oil well drilling possibilities, and for agricultural purposes. It is, nevertheless, manifest from the record that all of the areas hereinafter ordered to be certificated to applicant now, or within the near future, will need water service.

Operations and Number of Consumers in Applicant's Huntington Beach, and Cypress-Los Alamitos-Stanton Districts

Applicant's Huntington Beach District water system was acquired from Huntington Beach Water Company in 1929, and its Cypress-Los Alamitos-Stanton District water systems from Orange County Water Company in the same year. As of August 31, 1955,

^{10/} Exhibit No. 120 is a Monthly Summary of Business Conditions in Southern California for November, 1955, published by the Security First National Bank of Los Angeles. It shows that Orange County was exceeded in growth of building permit activity for new dwelling units in the first six months of 1955 by only 11 states.

^{11/} The total area covered by these applications comprises approximately 100 square miles.

A. 37172 ET it was furnishing water service to 2,268 customers in its Huntington Beach District; and to 493 customers in Cypress, 826 in Los Alamitos, and 366 in Stanton, for a total of 1,685 customers in its Cypress-Los Alamitos-Stanton District, all as shown on Exhibit No. 63. In the Huntington Beach District applicant operated four wells with a total production capacity of 4,125 gallons per minute, and one 1,100,000-gallon concrete storage reservoir. In the Cypress-Los Alamitos-Stanton District applicant operated seven wells with a total production capacity of 2,480 gallons per minute, and one 100,000-gallon elevated tank. Basis of Application Southern California contended that it had received numerous telephone calls and requests from subdividers for preliminary estimates and tentative bids for water service installations in proposed subdivisions in the areas requested to be certificated. Exhibit No. 62 is a map showing ll parcels in yellow, each of which is purported to represent a subdivider's request for water service. The record does not show that any contracts have been made between applicant and subdividers except for Parcel No. 9, the Berlin (Clover Park) Tract located on the west side of Stanton Avenue between Chapman and Katella Avenues. Exhibit No. 21 is a map showing the relative location of the Berlin Tract to applicant's Stanton water system and to Tract No. 1593 (a portion of the water system acquired from Park Lane). Other requests, as testified to by Southern California's vice president, included one for the so-called Huntington Vista Tract, Parcel No. 4 on Exhibit No. 62. Dyke, also, contended that it had received a request to serve said tract and had executed -7-

A. 37172 ET * Landowners' Petitions for Exclusion of their Lands. Exhibits Nos. 12, 12-A, 13, 13-A, 60 and 60-A are petitions of landowners, requesting that specifically described . lands be excluded from Southern California's proposed certificated areas. These landowners urged that their lands were not yet ready for or susceptible to subdivision development owing to oil exploration activities, present agricultural uses, and lowland conditions. They requested that the Commission honor their desire to be independent to develop their properties without regard to any previously determined water service obligations, and to form public utility water companies of their own, or to organize municipal water districts to serve their lands if and when they were subdivided. County Franchise Ordinance Exhibit No. 22 is a copy of Ordinance No. 767 of Orange County, dated October 4, 1955, granting Southern California a franchise in three large areas described in detail in said ordinance; said franchise areas include all of applicant's proposed area herein being considered. Findings and Conclusions Consideration of the evidence received in the hearings on the consolidated record as to the applications hereinbefore referred to demonstrates that the competitive practices of public utility water companies, the authorized and the unauthorized extensions, and operations of water systems in southwestern Orange County as of June 30, 1955, have resulted in the following conditions: Southern California was furnishing water service in four systems; 2. Pacific was furnishing water service in nine systems; -9A. 37172 ET 3. Dyke was furnishing water service in at least two systems; Ideal Petroleum Company and Rancho Water Company, public utility water companies, were each operating a system; Orange County Waterworks Districts Nos. 3 and 5 were each operating a system; and Lakewood was not operating, but now has an application pending (supra). Unhealthy, uneconomic and improper competition existed and exists between public utility water companies; unauthorized extensions have been made; extensions of existing operations were not established and are not being established in an orderly manner nor in the normal sphere of operations of the respective applicants and protestants; a variety of types of services and operations existed and now exists; and the respective consumers were and are being charged various levels of rates. There is no question but that uncontrolled competition for subdivision contracts for water system installations among public utility water companies, and the resultant variety of installations, services and rates, are contrary to the public interest and must cease. It is the fundamental responsibility of the Commission to bring about a correction of the present conditions which are manifestly adverse to the public interest, and to establish stability of water system installations and operations in the general area under consideration. If the present activities of the utilities subject to regulation are not now checked by the Commission, the anticipated further increases in population will exaggerate the varieties of services, operations and rates, and uncontrolled and uneconomic competition will continue adversely to the public interest. -10The Commission finds, concludes and declares that a state of emergency adverse to the public interest exists in the public utility water company practices and operations in southwestern Orange County. The orders which follow are designed to meet this emergency and to correct the existing intolerable conditions.

The Commission takes judicial notice of the creation, existence and operation of the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, its contract rights with the Government of the United States for the use of the waters of the Colorado River, and the existence of its main transmission line commonly known as the "Colorado River Aqueduct", extensions of which are laid in the areas of Orange County under consideration. The Commission likewise takes notice of the semi-arid character of the areas under consideration, and of the fact that their ground water supplies are being mined and seriously depleted because of the unprecedented population growth and attendant use of water. This Commission accepts the predicate that water from the Colorado River, supplied through the medium of the Metropolitan Water District, and the known proposed development of other supplies, will meet the water needs of the areas under consideration for the foreseeable future. The record shows that each of the applicants has applied for and can obtain its needed water from the Metropolitan Water District. Due to the relatively high basic costs and special conditions of delivery of water from the Metropolitan Water District, logical spheres of operations in more or less concentrated and large areas in southwestern Orange County are prerequisites to the economic utilization of such water.

The Commission has carefully considered the exact locations and characters of the present operating water systems of

The Commission has considered the locations and characters of the operations of each applicant and protestant in connection with which certificates of public convenience and necessity have been granted, and in connection with which, and to the extent identifiable, no certificate of public convenience and necessity has been granted. In addition, the Commission has considered the boundaries of Orange County Waterworks Districts Nos. 3 and 5 as they existed on June 30, 1955, the boundaries of the presently certificated areas of Ideal Petroleum Company and Rancho Water Company, the known boundaries of government military reservations, and the lands which have been requested to be excluded. The Commission has also considered the operating record of each applicant, its management practices and its financial capabilities.

All other matters being equal, an existing utility should have the first right to the normal extension of its service as the public convenience and necessity requires and as contemplated by Section 1001 of the Public Utilities Code.

To those ends, and in the light of the existing emergency, respective spheres of operations of the public utility water companies involved in these consolidated proceedings will be created in the orders being issued by the Commission in the applications before us. Each utility will be limited and restricted to its respective spheres of operations, subject to certain exceptions.

The Commission finds and concludes that the boundaries of the respective spheres of operations of the applicants shall be delimited as follows:

A. 37172 ET * The spheres of operations of Southern California Water Company, generally, shall consist of the City of Huntington Beach and its environs; and an area bounded by Garden Grove Boulevard on the south to Crescent Avenue on the north, Dale Street on the east to the Orange County-Los Angeles County dividing line on the west (excluding the United States Naval Reserve Air Base); except there are excluded therefrom all operations of other water utilities lawfully operating within said boundaries, lands within the boundaries of Orange County Waterworks Districts Nos. 3 and 5, and lands specifically requested by landowners to be excluded (Appendix B attached hereto). The Commission finds and concludes that the areas above referred to are the logical, economic and geographical spheres of operations within which Southern California Water Company shall cperate in southwestern Orange County. 2. The spheres of operations of Pacific Water Co., generally, shall consist of the area south of Garden Grove Boulevard to Smeltzer Avenue and east of Verano Street to the Santa Ana River; and an area south of Garden Grove Boulevard to Hazard Avenue east of Cedarwood/Arizona approximately to Bushard Street; and its Colonia Juarez system; except there are excluded therefrom all operations of other water utilities lawfully operating within said boundaries, lands not heretofore or herein certificated within the boundaries of Orange County Waterworks Districts Nos. 3 and 5, and lands specifically requested by landowners to be excluded (Appendix B attached hereto). The Commission finds and concludes that the areas above referred to are the logical, economic and geographical spheres of operations within which Pacific Water Co. shall operate in southwestern Orange County. 3. The spheres of operations of Dyke Water Company, generally, shall consist of the area located north of Garden Grove Boulevard to the limits of the Cities of Buena Park and Anaheim, -13and east of Dale Street to Lewis Street including Tracts Nos. 1425, 2519 and 2419 south of Garden Grove Boulevard, west of Lewis Street; and an area south of Garden Grove Boulevard to Bolsa Avenue, east of Bolsa Chica Road to Hoover Street; and an area north of Westminster Avenue to Trask Avenue between Wright and Verano Streets including Tract No. 2010; except there are excluded therefrom all operations of other water utilities lawfully operating within said boundaries, and lands not heretofore or herein certificated within the boundaries of Onange County Waterworks Districts Nos. 3 and 5, and lands specifically requested by landowners to be excluded (Appendix B attached hereto). The Commission finds and concludes that the areas above referred to are the logical, economic and geographical spheres of operations within which Dyke Water Company shall operate.

As noted hereinbefore, the Commission has considered the factors and elements justifying the establishment of the respective spheres of operations of Southern California, of Pacific, and of Dyke. The Commission has noted that in each of said spheres of operations there are one or more isolated or "island" water systems being lawfully operated by one or more of the other applicants and protestants in these consolidated proceedings. Such lawful operations are the result of the issuance in the past by the Commission of certificates of public convenience and necessity. In the establishment of the respective spheres of operations, the Commission has excepted therefrom all operations of other water utilities lawfully operating within the boundaries thereof. In furtherance of the policy hereinbefore expressed in the establishment of spheres of operations, the Commission urges upon and recommends to each of the water utilities involved in these consolidated proceedings that it voluntarily negotiate and deal

A. 37172 ET * on notice that the Commission reserves the right and recognizes its duty at all times to review the reasonableness of applicant's charges for water service not only in its spheres of operations in southwestern Orange County, but in any or all of its districts. The record shows that the applicant has not been adjusting its main extension advances for differences between the estimated and reasonable actual cost of installation as provided in its tariffs. This failure should be corrected and proper adjustments for main extension advances should be effected immediately. The record shows that all of applicant's services in its Huntington Beach and Cypress-Los Alamitos-Stanton Districts are metered, and that applicant plans to continue to meter all services in said districts. The protests of Pacific, Dyke, Lakewood, Ideal and of Rancho will be denied. ORDER Application as above entitled having been filed, public hearings having been held, the matter having been submitted and now being ready for decision, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows: 1. That Southern California Water Company, a corporation, be and it is granted a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct, extend and operate its public utility water systems in its spheres of operations in the areas delineated on the map attached hereto as Appendix A, and to exercise the rights and privileges granted by Ordinance No. 767 of Orange County dated October 4, 1955, subject, however, to the following limitations and conditions: That Southern California Water Company shall not extend its water system outside its certificated service area boundaries as shown on Appendix A without further order of the Commission. -17A. 37172 ET *

4. That the lands specifically requested by landowners to be excluded (Appendix B attached hereto), be and they are hereby excluded from the certificate herein granted.

5. That in all other respects Southern California Water Company's application be and it is denied.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty of the street of t

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

of Atales, 1956.

President

Commissioners

APPENDIX B Page 1 of 3

PRIVATE PROPERTIES EXCLUDED FROM CERTIFICATED AREAS SHOWN ON MAP, APPENDIX A

Parcel No.	Name	Exhibit		Acreage
Ţ	Mary K. Smith and Howard K. Smith	12-A	$S_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the $S_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{2}$ of Sec. 21, T5S, R11W, SBB & M	40
2	Greenwing Land and Water Co. by John A. Murdy, Jr., secty.	12-A	NWt of Sec. 15, T5S, R11W, SBB & M	160
3	John A. Murdy, Jr.	12-A	We of the Ee of SWe of the NEE of Sec. 22, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	10
4	16	II.	Et of the NWt of the SEt of Sec. 22, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	20
5	11	ft.	$N_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the SW $^{\frac{1}{4}}$ of Sec. 23, T5S, R11W, SHB & M	80
6	n	R	$N_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the $E_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the SEE of the SWE of Sec. 23, TSS, RllW, SBB & M	10
7	John A, Murdy, Jr.* undivided one-half int	erest	$W_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the $S_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the SEL of Sec. 15 T5S, R11W, SBB & M	40
	*Other one-half inter Home Investment Co., Long Beach, by L.S. Whaley, pres.	est,		
8	C. O. Graham by Alvin Graham	12-A	S 30 acres of the W1 of the NW1 of Sec. 16, T5S, R11W, SEB & M	30
9	н	n	NWH of the NWH of Sec. 21, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	40
10	It	n	War of the NWh of the SEA of Soc. 22, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	20
11	Alban Holtz and Bernadine Holtz	12-A	W 80 acres of the NW+ of Sec. 4, T5S RllW, SBB & M	80
12	tt	If	W 80 acres of the SW1 of Sec. 4, T5S, RILW, SBB & M	80
13	11	tt .	SW2 of Sec. 4, T5S, RllW, SBB & M, excepting therefrom the W 80 acres	80
14	Adrian O. Hubbell,	12-A	SEt of the NWt of Sec. 21, T5S, R11W, SBB & M	40
15	11		Sh of the SWh of the NWh of Sec. 21, T5S, R11W, SBB & M, plus 3 acres at 15881 Golden West St., Rt. 3, Santa Ana	23

APPENDIX B Page 2 of 3

PRIVATE PROPERTIES EXCLUDED FROM CERTIFICATED AREAS SHOWN ON MAP, APPENDIX A

Parcel No.	Name	Exhibit No.	Legal Description	Acreage
16	Wilford G. Lewis, Trustee	12-A	Es of the NEt of the NEt of Sec. 22, T4S, R11W, SBB & M	20
17	Ħ	tt	Ez of the NEt of the SEt of Sec. 22, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	20
78	The Artesian Land Co., by H. K. Bagley, secretary	y 12-A	Sec. 21 and 28 (portions thereof), T4S, R11W, SBB & M	718.44
19	Willis L. Miller	13-A	$W_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{2}$ of Sec. 4, T5S, R11W, SBB & M	೭೦
20	11	11	Et of the NEt of Sec. 4, T5S, RllW, SBB & M, except the southerly 120 ft.	(above) 45
21.	Aldrich R. Peck, and Aldrich R. Peck, Dorothy Thayer Peck and Charles H. Thatcher, Trustees	58-A	Commencing in the center of Sec. 9, T5S, RllW, SBB & M, and running thence N25.60 chains to the compremise boundary line between the Ranchos Las Bolsas and La Bolsa Chica, and the Rancho Los Alamitos; thence S49° 30'W along the said comp. line 52.91 chains to the W line of Sec. 9; thence S12.29 chains thence E40.04 chains to \$\frac{1}{2}\$ Sec. lines; thence N20 chains to the place of beginning; est. to contain 115.5 acres	115.5
22	11	T †	Sh of the Swh of Sec. 9, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	80
23	tt .	11	Sh of the NEt of Sec. 9, T5S, R11W, SEB & M	so
24	11	11	SEt of Sec. 9, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	160
25	17	Ħ	SWA of Sec. 10, T5S, R11W, SBB & M	160
26	tt	II .	S 30 acres of the W 50 acres of the St of the NWt of Sec. 10, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	30
27	ti .	59-A	SWL, except the easterly 40 acros of Sec. 15 T5S, RllW, SBB & M	120
28	H	11	Eg, and the Eg of the Wg of Sec. 16, T5S, RilW, SBB & M	480
29	IJ	1†	Wa of the NWA, except the southerly 30 acres of Sec. 16, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	50

APPENDIX B Page 3 of 3

PRIVATE PROPERTIES EXCLUDED FROM CERTIFICATED AREAS SHOWN ON MAP, APPENDIX A

Farcel No.	<u>Name</u>	Exhibit No.	Legal <u>Description</u>	<u>Acreage</u>
30	Aldrich R. Peck, and Aldrich R. Peck, Dorothy Thayer Peck and Charles H. Thatchor, Trustees	59-A r	SEt of the NWt of Sec. 22, T5S, RIIW, SBB & M	40
31	n	ıt	NWt, except 3 acres in the NE corner thereof, of Sec.23, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	157
32	11	11	SWt of the NEt of Sec. 23, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	40
33	Ħ	***	Whi of the NET of the NET, and the NWT of the SET of the NET of Sec. 23	30
34	II.	n	St of the Nt of the SEt, and the Nt of the NWt of the SEt of Sec. 23, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	60
35		11	SWh of the NWh of Sec. 24, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	40
36	11	Ħ	$N_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{2}$ of Sec. 24, T5S, RllW, SBB & M	80
37	Aldrich R. Peck, and Aldrich R. Peck, Doroth Thayer Peck and Charles H. Thatcher, Trustees	60 – A	Easterly 23.94 acres of the NWt of the NWt of Sec.1, T6S, RllW, SBB & M	23.94
38	rt	н	Easterly 23.94 acres of the SWt of the NWt, except 4 acres along the east side thereof, of Sec. 1 T6S, RllW, SBB & M	19.94
39	Ħ	11	Eg of the NEt of the NWt of Sec. 1, T6S, RllW, SBB & M	20
40	13	11	Et of the SEt of the NWt of Sec. 1, T6S, RLIW, SBB & M	20
垃	t1	11	Wigh of the NEW of Sec. 1, T6S, RllW, SEB & M	80
42	17	tţ	NWt of the SEt of Sec. 1, T6S, R11W, SBB & M	40
43	11	11	NEt of the NEt of Sec. 13, T6S RIIW, SBB & M	40
44	11	11	NEt of Sec. 7, T6S, Rlow, SBB & M	160
45	17	n	SEt of the SEt of Sec. 7, T6S, Rlow, SEB & M	40