

**ORIGINAL**

Decision No. 56469

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of )  
 PACIFIC WATER CO., a California )  
 corporation, under Section 728 of )  
 the Public Utilities Code, to con- )  
 solidate and increase its rates for )  
 its Orange County Water Systems. )

Application No. 38402

In the Matter of the Application of )  
 PACIFIC WATER CO., a California )  
 corporation, under Section 728 of )  
 the Public Utilities Code, to )  
 increase its rates for the Westside )  
 Water System and adjoining area in )  
 San Bernardino, California. )

Application No. 38441

In the Matter of the Application of )  
 PACIFIC WATER CO., a California )  
 corporation, under Section 728 of )  
 the Public Utilities Code, to )  
 increase its rates for its Hawaiian )  
 Gardens Water System, its Burbank )  
 Tract Water System, and its )  
 Independence Square Water System, )  
 all in Los Angeles County, )  
 California. )

Application No. 38695

Investigation on the Commission's )  
 own motion into the rates, rules, )  
 regulations, contracts, operations )  
 and practices pertaining to and )  
 involving water main extensions of )  
 PACIFIC WATER CO., a public util- )  
 ity water corporation. )

Case No. 5843

Moss, Lyon & Dunn, by George C. Lyon, for applicant.  
Mrs. Lyla Arellanez, R. A. Brock, Mrs. Alice  
Buchholz, Mrs. Ethel A. Cook, Mrs. Paul E. Cook,  
Arthur Craig, Mrs. Rosemary B. Deur, Mrs. Evelyn M.  
Felton, Bernice Glaze, Homer C. Heater, Mattie  
Kellogg, Margaret D. Kennedy, Frederick F. Leaf,  
J. Leaf, Mrs. George Lloyd, Susan B. Luddenberg,  
Sadie E. Patrick, Mary P. Ross, Neal Sprotte,  
Margaret Valencia, Walter J. Wilson, Mrs. Carl  
Winkler, interested parties.  
C. M. Saroyan, John R. Gillanders, A. L. Gielegem  
and Richard R. Entwistle, for the Commission  
 staff.

O P I N I O N

The Pacific Water Co. is a California corporation furnishing domestic water to consumers in 23 systems in Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernardino, and Kern Counties, and by the three applications herein it seeks to increase its rates in 14 of these systems located in Los Angeles, Orange and San Bernardino Counties.

Under date of January 7, 1958, this Commission issued Decision No. 56056 authorizing the applicant to increase its rates on an interim basis to an amount approximately one half of the overall amount requested. In addition to the hearings which led to this interim decision, further public hearings were held on October 11, 1957, in Barstow, and on December 4 and 5, 1957, and January 13, 14 and 15, 1958, in Los Angeles. On the last-named date the matters were submitted.

Case No. 5843 covers an order of investigation instituted by this Commission inquiring into the rates, rules and practices of the Pacific Water Co. On January 13, 1958, this case was consolidated with the three applications and evidence and stipulations pertaining thereto were presented on the last day of hearing, as noted above. All of these matters now are ready for decision.

During the hearings, a total of 22 persons appeared on their own behalf or as representatives of groups of water users. The majority of these water users were concerned with allegedly poor or inadequate service in Los Angeles County and particularly in Independence Square and Hawaiian Gardens. These witnesses expressed no objections to reasonable increases in the rates so long as they receive adequate service. The major service deficiencies in Independence Square arise from old and inadequate distribution mains constructed in rear lot easements. This problem has been accentuated

by the construction of various structures over the utility's mains. The utility proposes to replace such mains in public streets and has requested a franchise from the County of Los Angeles. The utility will be required in the order that follows to submit its detailed plans for such replacement to the Commission and to undertake such construction.

With respect to the Hawaiian Gardens System, the main service complaints arise from lack of arrangements by the utility for customer inquiries, applications and complaints. The utility will be required in the order which follows to make the necessary arrangements for proper representation. This may be accomplished by a local representative and a local telephone listing or by toll-free telephone service to one of the company's principal offices in conjunction with action through appropriate contact by a representative of the utility.

The testimony discloses that the Pacific Water Co., a California corporation, was incorporated on June 3, 1953 as a result of the consolidation of two existing public utility water corporations, the Mountain Properties, Inc., and the Desert Water Company. It now operates a number of small water systems which, as of December 31, 1956, amounted to 23: two in Kern County, six in San Bernardino County, five in Los Angeles County, and ten in Orange County. Specifically by the applications herein, rate increases are sought for the ten Orange County Systems, the Westside System in San Bernardino County, and three of the Los Angeles County Systems.

The testimony presented by the applicant, and also by the Commission's staff, was divided into three parts: one for each of the three counties concerned.

Westside System, Application No. 38441

The service area of this system is in unincorporated territory of San Bernardino County and consists of approximately 6½ square miles of area lying on both sides of Highway 66 and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe's main line tracks at a distance of from two to five miles westerly of Barstow, California. As of December 31, 1956, there were 335 active consumers in the system, all of whom were served through meters. The rates in effect prior to interim relief and the proposed rates are as follow:

Quantity Rates:		Per Meter Per Month	
		<u>Prior</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
First	900 cu.ft. or less .....	\$ 1.75	\$ 3.00
Next	4,100 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..	.15	.30
Next	5,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..	.10	.25
Over	10,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..	.10	.15

Minimum Charge:

For	5/8 x 3/4-inch meter .....	\$ 1.75	\$ 3.00
For	3/4-inch meter .....	2.00	3.00
For	1-inch meter .....	2.50	5.00
For	1½-inch meter .....	5.00	7.50
For	2-inch meter .....	10.00	10.00
For	4-inch meter .....	-	30.00

No changes were proposed for monthly flat rate service and applicant has requested that the monthly flat rate schedules on file be withdrawn.

The estimates of the applicant and the staff witnesses as to the results of operations at prior rates disclosed that the applicant would not make a reasonable return, the staff estimating a rate of return of .04 per cent and the company estimating a loss. At proposed rates the estimates are as follow:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Commission Staff</u>
Operating Revenues	\$ 28,015	\$ 28,630
Expenses, Including Taxes	21,117	21,967
Net Revenue	6,898	6,663
Rate Base	115,955	121,900
Rate of Return	5.95%	5.47%

Los Angeles County Systems, Application No. 38695

Three of the Los Angeles County Systems of the applicant company are involved in this application.

The Hawaiian Gardens System lies generally south of Carson Street between Pioneer Boulevard at the Los Angeles County line. The territory served comprises approximately 622 acres and at the end of the year 1957 there were about 891 metered service customers and 52 flat rate customers. The prior and proposed rates are as follow:

Quantity Rates:		<u>Per Meter Per Month</u>	
		<u>Prior</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
First	800 cu.ft. or less .....	\$ 2.00	
Next	200 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..	.25	
Next	2,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..	.20	
Next	3,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..	.15	
Over	6,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..	.12	
First	1,200 cu.ft. or less .....		\$ 4.00
Next	1,800 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..		.30
Next	3,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..		.25
Next	4,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..		.20
Over	10,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..		.15

Minimum Charge:

For	5/8 x 3/4-inch meter .....	\$ 2.00	\$ 4.00
For	1-inch meter .....	4.00	5.00
For	1 1/2-inch meter .....	6.50	10.00
For	2-inch meter .....	10.00	14.00
For	3-inch meter .....	17.50	37.00
For	4-inch meter .....	30.00	52.00

Monthly Flat Rates

	<u>Prior</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
For each residence or business establishment including premises not exceeding 9,650 sq.ft. in area, and where service connection does not exceed 3/4-inch .....	\$ 2.00	
For each additional 100 sq.ft. of area of premises in excess of 9,650 sq.ft. ....	.02	
For each additional residence or premises served from same service connection .....	1.00	
1. For each single family residence including premises not exceeding 31,000 sq.ft. in area .....		\$ 4.00
a. In addition, for each additional residential unit on the same premises served from the same service connection .....		2.00
b. In addition, for each additional 100 sq.ft. of area of premises in excess of 31,000 sq.ft. ....		.02
2. For each store, market, or shop .....		4.00
3. For each service station .....		5.00

The Burbank Tract consists of approximately 60 acres located at Artesia Avenue and Pioneer Boulevard about three miles south of Norwalk. At the end of 1957 the company estimated it had 54 metered service customers and 26 flat rate customers. The prior and proposed rates are as follow:

Quantity Rates:		<u>Per Meter</u>	<u>Per Month</u>
		Prior	Proposed
First	750 cu.ft. or less .....	\$ 1.50	
Next	750 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..	.20	
Next	1,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..	.15	
Over	2,500 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..	.125	
First	1,200 cu.ft. or less .....		\$ 4.00
Next	1,800 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..		.30
Next	3,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..		.25
Next	4,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..		.20
Over	10,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ..		.15

Minimum Charge:

For	5/8-inch meter .....	\$ 1.50	
For	3/4-inch meter .....	1.75	
For	1-inch meter .....	2.25	
For	1 1/2-inch meter .....	4.00	
For	2-inch meter .....	6.00	
For	5/8 x 3/4-inch meter .....		\$ 4.00
For	1-inch meter .....		5.00
For	1 1/2-inch meter .....		10.00
For	2-inch meter .....		14.00
For	3-inch meter .....		37.00
For	4-inch meter .....		52.00

Monthly Flat Rates

	<u>Prior</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
For each residence, including one lot, size not to exceed 50 x 165 feet where service connection is 3/4-inch in diameter or less .....	\$ 1.50	
For each residence, including one lot, size not to exceed 100 x 165 feet where service connection is 3/4-inch in diameter or less .....	2.50	
For each residence, including one lot, size not to exceed 150 x 165 feet where service connection is 3/4-inch in diameter or less .....	3.50	

	<u>Prior</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
1. For each single family residence including premises not exceeding 31,000 sq.ft. in area .....		\$ 4.00
a. In addition, for each additional residential unit on the same premises served from the same connection .....		2.00
b. In addition, for each additional 100 sq.ft. of area of premises in excess of 31,000 sq.ft. ....		.02
2. For each store, market, or shop .....		4.00
3. For each service station .....		5.00

Independence Square Tract is situated at Carmenita Avenue and Rosecrans Boulevard, approximately two miles northeast of Norwalk and consists of 184 acres. At the end of 1957 the company estimated that there were 39 metered service customers and 173 flat rate customers. The prior and proposed rates are as follow:

Quantity Rates:	<u>Per Meter Per Month</u>	
	<u>Prior</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
First 800 cu.ft. or less .....	\$ 2.00	
Next 200 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.25	
Next 2,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.15	
Next 3,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.12	
Over 6,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.06	
First 1,200 cu.ft. or less .....		\$ 4.00
Next 1,800 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ...		.30
Next 3,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ...		.25
Next 4,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ...		.20
Over 10,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ...		.15
 Minimum Charge:		
For 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter .....	\$ 2.00	\$ 4.00
For 1-inch meter .....	4.00	5.00
For 1½-inch meter .....	6.50	10.00
For 2-inch meter .....	10.00	14.00
For 3-inch meter .....	17.50	37.00
For 4-inch meter .....	30.00	52.00

Monthly Flat Rates

	<u>Prior</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
For each residence or business establishment including premises not exceeding 6,750 sq.ft. in area, and where service connection does not exceed 3/4-inch .....	\$ 2.00	
For each additional 100 sq.ft. of area of premises in excess of 6,750 sq.ft. ....		.02
For each additional residence on premises served from the same service connection .....	1.00	
1. For each single family residence including premises not exceeding 31,000 sq.ft. in area .....		\$ 4.00
a. In addition, for each additional residential unit on the same premises served from the same service connection .....		2.00
b. In addition, for each additional 100 sq.ft. of area of premises in excess of 31,000 sq.ft. ....		.02
2. For each store, market, or shop .....		4.00
3. For each service station .....		5.00

The estimates of the applicant and the staff witnesses as to the results of operations at prior rates, disclosed in each instance that the operations would be conducted at a loss. At proposed rates the estimates are as follow:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Commission Staff</u>
Operating Revenues	\$ 63,702	\$ 62,640
Expenses, Including Taxes	56,533	50,922
Net Revenue	7,169	11,718
Rate Base	188,205	187,400
Rate of Return	3.81%	6.25%

The Orange County Systems, Application No. 38402

There are 10 separate units operated by the applicant in Orange County for which rate increases are requested in this application. At the end of the year 1957 the company estimated these systems had approximately 2,087 metered service customers and 1,786 flat rate customers.

The metered rates in effect prior to interim relief for eight of the systems had a minimum charge of \$2 and the remaining two systems had a minimum charge of \$1.50. The quantity rates for the various systems ranged from \$0.25 to \$0.072 per 100 cubic feet through several blocking arrangements. The prior flat rates ranged from a basic charge of \$1.50 to \$3 for various lot sizes and included charges for additional area and additional living units. The company proposed the following consolidated schedules of meter and flat rates.

General Metered Service

Quantity Rates:	<u>Per Meter Per Month</u>
First 1,000 cu.ft. or less .....	\$ 3.00
Next 2,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.25
Next 7,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.20
Next 20,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.15
Over 30,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.12
 Minimum Charge:	
For 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter .....	\$ 3.00
For 3/4-inch meter .....	4.00
For 1-inch meter .....	6.75
For 1 1/2-inch meter .....	10.00
For 2-inch meter .....	14.00
For 3-inch meter .....	37.00
For 4-inch meter .....	52.00

Monthly Flat Rate Service

	<u>Monthly Flat Rate</u>
For single family residence on a lot not to exceed 10,000 sq.ft. in area, through a 3/4-inch service .....	\$4.00
For each additional 100 sq.ft. of lot area .....	.04
For each additional family unit on a single lot ..	2.00
For each store, market, or shop (service connection not to exceed 3/4-inch)	4.00
For each service station (service not to exceed 3/4-inch) .....	5.00

The estimates of the applicant and the staff witnesses, as to the results of operations at prior rates, indicate that the applicant would not make a reasonable return if these rates were continued. The staff estimates a rate of return of 1.88 per cent and the company estimates a loss. At proposed rates the estimates are as follow for 1957:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Applicant</u>	<u>Commission Staff</u>
<u>Operating Revenues</u>		
Metered Sales	\$115,635	\$115,635
Other Revenue	102,855	111,750
Total	<u>218,490</u>	<u>227,385</u>
<u>Operating Expenses</u>		
Operating and Maintenance	74,899	67,640
Administrative and General	37,420	33,520
Total	<u>112,319</u>	<u>101,160</u>
Taxes Other Than Income	8,117	8,117
Taxes Based On Income	34,000	44,820
Depreciation	19,961	19,961
Total Operating Expense	<u>174,397</u>	<u>174,058</u>
Net Revenue	44,093	53,327
Rate Base (Depreciated)	565,200	565,200
Rate of Return	7.80%	9.44%

Those portions of Exhibit No. 42 which apply to the Orange County Systems show that an additional amount of \$23,542 (\$18,000 on a weighted average basis) included in the plant accounts should be deducted from the rate base as it represents amounts receivable from subdividers where cost exceeded the amounts advanced by the subdividers which have been billed to them and which are being recouped. Deduction of this amount has the effect of raising the rate of return shown in Commission staff Exhibit No. 35 from 9.44 to 9.75 per cent.

It will be noted that the principal differences between the two estimates lie in three items: other revenue, operating and maintenance expenses, and administrative and general expenses.

The difference between the two estimates as to other revenue, which principally includes revenue from unmetered services, shows that the staff's estimate exceeds that of the company by \$8,895. The evidence discloses that the staff's estimate was formulated by taking the recorded revenue and weighted average customers for 1956 and estimating therefrom the 1957 revenue. The company, on the other hand, took the first eight months of the recorded figures of 1957 and estimated the remaining four months. Inasmuch as the company's estimate reflected a reduced average flat rate revenue occasioned by its metering program, it will be used herein.

There is a difference between the estimates of operating and maintenance expenses of \$7,259, the company's estimate exceeding that of the staff by this amount. The principal reason for this difference is to be found in Account No. 773, Customer Records and Collection Expense. The company has charged the sum of \$13,616, whereas the staff has allowed \$6,810. This difference of slightly more than \$6,800 primarily arises from a contention by the staff that there were certain inefficiencies and duplications in the company's operations, particularly in the maintenance of the Los Angeles and Santa Ana offices.

As to the contention of the inefficiencies, the evidence shows that the billing system of applicant was installed upon the recommendation of the Burroughs Company and, in the light of the testimony describing this system, it does not appear that the amounts are unreasonable.

The applicant has used the single factor of customers to allocate indirect costs and taxes to each of its systems. The staff used the average of four factors, namely direct expense, plant in service, direct labor charge and active services to allocate these items. Considering the variety of charges to general expenses and

miscellaneous expenses and widely separated operations of the company, the adoption of the arithmetical average of these four factors is justified as no special conditions are shown to exist in these districts.

The staff adjusted officers' salaries for rate-making purposes to reflect average utility operating conditions. This adjustment was based on a review of salaries of similar water utilities and previous Commission decisions. Witness for the applicant testified that because of additional supervisory work required to comply with Decision No. 53862 (regarding the applicant's certificate in Orange County) he did not see how administrative and general salaries could be reduced. The staff adjustments and estimates for these salaries includes provision for the annual effect of changes in personnel and salary increases. Therefore, the staff's allowance for officers' salaries for rate-making purposes is reasonable and will be adopted.

A rate of return of 8.38 per cent results from revenues at rates proposed and as estimated by the applicant, and reflecting the adopted expenses, taxes and rate base for 1957 estimated. These amounts are summarized in the following tabulation:

<u>Item</u>	<u>Amount</u>
Operating Revenues	\$218,490
Operating Expenses	
Operating and Maintenance	74,899
Administrative and General	33,520
Taxes	44,227
Depreciation	19,961
Total Operating Expenses	172,607
Net Revenue	45,883
Rate Base	547,200
Rate of Return	8.38%

Conclusions

From all of the evidence adduced in this matter we now find that the proposed increases in rates and charges in the Westside System, as hereinbefore described, are justified and that the present rates of this system insofar as they differ from those herein authorized are for the future unjust and unreasonable.

With respect to the Los Angeles County Systems we find that rate increases of approximately the amount proposed are justified. The authorized rates hereinafter set forth have been slightly modified from those proposed to provide a more reasonable relationship between the minimum charge and quantity rates. Further, with respect to these systems, applicant will be directed to immediately institute a program to improve service conditions to assure reasonable service consistent with the rates authorized. Insofar as the present rates for the Hawaiian Gardens, Burbank, and Independence Square Systems differ from those herein authorized, we find that for the future they are unjust and unreasonable.

With respect to the Orange County Systems we find that while rate increases are justified the evidence does not support the amount proposed. The utility is entitled to a fair return so that it may be able to attract capital in order to extend and improve service. We find that a rate of return of 6.5 per cent is reasonable under these conditions. The rates hereinafter authorized will permit such a return after due allowance for operating expenses, taxes, and depreciation and would result in an estimated increase in gross revenue of \$65,000 based on 1957 operations as compared to applicant's proposed increase of \$87,000. Insofar as the present rates for the Orange County Systems differ from those herein provided, we find that for the future they are unjust and unreasonable.

Case No. 5843

This case involved an investigation on the Commission's own motion into the rates, rules, regulations, contracts, operations and practices of the Pacific Water Co. This matter was consolidated with the three applications and evidence and stipulations pertaining to this case were presented on the last day of hearing.

Exhibits 21 and 21-A introduced during the course of the earlier hearings generally covered the types of main extension agreements entered into by this utility and its predecessors during 1954 and prior years. Such exhibit is replete with many and varied violations of the then filed main extension rules.

Upon the conclusion of hearings the defendant, Pacific Water Co., was granted permission to submit a late-filed exhibit setting out the utility's main extension practices since 1955. This information, introduced as Exhibit 42, indicates that the utility has adhered to its filed main extension rule with respect to extensions to serve subdivisions and individual residential customers since 1955. With respect to extensions to serve other individual customers, there is some question as to whether the proper section of the rule is being applied and applicant intends to properly clarify such agreements in the future.

Defendant's president, John Lyon, testified that it is the present and future policy of the company to apply its filed main extension rule to all main extension contracts. Further, he testified that in case of any exceptional situations in which the company believes it should enter into a contract which would deviate from the form of contract on file, the company will first obtain the authorization of the Commission to carry out such a contract. This witness also testified that since April, 1955 the company has not entered into any contract which has not been the same as the filed main extension contract form. ✓

Defendant is placed on notice that it has no legal alternative to its above-stated policy and any further departures from its then currently effective tariff schedules will not be tolerated by this Commission. Discontinuance of the Commission's investigation in Case No. 5843 is not to be construed as prejudicing the rights of any party seeking appropriate relief before this Commission arising from any unlawful main extension arrangements which this utility may have entered into.

O R D E R

Applications as above entitled having been filed, public hearings having been held, the matter having been submitted and now being ready for decision,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. That the Pacific Water Co. be and it is authorized to file in quadruplicate with this Commission, on or after the effective date of this order, and in conformity with the Commission's General Order No. 96, the schedule of rates shown in Appendix A attached hereto and upon not less than three days' notice to the Commission and to the public to make said rates effective for service rendered on and after May 1, 1958.

2. That applicant shall forthwith make the necessary arrangements for proper representation in its Hawaiian Gardens System by one of the methods outlined in the preceding opinion or by some other comparable arrangements. Applicant shall advise each customer and the Commission in writing of the basis of such arrangements within sixty days after the effective date of this order.

3. That applicant shall, within thirty days after the effective date of this order, submit its plans and program for the replacement of distribution mains in its Independence Square System

referred to in the preceding opinion. That applicant shall commence said construction with all deliberate speed and shall advise the Commission in writing of the status of such program within sixty days after the effective date of this order and every thirty days thereafter until such program has been completed.

4. That applicant shall, within sixty days after the effective date of this order, file four copies of comprehensive maps drawn to an indicated scale not smaller than 600 feet to the inch, delineating by appropriate markings various tracts of land and territory served; the principal water production, storage and distribution facilities; and the location of the various Los Angeles County, Orange County, and Westside Water System properties of applicant.

5. That Pacific Water Co., which was ordered to adopt the remaining life depreciation method for its Orange County Systems by Decision No. 53862, shall in the future for its Westside (A-38441) and Los Angeles County Systems (A-38695) review annually the accruals to depreciation reserve which shall be determined for each primary plant account by dividing the original cost of utility plant less estimated future net salvage less depreciation reserve by the estimated remaining life of the surviving plant of the account; and the results of the reviews shall be submitted annually to this Commission.

6. That the investigation herein, instituted by order of the Commission on October 30, 1956 as Case No. 5843 be and it is hereby discontinued.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 7<sup>th</sup> day of April, 1958.

*[Signature]*  
President  
*[Signature]*  
*[Signature]*  
*[Signature]*  
Commissioners

APPENDIX A  
Page 1 of 5

Schedule No. WS-1  
Westside Tariff Area  
GENERAL METERED SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water service.

TERRITORY

The unincorporated territory of Sections 8, 9, 10, 15, 16, 17, and the southeast quarter of Section 5, Township 9 north, Range 2 west, S. B. E. and M., west of the town of Barstow, San Bernardino County.

RATES

Quantity Rates:	<u>Per Meter</u> <u>Per Month</u>
First 900 cu.ft. or less .....	\$ 3.00
Next 4,100 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.30
Next 5,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.25
Over 10,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.15
 Minimum Charge:	
For 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter .....	\$ 3.00
For 3/4-inch meter .....	3.50
For 1-inch meter .....	5.00
For 1 1/2-inch meter .....	7.50
For 2-inch meter .....	10.00
For 4-inch meter .....	30.00

The Minimum Charge will entitle the customer to the quantity of water which that minimum charge will purchase at the Quantity Rates.

APPENDIX A  
Page 2 of 5

Schedule No. BH-1

Burbank Avenue, Hawaiian Gardens, Independence Square Tariff Areas

GENERAL METERED SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water service.

TERRITORY

Certain unincorporated area including Tracts Nos. 6234 and 7114 and the area northwest of the intersection of Pioneer Boulevard and Artesia Avenue, in the vicinity of Artesia and Norwalk, Los Angeles County.

RATES:

Quantity Rates:	<u>Per Meter</u> <u>Per Month</u>
First 800 cu.ft. or less .....	\$ 3.50
Next 2,200 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.35
Next 7,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.22
Next 20,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.15
Over 30,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.12
 Minimum Charge:	
For 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter .....	\$ 3.50
For 3/4-inch meter .....	4.00
For 1-inch meter .....	5.00
For 1 1/2-inch meter .....	10.00
For 2-inch meter .....	14.00
For 3-inch meter .....	37.00
For 4-inch meter .....	52.00

The Minimum Charge will entitle the customer to the quantity of water which that minimum charge will purchase at the Quantity Rates.

APPENDIX A  
Page 3 of 5

Schedule No. BH-2

Burbank Avenue, Hawaiian Gardens, Independence Square Tariff Areas

GENERAL FLAT RATE SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all water service furnished on a flat rate basis.

TERRITORY

Certain unincorporated areas including Tracts Nos. 6234 and 7114 and the area northwest of the intersection of Pioneer Boulevard and Artesia Avenue, in the vicinity of Artesia and Norwalk, Los Angeles County.

RATES

Per 3/4-inch Service Connection  
Per Month

1. For each single family residence, including premises not exceeding 10,000 sq.ft. in area .....	\$4.00
a. For each additional residential unit on the same premises and served from the same service connection ..	2.00
b. For each 100 sq.ft. of area in excess of 10,000 sq.ft.	.02
2. For each store, market, or shop ..	4.00
3. For each service station .....	5.00

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. All service not covered by the above classifications will be furnished only on a metered basis.

2. A meter may be installed at option of utility or customer for above classifications in which event service thereafter will be furnished only on the basis of Schedule No. BH-1, General Metered Service.

APPENDIX A  
Page 4 of 5

Schedule No. OR-1

Orange County Tariff Area

GENERAL METERED SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all metered water service.

TERRITORY

Certain areas in or near the cities and unincorporated communities of Garden Grove, Westminster, Barber City, Midway City and Santa Ana, and vicinity, Orange County.

RATES

Quantity Rates:	<u>Per Meter</u> <u>Per Month</u>
First 1,000 cu.ft. or less .....	\$ 2.50
Next 2,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.25
Next 7,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.20
Next 20,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.15
Over 30,000 cu.ft., per 100 cu.ft. ....	.12

Minimum Charge:

For 5/8 x 3/4-inch meter .....	\$ 2.50
For 3/4-inch meter .....	3.75
For 1-inch meter .....	6.00
For 1 1/2-inch meter .....	10.00
For 2-inch meter .....	14.00
For 3-inch meter .....	27.00
For 4-inch meter .....	43.00

The Minimum Charge will entitle the customer to the quantity of water which that minimum charge will purchase at the Quantity Rates.

APPENDIX A  
Page 5 of 5

Schedule No. OR-2

Orange County Tariff Areas

GENERAL FLAT RATE SERVICE

APPLICABILITY

Applicable to all water service furnished on a flat rate basis.

TERRITORY

Certain areas in or near the cities and unincorporated communities of Garden Grove, Westminster, Barber City, Midway City and Santa Ana, and vicinity, Orange County.

RATES

Per 3/4-inch Service  
Connection per Month

1. For a single family residence, including premises not exceeding 10,000 sq.ft. in area .....	\$3.50
a. For each additional residential unit on the same premises and served from the same service connection .....	2.00
b. For each 100 sq.ft. of area in excess of 10,000 sq.ft. ....	.03
2. For each store, market, or shop .....	3.50
3. For each service station .....	4.50

SPECIAL CONDITIONS

1. All service not covered by the above classifications will be furnished only on a metered basis.

2. A meter may be installed at option of utility or customer for above classifications in which event service thereafter will be furnished only on the basis of Schedule No. OR-1, General Metered Service.