## ORIGINAL

Decision No. <u>56260</u>

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Belle M. Holloway ) to operate as a highway common ) carrier service.

Application No. 36031 (Amended)

## $\underline{O} \ \underline{P} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{N}$

Belle M. Holloway is engaged in the transportation of property in California pursuant to permits issued by this Commission. She seeks an order authorizing her to conduct service as a highway common carrier for the transportation of specifically named commodities between various points in the State of California.

Notice of filing of this application was given all common carriers subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

Upon consideration of the allegations of the application and the representations filed pursuant to the above-mentioned notice, the Commission finds that a public hearing is not necessary in this matter.

This application was filed pursuant to Decision No. 50448 in Case No. 5478, dated August 17, 1954. In that decision, the Commission enunciated a policy under which it may consider operation as a highway permit carrier up to and including September 10, 1953, as evidence of public convenience and necessity, if it appears that such operation may be found to constitute common carriage under the

+1-

terms of the Nolan decision (Nolan v. Public Utilities Commission, 41 C (2nd) 392). The allegations of the application and the representations filed in this matter indicate, however, and the Commission finds that as of September 10, 1953, applicant was conducting her operations within the scope of the permits heretofore issued by this Commission. Such operations not having constituted highway common carriage, the sought certificate of public convenience and necessity is not a requisite for continuance of applicant's operations as conducted on the said date; and the application will be denied.

The applicant is hereby placed on notice that the Commission, by this decision, makes no finding and expresses no opinion as to whether applicant has or has not been conducting her operations within the scope of her permitted authority since September 10, 1953; and that the provisions of Section 1063 of the Public Utilities Code will be strictly enforced.

## $\underline{O} \underline{R} \underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{R}$

The Commission having considered the application and representations filed herein, now therefore,

-2-

A. 36031 (Amended) AG

IT IS ORDERED that Application No. 36031 (Amended), is denied.

The effective date of this order shall be ninety days after the date hereof.

Dated at <u>her Francisco</u>, California, this <u>18<sup>TL</sup></u> day of \_ Febru 1958. sident omnissioners