ORIGINAL

Decision No. 58888

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of Oakley J. Hell, dba Star & Crescent Boat Co., for an increase in Excursion and Sight-seeing Fares.

Application No. 40110

John C. McHose, for applicant.

Glenn E. Newton, for the staff of the Public
Utilities Commission of the State of
California.

OPINION

Oakley J. Hall, doing business as Star & Crescent Boat Co., operates an excursion and sight-seeing service by vessel on San Diego Bay. By this application he seeks authority to establish increased fares on five days' notice to the Commission and to the public.

Public hearing on the application was held before

Examiner C. S. Abernathy at San Diego on June 18, 1958. Evidence

was presented by applicant, by his superintendent of operations, and
by his auditor. Evidence was also presented by an engineer of the

Commission's staff.

Applicant's present fares and the fares which he seeks to establish are as follows:

	Present Fare per Ride	Proposed Fare per Ride
Adult	\$1.8182	\$2.2727
Child, 5 to 12 years old, inclusive	1.00	1.1364
Student, in groups of 20 or more Grade school, per student High school or college, per student	.6818 1.00	.9091 · 1.1364

These fares are subject to a 10 percent tax, which when added to the present and proposed fares, make the total charges as follows:

	Total Charge per Ride	
	Present	Proposed
Adult	\$2.00	\$2.50
Child, 5 to 12 years old, inclusive	1.10	1.25
Student, in groups of 20 or more Grade school, per student High school or college, per student	.75 1.10	1.00

Applicant alleges that increases in his fares are necessary to the preservation of his service and to a fair return from the operations. Assertedly the proposed fares would be reasonable for the grade of service which is being rendered.

Data to show anticipated operating results for the coming year (a) if present fares are maintained and (b) if the sought fares are established were presented by applicant's auditor and by the Commission engineer. These data are summarized in Tables Nos. 1 and 2 below:

Table No. 1
Estimated Results of Operation under Present Fares
for 12 Months Ending June 30, 1959

30, 1939	
Applicant	Commission Engineer
\$111,981	\$117,710
108,136	105,960
3,845	\$.11,750
836	3,430
\$ 3,009	8,320
\$342,896	\$295,400
97.3%	92.9%
.87%	2.8%
	Applicant \$111,981 108,136 3,845 836 \$ 3,009 \$342,896 97.3%

Table No. 2

Estimated Results of Operation under Proposed Fares for 12 Months Ending June 30, 1959

	Applicant	Commission Engineer
Revenues	\$138,717	\$143,110
Expenses	108,136	105,960
Net Operating Revenues	\$ 30,581	\$ 37,150
Income Taxes	13,992	18,330
Net Income	\$ 16,589	\$ 18,820
Rate Base	\$315,153	\$295,400
Operating Ratio	88.04%	86.8%
Rate of Return	5.26%	6.4%

No one appeared in opposition to authorization of the sought fare increases. By letters addressed to applicant, which letters were made part of the record, the Port of San Diego and

the San Diego Convention and Tourist Bureau stated that they do not oppose the increases in fares.

On the basis of the estimates of applicant's auditor and of the Commission engineer alike the record is clear that if applicant's fares are maintained at their present level during the coming year applicant will not realize a fair return from his sight-seeing operations. Moreover, it appears from either of the estimates that if the sought fares are established the return that would be realized would not exceed a reasonable limit. In the circumstances the Commission is of the opinion and finds that the increases in fares are justified. The application in this respect will be granted. Also will be granted applicant's request for authority to establish the increased fares on five days' notice to the Commission and to the public. This action is justified by applicant's revenue needs and by the fact that his operations are seasonal with the seasonal peak during the summer months. If applicant is to achieve the operating results reflected in the estimates, he should be permitted to establish the fare increases as promptly as possible. For like reason the order herein will be made effective 10 days after the date thereof.

ORDER

Based on the evidence and on the conclusions and findings contained in the preceding opinion,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that

1. Oakley J. Hall, doing business as Star & Crescent Boat Co., be and he hereby is authorized to amend his Local Passenger Tariff Cal. P.U.C. No. 1 to establish on not less than 5 days notice to the Commission and to the public increased fares as follows:

Authorized Fare per Ride Adult \$2.2727 Child, 5 to 12 years old, inclusive 1.1364 Student, in groups of 20 or more Grade school, per student High school or college, per student .9091 1.1364 The authority herein granted shall expire unless exercised within ninety days after the effective date of this order. This order shall become effective 10 days after the date hereof. Dated at _____ San Francisco California, this day of , 1958. resident

Commissioners.