ORTMMML BEFORE TEE PUBLIC UNITIES OMISSION OF AE E STATE OR CAMELLIA

Application of PACIFIC GREYEOUND IINES for authority to cancel certain of its passenger stage schedules between Ios Beanos, on the one ham, and modesto and Merced, on the other hand; to abandon Application No. 37016 its authorized route between Patterson and Turlock; and to convert its regular route between Wheel Inn and Merced to an alternate route.

```
Douglas Brookman for apmlicant;
Wil11am Logan, City Attorney, for the CIty of
    Patterson; Logan and Densmore by W1111am Loson
    for the Chambers of Commerce of Patterson,
    Newman and Custine, protestants; William B.
    Treadwell, County Counsel for the Doarci of
    Supervisors, County of Merced, protestant;
    John H. Sorenson for Dos Palos District Chamber
    of Commerce, protestant; Dan Falpin for vierced
    County Chamber of Comnerce and Vern Bacciarini
    for automotive parts merchants of Nerced,
    pro%estants.
```


## OPINION

In this proceeding Pacific Greyhound Ines requests authority to discontinue a local roundtrip passenger stage service having its origin and destination at merced. A public hearing thereon was held before Examiner Leo C. Paul at Merced on August 15, 2956, and the matter was sulraitted.

The evidence shows that the service proposed to be discontinued is a so-cailed single unit operation notwithstanding that four separate schedule numbers are assigned to it for asserted statistical purposes. That is to say that one driver and one bus are assigned to the operstion involved and are not used in any other service. (Tr. 9)

The driver"s work period is spread over nine hours and finty minutes during which he drives about 214 miles in about five and onehalf hours, according to the record. (Ir-9 and 10). The service is operated five days a week with no service on Wednescays and Thursdays. Leaving herced at 11:40 anm. and returning there at 9:30 p.m. the arlver will have followed a zigzag course through wheel Inn, ios Banos, Newman, Patterson, Turiock and Nodesto, returning via Vernails Junction, Patterson, Ios Banos, wheel Inn and other points, providing what some witnesses referred to as a shuttie service between such of those points as are on U. S. \#ighway 99, on the one hand, and on the other hand, points on the "West side" of San Joaquin Valley. Outbound beyond Patterson the route forms a large one-way terminal loop through Turlock, Modesto and Vernalis Junction. The driver lays over about three hours and fifty-two minutes at Modesto. The four local schecules which applicant desires to discontinue are operated in the following manner:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { P.Mi) } \\ & \text { Arr. } 9: 30 \text { Schedule } \\ & \text { Iv. } \overline{8: 52)} \text { No. } 32+8 \\ & \text { I" } 8: 35) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |



The service as indicated in the foregoing table operates between Merced and WheeI Inn via EI Nido over applicant's Route No. 22.13. Applicant desfres to continuc use of tils route only as (1)
an "alternate route" for occasional through service with full loads, without provicing local service thereover.
"Alternate route" as used herein means an "alternate route" as defined in Appendix a of Decision No. 47907, Appication No.31883.

The operation between Wheel Inn, Los Banos, Newman, Patterson and Vernails Junction is over applicant's Routes Nos. 12.11 and 22.10. Over these routes northbound and southbound mein ine Scheduies Nos. 236 and 237 would continue to operate and provide a local as well as a through service for the West Side commanties involved. Scheduie No. 236 Leaves San Francisco at 5:45 A.M. and No. 137 arrives at San Francisco at 1:25 P. M. These schedules connect with applicant's main line schedules over U. S. Highway 99 (2) at Fresno.

Appicant proposes to abandon its local service and its Route No. 12.14 between Patterson and Iurlock. Between Iurlock and Modesto applicant will continue to maintain relatively frequent northbound and southbound main inme schedules serving those points as well as Merced.

Between Modesto and Vernalis Junction applicant proposes to discontinue the local service involved. However, over this route, (No. 12.09) through express service is operated between San Francisco and Los Angeles $\nabla 1 a$ Iracy and J. S. Eighway 99. It does not provide local service between Vernalis Junction and Modesto. This situation will be further discussed hereinafter.

Exhibits 2 and 2A indicate the number of passengors served between Vorced and Modesto during January 27 to 31, 1956, inclusive, and June $24,25,26,29$ and 30,2956 , to heve been 25 follows:

| Period | Outbound Passengers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diy. } \\ & \text { AVS. } \end{aligned}$ | Inbound Passengexs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dly. } \\ & \text { AvE. } \end{aligned}$ | Diy. Romad trid AvE. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 1956 | - 36 | 7.2 | 52 | 20.4 | 8.8 |
| June | 67 | 23.4 | 81 | 16.2 | 24.8 |

## (2)

Applicant plans to divert a section of late afternoon soutinbound San Francisco Schedule (No. 100) from its present Route 12.09 and J. S. Highway 99 through Vernails Junction, Modesto and Merced to the West Side route through Vernails, Junction, Pattorson, Gustine, Ios Eanos and Wheel Ina. (Sce Exhibit 4A). This wil be discussed more fully hereinafter.

Of the 236 passengers served during those periods, the exhibits indicate that about 100 would continue to have service on the West Side between the points they had travelied. Many of the other passengers would have avallable a roundabout connecting service via Tracy or. Fresno. Further consideration of additional service between West Side points and points on U. S. Eighway 99 is given hereinafter.

Applicant's traffic manager testified in regard to the over-all financial results of operations of the schedules proposed to be discontinued. The combined resuits from the four schedules for the last six montins of 1955 and the first six months of 1956 are as follows:

Revenue

| Months | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Passen- } \\ & \text { gers } \\ & \text { Carried } \end{aligned}$ | Rassengers | Express | Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bus } \\ \text { Miles } \end{gathered}$ | Revenue Per Bus Mile |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| From |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ExhibitNo.20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jujy | 917 | \$715.00 |  | \$718.0 | 4,922 | \$. 146 |
| August | 8.83 | 673.00 | 3.00 | 676.0 | 4,708 | . 144 |
| September | 927 | 657.00 | $3 . \infty$ | 660.00 | 4,494 | . 147 |
| october | 969 | 674.00 | 3.00 | 677.00 | 4,922 | . 138 |
| Norember | 868 | 618.00 | 3.00 | 621.00 | 4,494 | . 238 |
| December | 536 | 408.0 | 2.08 | +10.08 | 4,238 | . 099 |
| Totals | 5,100 | \$3,745.00 | \$17.00 | 03,762.00 | 27,678 | \$0.136 |

From
Exhibit
No. 3A:
$\frac{1956}{\text { January }}$ February March April May June

| 512 | $\$ 379.00$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 455 | 321.00 |
| $5+1$ | 390.00 |
| 498 | 377.00 |
| 662 | 493.00 |
| 915 | 643.00 |


| 6.00 | 385.00 | 4,922 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 5.00 | $326 . \infty$ | 4,280 |
| 6.00 | $396 . \infty$ | 4,708 |
| 6.00 | 383.00 | 4,708 |
| 9.00 | 502.00 | 4,494 |
| 10.00 | 653.00 | 4,708 |

Iotais 3,583 \$2,603.00 \$42.00\$2,645.00 27,820 \$0.095.

The witness said that the $\$ 17$ 1ndicated revenue from express under the 1955 operation is somewhat understated as the exact revenue figures were not available when the calculations were set up. (Ir.20) He expressed the opinion that the rapid decine in traffic and rovenue is the result of a material increase in the use of private automobiles as there has been no change in the service appiicant operates between the points under constderation.

Exhibit 3 (attached to the application) shows the financial results for the last six months of 1955 of the service involved. The exhibit indicates that applicant operated over 27,600 miles and received total revenues of $\$ 3,762$ which averaged $\$ 0.1357$ per mile of operation. The total out-of-pocket expenses including the drivers' wages and total depreciation on the vehicie used, but exciuding a pro-rated advertising item, amounted to $\$ 7,690.92$ or an average of $\$ 0.2778$ per mile of operation. This resuited in a total net loss of $\$ 3,928.91$ for the period, which amounted to $\$ 0.1419$ per bus mile of operation.

A representative of the Dos Palos Chamber of Commerce opposed applicant's plan. Ee testified thet Dos Palos would be deprived of its oniy direct service to and from Mersed, the county seat. He indicated, however, that most shipments of property are now received from Fresno, instead of Nerced, and that tinee daily roundtrip schedules are available for this service. Ee offered nothing with respect to a.continued public need for passenger service between Dos Palos and Merced.

An automotive parts dealer at Nerced expressed a need for service for the transportation of auto parts from Merced to Los Banos and Dos Palos. The record shows that most of those shipments have been moving between those points via Fresno which service will continue to be available. liany of the shipments are delivered to
the West Side customers of the witness and other dealers by their own salesmen.

A merchant at ZI Nido objected to applicant's plan but did not show that he had ever made use of the present service. An employee of the ZI Nido Irrigation District stated she resides at Merced and is employed by said District Mondays through. Fridays. Although she has used appileant's service it is not satisfactory because of the schedule arrangenent and lack of service on Wednesdays and Thursdays.

Counsel for the City of Patterson and the Chambers of Comerce of Patterson, Newman and Gustine protested applicant's proposal particularly with respect to the plan to discontinue service between the West Side points and the points of Modesto and Merced. Said counsel offered to withdraw this protest provided applicant would establish a passenger service which, in combination with, applicant's present through northbound service (Schecule No. 237), would enable West Side residents to go to San Francisco, transact their business and return the same day, all during reasonable hours. As noted in the margin, supra, applicant has offered to divert one section of its southbound Schedule No. 100 to the West side to meet protestant's offer, and has filed its Application No. 38334 requesting a certificate between wheel Inn and Califa to emable it to route said section of Schedule No. 100 back to its route over U. S. Highway (3) 99 at colifa. The other section of Schedule No. 100 as well as other schedules, all of which are express schedules, would contimue operations over Route No. 12.09 (as noted above) between Tracy and Modesto via Vernails Junction thence on their final destinations leaves San Francisco daily at 6:15 p.m. and tins section would arrive at Los Banos a亡 $9: 33 \mathrm{p.m}$.
over ए. S: Eifhway 99: None of these schedilies are flagged to serve localiy along Route 12.09 from Vernalis Junction to Modesto: The evience shows and we hereby find that public convenfence and necessity would be subserved if appropriate schedules over that route would be so ilagged and provision therefor will be set out in the order following.

After full consideration of all the evidence of record herein we find that pubilc convenience and necessity no ionger require the operation of Schedules 3247 , 3251, 3236 and 3248. Appileant will be authorized to discontinue service thereunder after appropriate public notice and compliance with the terms of the ordor sollowing.

## 으로조

An appilcation therefor having been ilied, a pubijc hearing having been held thereon and based upon the conciusions and findings set forth herelnabove,

IT IS ORDERED:
(I) That Pacific Greyhound Innes is hereby authorized to discontinue passenger stage service between Nerced and iodelsto and intermediate points via Los Banos; Patterson and Vernalis Junction as conducted pursuant to its operating schecuies numbered 3247 , 3251, 3236 and 3248 and to cancel published timetables and tarifis covering such operation.
(2) That the authority granted in paragraph (1) hereof shall be accompished and made effective concurientiy with estabilshing passenger stage service pursuant to the Comission's decision of the date hercof in the matter of Pacific Greyhound Innes' Application No. $3833^{4}$, but not on less than ten days: notice to the Commission and to the public and posting of such notice for 10 consecutive days next prior thereto in ali stations arfected and in ali equipment
used in conducting the service to be abancioned and furnishing of proof of such posting to the Comission Within five days thereof.
(3) That Pacirle Greyhound Lines concurrentiy with the exercise of the authority set forth in ordering paragraph (I) of this order shall inaugurate an adequate on-and-off local passenger service on through schecules operating over its Route No. 12.09 between Tracy and Modesto, such service to de satisfactory to the Commission.
(4) That the operative right between Patterson and Turlock via Route No. 22.14 set forth at Original Page 33 of Appendix A of Decision No. 47907, Application No. 31883 is hereby revoked.
(5) That the certificate of public convenience and zecessity authorizing service between wheel Inn and nerced as set forth and described in Route No. 12.13 appearing at original Page 33 of Appendix A of Decision No. 47907, Application No. 31883 is hereby amended to read as set forth in First Revised Page 33 attached hereto as Appendix A and made a part hemeor.
(6) That within sixty days after the effective Cate hereor, and on not less than ten days' notice to the Comission and to the pubilc, appiicant shail estabish the service herein authorized and file in tripilcate, and concurrentiy make effective appropriate tariffs and timetables satisfactory to the Commission.
(7) That Appendix A of Decision No. 47907, Application

No. 31383 is hereby amended by incorporating therein First Revised Page 33.

The effective date of this order shall be. twenty days after the date hereof.

12.07 - Between West Freeway Junction (ILvemore) and East FrecWay Junction (Ifvermore):

From West Freeway Junction, over U. S. Highway 50 to East Freeway Junction, to be operated as an alternate route.
12.08-Between Ifvermore and San Jose:

From Ifvermore, over unnmbered highway via Pieasanton to Sunol Junction, thence over California Highway 21 to Warm Springs, thence over California Highway 17 to San Jose.
12.09- Betweon Tracy and Modesto:

From Tracy, over U. S. Highway 50 to junction Califoima IIfghway 33 (Westside Junction), thence over Califormia Hifghay 33 to function California Eighway 132 (Vernalis Junction), thonce over California Hishwoy 132 to Ifodesto.
12. 10 - Between Vernaiss Junction and Los Banos:

From Vernalis Junction, over Califomia Highway 33 to Junction unumbered hiehway (Volta Junction), thence over unnubored highvay to junction California Elghway 152 (Los Benos).
12.21- Detween Gilroy and Fresmo:

From Gilroy, over California Hiskhway 152 to junction California tighway 33. (Wheel Inn), thence over California HLghway 33 to junctईon California Highway 180 (Diendota), thence over California Eighway 280 to Fresno.
12. 12 - Setween Kerman and Kerman Junction:

From Kerman, over unnmbered highway to junction California Highway $180^{\circ}$ (Kerman Junction).
*12.13 - Between Wheel In and Merced:
From function California Highway 152 and Califomaia Highway 33 (Wheel Inn), over California Eishway 152 to junction unnumbered highway (EI Nido Junction), thence over monubered Mighway via EI Nido to Merced, to be operated as an alternate route.
*22. 24 - Canceled by decision noted in the margin.
Issued by Pablic Utilities commission of the state of california
*Changed by Decision No. SAKLR, Application No. 37816 Correction No. 166.

