ORIGINAL

Decision No. \_\_\_\_\_55250

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation into the operations, ) rates and practices of JOHN L. ) WEDGWORTH.

Case No. 5920

John L. Wedgworth, in propria persona.

Martin J. Porter, for the Commission staff.

## <u>OPINION</u>

This proceeding was instituted upon the Commission's own motion by the service of an order instituting investigation upon the respondent, John L. Wedgworth, on April 6, 1957, to determine (1) whether respondent has violated or is violating Section 3667 of the Public Utilities Code by charging, demanding, collecting or receiving a lesser compensation for the transportation of property as a highway permit carrier than the applicable minimum rates and charges required by Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2, (2) whether any or all of the operating authority of respondent should be cancelled, revoked or suspended, (3) whether respondent shall be ordered to collect from shippers and other persons liable for freight charges, the difference between charges billed or collected and minimum charges due under Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2, (4) whether respondent should be ordered to cease and desist from any or all unlawful operations or practices, and (5) whether any other order or orders that may be appropriate should be issued in the lawful exercise of the Commission's jurisdiction.

A public hearing was held in Los Angeles on June 10, 1957, before Examiner Kent C. Rogers. Oral and documentary evidence having been adduced and the matter having been submitted for decision, the Commission makes the following findings of fact and conclusions of law:

That respondent, John L. Wedgworth, at all times mentioned herein, was the holder of Radial Highway Common Carrier Permit No. 36-2154 issued by this Commission on October 30, 1946; that respondent has been served with a copy of Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 and pertinent amendments and supplements thereto, and Distance Table No. 4, and at all times hereinafter set forth knew or should have known the contents of said tariff and distance table; that respondent transported ten shipments of grapefruit, orange and lemon peel in barrels on pallets; that nine of the shipments originated in Ontario, California, at the Exchange Orange Products, and one of the shipments originated in Covina, California, at the Exchange Lemon Products; that eight of the shipments were delivered to S & W Fine Foods in Redwood City, California, which paid the shipping charges, and two of the shipments were delivered to Lyons-Magnus in San Francisco which paid the shipping charges; that the ten shipments are reflected on respondent's freight bills numbers 55342, 55323, 5572, 55322, 55273, 55311, 55285, 55286, 55267 and 55287 (Exhibit No. 2, pages A-J inclusive); that respondent prepares freight bills in quadruplicate but sets forth the rate and the amount of charges only on the copy which is delivered to the shipper; and that the copy or copies of the freight bills retained by the respondent show neither the rate nor the amount of the charges.

The evidence further shows and we find that respondent undercharged for the transportation services shown in the following-numbered freight bills (Exhibit No. 2, A through J, inclusive) amounts as follows:

Date	Freight Bill Number	Amount Charged and Collected by Respondent	Correct TotalCharges *	Amount Undercharged
7/27/56 5/15/56 5/13/56 5/13/56 5/15/56 4/3/56 4/9/56 4/13/56 4/13/56	55342 55323 5572 55322 55273 55285 55286 55267 55287	\$ 31.01 98.21 211.91 96.66 213.53 191.16 207.50 214.34 36.66 207.11	\$ 87.65 141.24 217.56 138.60 223.41 196.26 213.03 220.06 118.65 212.64	\$ 56.64 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0554 56.0555 56.055 56.055 56.055 56.055 56.055 56.055
		Total	Undercharges	\$261.01

<sup>\*</sup> As per Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2, and pertinent Supplements, and governing Classification and Exception Sheet.

The evidence further shows and we find that respondent's records were examined for the period April 1, 1956 to December 31, 1956, inclusive, and that the violations herein reflected are the bulk of the violations found to have occurred during that period.

On his own behalf the respondent testified that the transportation of April 10, 1956, a palletized shipment having a gross weight of 8,650 pounds or a weight less pallets of 8,146 pounds, (Part 9, Exhibit No. 5) was one of three components of a single shipment and that for that reason lower rates and a deduction for the weight of pallets was proper. Item 85-A of Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2, in effect on the date of said shipment

The Secretary is hereby directed to cause service of a certified copy of this order upon respondent.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after service on respondent.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 9 day of 1957.

Resident

Resident

Resident

Commissioners

Commissioner Peter E. Mitchell being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.