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## ORTMMAL

BEFORE THE PUBIIC URUEITIES COMLSSION OF TEE SIATE OF CAIIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Investigation into the rates, rules, regulations, charges, allowances and practices of all common carriers, highway carriers) and city carriers relating to the transportation of general comodities) (commodities for which rates are pro-) vided in Minimum Rate Tariff. No. 2).)

Case No. 5432
Petition for Modification NO. 65

Raiph S. Schmet, Sack E. Moore, and Cromwely, Warner, for Monrovia Chamber of Commerce, petitioner.

Arzo D. Poe, J. C. Kaspan, and James Quintrain, for California Trucking Associations, Inc., interested party.

Rov N. Mizlice, for Fontana Chamber of Comerce, interested party.
 staif of the pabile Utilitios Comission of the State of Califorma.

## OPINION ON EURTRER GEARTNG

This phase of Case No. 5432 is brought on petition of the Monrovia Chamber of Commorce for rehearing and furtier hoaring on matters covered by Decision No. 53283, dated June 28, 1956, which denfed a request of said Chamber of Comerce for broadening of the Cescription of Los Angeles Teriftory, as set forth in Item No. 270 series of Minfmum Rate Tarifi No. 2, to inciude the City of Monrovia and certain contiguous areas. Inciusion of Monrovia in the Los Angeles Territomy would place that city on a basis of minimum fate parity with other cities and comunities in the Los Angeles Jerritory With respect to the transportation of general commodities between the Los Angeles area on the one band and the San Exancisco Territory
and Sacramento on the other band. ${ }^{2}$ At present the rates to and from Monrovia on a mileage basis are approximately 15 to 30 percent higher than the rates to and from the Los Angeles Territory.

Petitioner's original request for enlargement of the Los Angeles Territory to inciude Monrovia was advanced on the basis that the differences in rates are not justifled by defferences in transportation conditions and that the bigher rates to and from Monrovia are unjust, unreasonable and unduly discriminatory against shippers and recelvers of freight in the Monrovia area. Decision No. 53283 denied the request on the grounds that an alleged similarity of transportation conditions had not been shown to be a fact. In its Petition for rehearing and reconsideration the Monrovia Chamber of Comerce reasserted Its ailegations of unreasonebleness and discrimination and requested opportunity to supplement its original showing with additional evidence. ${ }^{2}$

On November 15, 2956, subsequent to notice to persons and organizations beifeved to be interested, further hearing on the matters involved wes held before Comissioner Rex Eardy and Examiner C. S. Abernatiny at Monrovia. Further evidence in petitioner's behaif was presented through eleven witresses. Representatives of the Ceilfornia Trucking Associetions, Inc., and of the Commission:s staff participated in the development of the record. Closing arguments were filed on December 14 and 17, 2956, by petitioner and by
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the California Trucking Associations, Inc., respectively. The matter is ready for decision.

Petitioner's showing herein as reflected in the combined record developed on tine original hearing and on the further hearing may be sumarized as follows:
a. The Clity of Monrovia has experienced substantial growth since Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 was estabilshed in 1939.
b. Monrovia manufacturers ship considerabie quantities of ireight to the San Francisco Territory.
c. Said manufacturers compete in the San Francisco markets with manufacturers and jobbers located in the Los Angeles area.
d. Because of the lower rates which apply from the Los Angeies Territory to the San Francisco Territory, manufacturers in Momoria are at a disadvantage in competing in markets in the San Francisco Territory with jobbers and manufacturers in the Los Angeles Territory.
e. Nonrovia is not more distant from the San Francisco Territory and sacramento than are numerous other points which are located withrn the Los Angeles jerritory.
I. The cost of providing piciopp of deinvery service in the Monrovia area in connection with sinpments to or from the San Francisco Territory and Sacramento is substamtiaily the same as the costs of packing up or deivering life silipments in the Los Angeites Teiritory.

According to testimony of the Nomrovia menufacturers who were callec on petitionerts behaif, their shipments to the Sam Fancisco Ierritory during 1955 totaled more than six mililon pounds, and their rocelpts from the territory during the same period were virtualiy the same poundage. About two thirds of the northbound volume by weight consisted of truckioad shements, the remannder being less tmuckioad: Practicaliy all of the southbound shipments were truckload shipments.
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The territorial rates which petitioner seeks to have mace applicable to and from the Momrovia area are exceptions to the mileage rates which otherwise apply as minima timoughout the State for the transportation of general commodities. As indicated hereinbefore, the territorial rates are lower than the mileage rates for like distances. The territorial rates were established to give effect to lower costs stemming from (a) a rather even aistribution of tonnage as between northbound and soutibound movements which enables the carriers to attain relatively high load factors, and (b) an wusualiy heavy volume of tomage movirig between the territories which enables the carriers to experience favorable use factors in the operation of their equapment. 3

On the more complete record herein it appears that the circumstances whici appiy to shipments to and from Nonrovia are substan:Ialiy similar to those which justified the establishment of the territorial exceptions to the mileage rates. In so far as the disiribution of tomage is concerned, the evidence is clear that the Jonnage moving northward from the Monrovia area to the San Francisco and Sacramento areas is in close balance with the movements in the "everse direction. It appears, moreover, that the volume of the movements may be ciassified as reasomably heavy, inasmuch as the reported tonnage represents approximately 175 truckioads each of ayproximately 36,000 pounds in each direction a year. Jndoubtedily the total tonnage involved would be in excess of the reported tomage, inasmuch as the reported tomage represents the shipments of oniy

[^1]about ten percent of the industrial concerns in the Monrovia area. 4 Aside from the matter of the distribution and volume of tomage, it appears that the carriers' costs per tríp between Monrovia and the San Francisco Terrivory and Sacramento are much the same as those for corresponding trips from and to points in the Los Angeies Territory. Monrovia is as close to or nearer to the San Francisco and Sacramento areas than are approximately 40 percent of other cities and comnnities in the Los Angeles Territory. Bocause of this similarity in distances, the costs of transporting truckioads (which costs are jargely proportional to length of haul) would iskewise be similar. With respect to less truckioad morements, where the cost of banciing through carniers' terminals is an element to be considered, Monrovia is whikin the same approximate racius from the carriers' terminals as about one third of the cities and comanities in the jos Angeies Iersitory. 5 Although distance is but one iactor of costs, it seems reasonable to conclude that the costs of plekup and delivery service in Monrovia would fall within the same range of costs of pickup and delivery service as the other of the cities and communties indicated above.

[^2]5
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In view of these several considerations it is concluded and found that the conditions appifcable to the transportation of general commodities botween the San Francisco Teratory and Sacramento on the one hand and the Monrovia area on the other hand correspond to condftions applicable to ifke transportation between the San Francisco Territory and the Los Angenes Territory. It is further conciuded and forme that subject to certain exceptions discussed below, granting of the petition for eniargement of the Ios Angeles Territory to embrace Monrovia and certain contiguous areas is Justified.

This conciusion is reached notwithstanaing arguments of the Calfornia Irucking Associations, Inc., that the problems posed in the instant matter aze a result of the economic growth which the Los Angeles metropolitan area and adjacent areas in San Bernardino, Riverside and Orange Counties have experionced during the past twenty years; that in $V$ iew of this economic growth a general revision of the Los Angeles Territory may be desirable; that the problems should be considered in their over-all aspects in order to arrive at a sound solution and that piecemeal consideration of the problems in response to petitions of indivicual commaities will not contribute to that solution but will merely aggravate the complexities of the matters whici must ultimately be decided. These arguments, it appears, look toward a more general revision of the Los Angeles Territory than the revision which is contemplated herein and which is confined to determination of whether the Los Angeles Territory, as it is constituted at present, shound be extended in orcer to provide equainty of rate treatment under an equality of shipping circumstances. As indicated above, it appears that in this limited sense, inclusion of Monrovia in the present Los Angeles ierritory is jusilfied by aistance; volume of tonnage, alstifbution of tonnage and other factors
which combine to place Monroria on a similar footing, transportationwise, with other areas in the ternitory, and that Monrovia shrppers and receivers should not be penalized by the delay which would occur In the determination of any proceeding wherein a general revision of the Los Angeies Territory would be an issue.

The order which follows will provide for the enjargement of the Los Angeies Ierritory to include the City of Monrovia and the contiguous areas south thereof. The City of Arcadia and the unincorporated cominifty of Temple City will aiso be included to give effect to the intermediate application of the rates which will apply to and from Monrovia. The petition will be denied, however, in so far as it would resuit in inclusion of the area iging to the east of Monrovia wherein is Iocated the community of Duarte. No showing was made which would justify inciusion of this area in the Los Angeles Territory.

## OIDER

Based upon the evicence of record and on the conclusions and findings set forth in the preceding opinion,

IT IS EEREBY ORDEPED:

1. That Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 (Appendix MD" to Decision No. 31606 as amended) be and it hereby is further amonded by incorporating therein, to become effective liay $I$, 1957, Fourth Revised Page 34 Cancels Third Revised Page 34, which page is attaciod bereto and by this reference is made a part hereof.
2. That tariff publications authorized to be made by common carriers as a result of tie order herein may be made effective on not less than five days' notice to the Comission and to the public If filed not later than sixty days aftor the effective date of the tariff changes herein involved.
3. That in ail other respects the aforesald Decision No. 31606, as amended, shail rematn in full force and eifect.
4. That except to the extent it is granted by this order, Petition No. 65 in this proceeding be and it hereby is denied. The effective date of this orcer shall be twenty days after


Fourth Revised Page ... 34 Cancels
Third Revised Page .. 34
MINIMN RATE TARIFE NO. 2

| Item No. | SECTION NO. 1 - RUJES AND REGUTATIONS OF GENERAL APPIICAIION (Continued) |
| :---: | :---: |

## TERRITORIAL DESCRIPTIONS (Contimued)

(Items Nos 270 and 271)
3. SAN FRANCISCO TERRITORY includes that area embraced by the following boundary: Beginning at the point the San Francisco-San Natco County Boundary Iine meets the Pacific Ocean; thence easterly along said boundary lipe to a point $I$ mile west of $U$. S. Highway No. 10I; southeriy along an imaginary line i mile west of and paralleling U.S. Highway No. 101 to its intersection with the corporate boundary of the City of San Jose; southerly, easterly aud northerly along said comporate boundery to its intersection with State Highway No. 27; northeriy along State Highway No. 17 to Narm Springs; northerly aiong the unnubored highway via Mission San Jose and Niles to Hayward; northerly along Foothili Boulevard to Seminary Avenue; easterly alomg Seminary Avenue to Mountain Boulevard; northerly along Mountain Boulevard and Moraga Avenue to Estates Drive; Westerly along Estates Drive, Harbord Drive and Broadway Terrace to College fvenue; northeriy along College Avenue to Dwight Way; easterly along Dwight Way to the BerkeleyOakland boundary iine; northerly along said boundary ifne to the campas boundary of the University of Cainformia; northerly and westerly along the campus boundary of the
$: 270-3-A$ Cancels 270-3 University of California to Euclid Avenue; northerly ajong Euclid Avenue to Marin Avenue; westerly aiong Marin Avenue to Ariington Avenue; northerly along Arlington Avenue to U. S. Highway No. 40 (San Pablo Avenue); northerly aiong U. S. Eighway No. 40 to and including the City of Richmone; southwesterly aiong the highway extending from the City of Richmond to Point Richmond; southerly along an imaginary line from Point Richmond to the San Francisco Watenfront at the foot of Varket Street; westeriy along said water front and shore line to the pacific Ocean; southerly along the shore line of the Pacific Ocear to point of beginning.
32. SAN FRANCISCO BAY COUNTIES TERRITORY includes that area consisting of the City and County of San francisco and the Courties of San Nateo, Santa Cruz, Santa Clara, San Benito, Nonterey, Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, Sonoma, Solano and Napa.
04. Ios Angeles Territory includes that area embraced by the following boundary: Beginning at the intersection of Sunset Boulevard and J. S. Highway No. IOI, Altemate; thence northeasterly on Sunset Bonlevard to State Highway No. 7; northeriy along Suate Highway No. 7 to State Highway No. II8; northeasteriy along State Highway No. 118 through and including the City of San Fermando; continuing northeasterly and southeasterly along Svate Highway No. 118 to and including the City of Pasadena; easteriy along Foothill Boulevard Irom the intersection of Foothini Boulevard and Michillinda Avenue to Valencia Vay; noxtherly on Valencia Way to Hillerest Boulevard; easterly and northeasterly aiong Hillcrest Boulevard to Grand Avenue; easteriy and southerly along Grand Avenue to Greystone Avenue; easterly on Greystone Avenue to Oak Park Lane; easterly on Oak Park Lane and the prolongation thereof to the west side of the Sanpter

Wash; southerly along the Sawpit rash to the north side of the Pacific Electric Railway right of way; easterly along the north side of the Pacific Electric Railway right of way to Buena Vista Street; south and southerly on Buena Vista Street to its intersection with Meridian Street; due south along an imaginary line to the west bank of the San Gabriel River; southerly along the west bank of the San Gabriel River to Beverly Boulevard; southeasterly on Beverly Boulevard to Painter Avenue in the City of Whittier; southerly on Painter Avenue to Telegraph Road; westerly on Telegraph Road to the west bank of the San Gabriel River; southerly along the west bank of the San Gabriel Ever to Imperial Highway; westerly on Imperial Highway co State Highway No. 19; southerly along State Highway No. 19 to its intersection with U. S. Highway No. 101, Siternate, at Kímeno Street; southerly along Kimono Street and its prolongation to the Pacific Ocean; westerly and northerly along the shore in ne of the Pacific Ocean to a point directly south of the intersection of Sunset Boulevard and U.S. Highway NO. 201, Altemate; thence northerly along an imaginary line to point of beginning.
(Continued)


EFFECTIVE MAY 1,1957

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Galinomia, San Francisco, California.
Correction No. 711


[^0]:    The San Francisco Territory and Sacramento are areas willch are defined in Items Nos. 270 and 260 series, respectively, of Minimum Rate Dariff No. 2.

    2
    By order dated August 29, 1956, the Commssion granted the petition for rehearing.

[^1]:    A further consideration was the fact that raflroads and other common cerifers had long maintained rates for transportation between the San Francisco Bay territory and the Los fngeles metropolitan area on a lower scale than for equivalent distances botwoen other points in the state.

[^2]:    It is not presumed that with an increase in rumber of reporting sbippers there would be a proportionate increase in reported tonnage, for tion industrial firms winch suomitted tomage ifgures herein are probably the larger concerns in Monoria. Nevertheless, it seems incontrovertible that the reported tomage would be greater than that shown above were it to reflect all of the shipments involved of the concerns located within the Monrovia area.

    Official notice is taken of the Comission's records which show a concentration of the carriers' terminals within the general area within a radius of about timee miles of tinat portion of the city of Vernon known as the Central Manufacturing District.

