ORIGINAL

Decision No. 57695

AH

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Investigation ) into the rates, rules, regulations,) charges, allowances and practices ) of all household goods carriers, ) common carriers, highway carriers, ) and city carriers, relating to the ) transportation of used household ) goods and related property.

Case No. 5330 Petition for Modification No. 6 and Order Setting Hearing Dated March 11, 1958

(Appearances are listed in Appendix "A")

# <u>O P I N I O N</u>

Minimum rates, rules and regulations for the transportation of used household goods and personal effects are set forth in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 4-A. Rates in cents per hour and in cents per piece are prescribed for shipments moving 30 miles or less or within a metropolitan area. Such transportation is called local moving. Other transportation is characterized as long-distance moving and the minimum rates prescribed therefor are in cents per 100 pounds. The last general adjustment of the aforesaid minimum rates, rules and regulations became effective February 1, 1954, pursuant to Decision No. 49545 in Cases Nos. 4808 and 5330, Petitions Nos. 1 and 2. By Decision No. 53520, dated July 31, 1956, as amended by Decision No. 53597, dated August 21, 1956, the hourly rates for local moving were adjusted upward and the piece rates for local moving, the rates for long-distance moving and certain charges for accessorial services were increased by five percent.

By petition filed January 3, 1958, as amended April 22, 1958, the California Moving and Storage Association, Inc., seeks an

-1-

upward adjustment in the minimum rates. On March 11, 1958, upon the recommendation of its Transportation Division, the Commission ordered that hearings be held in Case No. 5330 for the purpose of determining whether the rules and regulations prescribed in the minimum rate tariff should be revised or modified. The two matters were consolidated for hearing and decision. Public hearings were held at San Francisco and Los Angeles before Examiner J. E. Thompson. Upon the receiving of oral argument on July 17, 1958, the matters were taken under submission.

A brief outline of the types of carriers engaged in household goods moving will be of assistance in understanding and evaluating the evidence presented.

One type of carrier has an organizational structure consisting of a parent company and one or more subsidiaries or affiliate corporations which are set up on a functional basis. The Bekins and Lyon corporations are typical of this type. Bekins Van & Storage Co. (of California) is the parent corporation of the Bekins group of corporations. Its principal functions are the storage of household goods, local moving and packing in California. It also obtains revenue from retail furniture sales and rug-cleaning. It has depositeries and terminals in a number of cities in California. Bekins Van Lines, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary, is engaged in long-distance moving in California. It engages the services of Bekins Van & Storage Co., as well as others, for the booking of shipments and for performing pickup and delivery. Wilshire Fireproof Storage Co., a wholly owned subsidiary, leases a warehouse to the parent corporation. Bekins Warehousing Company is a subsidiary engaged in commercial warehousing in California. Bekins Household Shipping Company is a subsidiary engaged in the forwarding of household goods. B.V.L. Co. is a subsidiary which transports household goods in interstate commerce. It

-2-

is a motor carrier operating in all 48 states. Other subsidiaries are Bekins Van & Storage - Arizona, Bekins Van & Storage - Nevada, and Bekins Van & Storage - New Mexico, all of which conduct operations in the areas indicated. Affiliated corporations in which Bekins Van & Storage Co. owns stock include Bekins Van & Storage Co. - Santa Barbara, which conducts local moving and some long-distance moving about Santa Barbara and vicinity and various Bekins Van & Storage Companies of Missouri, Texas, Minnesota and Nebraska, none of which conduct operations in California. The various corporations perform services for each other and charges are assessed therefor and are reflected upon the books of the corporations as intercompany transactions. For example, a movement of household goods from Redding to Santa Monica may reflect intercompany transactions between Bekins Van Lines, Inc., and Bekins Van & Storage Co. involving packing, commissions for booking, pickup charges, lease of vehicular equipment, charges for providing drivers or helpers and delivery charges. Similar intercompany transactions may be reflected in the books of B.V.L.Co., Bekins Van Lines, Inc., and Bekins Van & Storage Co. for a shipment moving from Los Angeles to Chicago.

Another type of carrier is a corporation, the stockholders of which are numerous household goods carriers operating at various and many points in California and other states. Allied Van Lines, Aero-Mayflower Van Lines and Calmay Van Lines are typical of this type. This carrier is engaged in long-distance moving, ordinarily for distances over 150 miles. The stockholders act as agents for the carrier and in many instances place one or more pieces of motor vehicle equipment into the carrier pool. In some cases the actual physical services performed by the carrier include only the dispatching of vehicles and apportioning the revenue earned; in other cases, the carrier operates the freight carrying equipment. The operation

-3-

# C. 5320 (Put. No.6) AH

of this type of carrier may be illustrated by an example of how one of these corriers might perform a service. When Agent "A" at Riverside obtains a shipment destined to San Jose he books it was a shipping order in the name of the carrier. If there is any packing to be done, he performs that service. He calls the dispatcher of the office of the carrier at Los Angeles. Assume Agent "B" from San Francisco has made delivery of a shipment at San Bernardino. The dispatcher notifies A and B that B is to transport the shipment. B takes the shipment to San Jose where the shipper notifies him that he desires storage in transit for a week. B turns over the shipment to Agent "C" at San Jose and goes on to San Francisco. At the end of the week C delivers the shipment and receives the freight charges which he forwards to the carrier. The carrier then divides the revenue by remitting the packing charges to A and the storage in transit charges to C and divides the remainder on a percentage basis among the carriers for providing dispatching and bookkeeping services, booking the shipment, picking up the shipment, performing the line haul and effecting delivery.

A third type of carrier is the agent for the above "Allied" type of carrier. A typical carrier in this category operates a warehouse for the storage of household goods, engages in local moving and packing, performs some long-distance moving on his own account but usually not over 150 miles and engages in the selling of furniture at retail. A substantial portion of his earnings is derived from commissions from booking shipments for the principal carrier and performing storage in transit and packing of shipments moving by the principal carrier.

A fourth type of carrier is similar to the third type except that he may act as agent for Bekins, Lyons or for a carrier operating in California only in interstate commerce. In some instances this type of carrier performs long-distance movements statewide.

-4-

A fifth type of carrier is one which has no affiliations. In most instances carriers in this category operate only one or two trucks and usually do not undertake the transportation of shipments which will take them away from their headquarters for more than one day. Also included in this group are carriers that operate flat-rack equipment and perform transportation services in addition to the movement of household goods.

Evidence was presented by petitioner consisting of the testimony of officials of a number of carriers, exhibits showing the operating results of Bekins, Lyon and Beverly Hills Transfer and Storage Co., exhibits containing estimates of the costs of providing service by Bekins and by Lyon and exhibits setting forth proposed changes in the minimum rates, rules and regulations.

Evidence was presented by the Commission's staff and consists of a summary of the operating results of a number of household goods carriers, an exhibit setting forth estimates of the cost of performing local moving and exhibits setting forth proposed changes in the rules and regulations.

The traffic manager of the State of California participated in the proceedings. He presented testimony and exhibits setting forth the effect certain rules and regulations which are now in the tariff, as well as certain proposed rules, will have upon the State of California in connection with movement of the household effects of State employees. He proposed modifications of the rules.

#### Operating Results

The Commission's staff introduced an exhibit summarizing the income statements for the year 1956 of 50 carriers. The staff's witness testified that he had made an effort to select a representative sample of the medium sized or smaller carriers. The larger carriers who conduct major interstate operations either directly or

-5-

indirectly through affiliated companies were omitted from the sample. The revenues and expenses shown are for all services performed by these carriers. The exhibit also set forth profit and loss data for 1957 to the extent that it was available. Complete data was obtained only in 18 instances. Evidence presented by petitioner on rebuttal indicated that, where partial results were shown, they varied widely with the actual year-end results of the individual carriers. The exhibit contains a table showing consolidated operating results for a number of years. It shows a gradual increase in the operating ratio of the carriers as a group from 92.0 percent in 1950 to 95.8 percent in 1956. Said operating ratios together with others stated in in this opinion are before income taxes.

Petitioner presented exhibits showing the operating results of Bekins and Lyon for 1956 and of Beverly Hills Transfer and Storage Co. for 1956 and 1957. Overall, all of these respondents operated at a profit during those periods. In the case of Bekins and Lyon, the revenues and expenses of the various corporations operating in California were separated and allocated so as to provide estimates of the results achieved from local moving and from long-distance moving. These results were modified to reflect intrastate revenues computed at the minimum rates and expenses based upon wage agreements in force April 1, 1958. The estimated operating ratios so computed for Bekins was 108.7 percent for long-distance moving and 112.2 percent for local moving. Lyon's summary shows an operating ratio of 122.7 percent for Lyon Van & Storage Co. (predominantly local moving) and 109.5 percent for Lyon Van Lines, Inc., (predominantly long-distance moving). Bekins and Lyon projected the revenues to show estimates of the results which would have been obtained under the rates proposed by petitioner. In the case of Bekins the operating ratios so produced for longdistance moving and local moving, respectively, are 95.2 percent and

-6-

93.1 percent. In the case of Lyon, the modified results are operating ratios of 98.7 percent for Lyon Van & Storage Co. and 97.8 percent for Lyon Van Lines.

The Commission's staff took exception to the results projected by the respondents. It is their contention that packing revenues and expenses and revenue from commissions should have been included in the operating results for local moving and long-distance moving. It is petitioner's contention that commissions are revenues derived from noncarrier operations and Bekins contends that packing revenues and expenses are not transportation items. These items are accessorial to transportation and, hence, are transportation items. Revenues and expenses therefrom should be included in any complete study of carrier operating revenues and expenses. Needless to say, if this proceeding involved a petition for an emergency interim increase in rates pending development of a full and complete record which would permit the establishment of rates on a definitive basis, commissions and packing revenues would be considered in determining the financial conditions of the carriers. In such a proceeding the matter to be determined is whether the financial conditions of the carriers is such that any delay in the providing of additional revenues might jeopardize their ability to provide adequate and dependable service. That is not the case here. The exhibits provide one measure of the effect of changes in conditions, including increased wage costs and increased rates, in connection with services performed under local moving rates and long-distance moving rates.

In Exhibit No. 23 the Controller of Beverly Hills Transfer and Storage Co. estimated the results of this carrier for the years 1956 and 1957 had the carrier assessed the minimum rates for local moving. The exhibit shows that had this been done this carrier would have had an over-all loss in both years.

-7-

# Local Moving

It has been the general practice of carriers to assess rates greater than the minimum rates for local moving. The amount of the charges assessed in excess of the minimum rates varies widely with respect to different geographical areas and, to a certain extent, among carriers within an area. It appears that the carriers fix their rates for local moving at what the traffic will bear considering competition, the economic circumstances of the community, or general area served, and the equipment and facilities maintained by the carrier in providing the service. A summary of a freight bill study prepared by the director of research of the California Trucking Associations, Inc., indicates that, on a statewide basis, the local moving revenue actually received by carriers was 24.5 percent above the revenue which would be derived at the minimum rates. On the basis of the results of this study, the director of research estimated that had the 50 carriers whose operating results were summarized by the Commission's staff been assessing the minimum rates for local moving, the composite operating ratio of those carriers for the year 1956 would have been 100.13 percent rather than 95.8 percent.

Estimates of the cost per hour for local moving were presented by the assistant secretary of Bekins Van & Storage Co., by a cost analyst employed by Lyon Van & Storage Co., and by a transportation engineer of the Commission's staff. The director of research of California Trucking Associations, Inc., offered an exhibit on which he had modified the engineer's cost study by giving effect to certain factors which he thought to be appropriate.

The cost estimates are not comparable in that the types of service used as a basis for the cost estimates are not comparable. In developing his cost estimates the engineer studied the operations

-8-

of the smaller carriers and had in mind, as a standard in developing the cost estimates, a carrier operating one or perhaps two gasolinepowered two-axle trucks with 16-foot beds. In developing estimates of the cost of providing local moving service, the end results will depend upon the nature of the operations being studied. The end results developed by the staff engineer are the lowest of those of record in this proceeding. We shall now proceed to determine whether the cost estimates developed by the engineer are reasonable under current conditions for the type of carrier which was used as a standard. In this respect, there are three aspects of the engineer's estimates which petitioner challenges; these are, level of wages, allowance for nonproductive time and indirect expense.

The engineer's cost estimates reflect wages prevailing on March 31, 1958. Since that date, as the director of research testified, there have been a number of wage increases resulting from collective bargaining agreements between employers and employees. These agreements should be given effect.

The engineer made no allowance for nonproductive time nor for premium pay in determining his estimates of the cost of performing local moving. Nonproductive time is the time during the regular working day for which workers are being paid when they are not engaged in revenue producing activity. It results from a number of circumstances including situations where a local moving job is completed prior to 5:00 p.m. and too late to commence another job that day.

In an owner-driver operation nonproductive time could only accrue in connection with the owner or the helper. It was stated during the hearing, both by the engineer and by carrier witnesses, that some carriers employ nonunion helpers. While those helpers are normally paid the prevailing basic wage paid to union employees, they are not subject to the same "fringe benefits". The engineer's cost

-9-

C.5330 (Pet. No. 5) AH/ds \*

study revealed that the labor cost per hour for union helpers under broken-time wages is less than under straight-time wages. The engineer used the straight-time wages in developing his cost estimates. Insofar as the helper is concerned, we are of the opinion that and additional increment to the cost estimates for nonproductive time is not warranted. In the case of the owner-driver, nonproductive time is used, or should be used, for planning work and other administrative matters. Compensation for performing these functions should be reflected in indirect expense.

In determining his estimate of indirect expense, the engineer studied the financial statements of 27 carriers. He made a nominal allowance for compensation to owners conducting operations as individuals or pertnerships. In cases where the owners engaged in driving activities, the major portion of the compensation was assigned to direct expense, i.e., operating wages and a small portion was assigned as indirect expense, i.e., in administrative expense. From his study of the 27 carriers, there were allowances for owner's compensation in the case of 23 carriers, the engineer estimated that a reasonable ratio of indirect expenses to direct expenses is 33 percent. The director of research testified that he had made a study in 1955 in connection with proceedings in Petition No. 4 in Case No.5330. He said that he found an indirect expense ratio of 44.5 percent. We are of the opinion that the estimate of the engineer is conservative for an owner-driver operation. In determining a reasonable estimate of the cost of operation we shall use an indirect expense ratio of 40 percent. The total costs with the above modifications for a van and two men are \$10.224 and \$9.808 per hour for Territories A and E respectively.

The respective rates will be increased to \$11.00 and \$10.50 per hour. These represent increases of 10 percent and 14 percent respectively.

-10-

Our comments above regarding the cost estimates are also applicable to other minimum rates for local moving and said rates will be adjusted accordingly.

#### Long-Distance Moving

1

The present minimum rates are predicated upon the costs of operation of Bekins and Lyon.<sup>1</sup> These carriers perform a substantial portion of the long-distance moving in California, particularly for distances over 150 miles. Cost estimates of the Bekins operation and of the Lyon operation were presented. The Lyon estimates are higher than the Bekins estimates.

Petitioner offered a schedule of proposed minimum rates which was developed, with certain modifications and adjustments, by applying to the present rates the percentages of the increases in costs of operation from May, 1956 to April 1, 1958. Said percentage increases are tabulated in Exhibit No. 8 and were derived from a comparison of cost estimates presented by the vice president of Bekins Van Lines in Exhibit No. 4-20 in Case No. 5330, Petition No. 4, and cost estimates presented in Exhibit No. 7 herein by said vice president. Exhibit No. 7 was prepared in the same form as Exhibit No. 4-20. The performance factors, use factor, service life of equipment and factors used in developing the labor cost per hour for California operations were the same in both exhibits. Elements of expense higher in 1958 than in 1956 include cost of equipment. cost of fuel, wages, insurance expense, licenses and taxes. Elements of cost which would tend to show a lower cost in 1958 than in 1956 included tire expense, maintenance and repair expense and an increase in load factor. The cost estimates in Exhibit No. 7 are as of April 1, 1958.

In its Decision No. 49456 the Commission held: "The resulting composite costs (average costs of Bekins and Lyon weighted 69 percent Bekins and 31 percent Lyon) appear to be representative of costs of providing necessary and adequate long-distance moving service."

2

While the record does not show that minimum rates should be established based on the cost of operations of Bekins, a comparison of Exhibit No. 4-20 and Exhibit No. 7 does in many respects show the effect upon total cost of operation resulting from changes in operating expense that would be typical for almost all household goods carriers engaged in long-distance moving on a statewide basis. Some of these expenses include wages, equipment, licenses, taxes and fuel, all of which have increased since 1956. Some other factors do not appear to be typical, such as an increase in the ratio of indirect expenses to direct expenses, and reductions in tire expense and maintenance expense.

In connection with the development of the costs of performing transportation under the point-to-point rates applicable between Los Angeles, on the one hand, and San Francisco and Sacramento, on Aller the other hand, the witness included a factor for breakback. According to the witness, if the costs developed for express operations between the points are used as the sole basis for determining the point-to-point rate, Bekins would operate at a loss in transporting traffic to intermediate points. In Exhibit No. 4-20, the witness estimated that in order for Bekins to recover the cost of transporting shipments to and from intermediate points along the authorized routes, the express-run costs should be increased by 21 cents per 100 pounds. In Exhibit No. 7 he estimated that the expressrun costs should be increased by 79 cents per 100 pounds. One of the principal reasons for the substantial increase in this "breakback factor" is that in 1956 besides its express runs, Bekins operated a regular schedule between Los Angeles and San Francisco and

The term "breakback" usually refers to rates based on varying minimum weights. Here the witness uses it in another sense. The costs developed for Bekins' express operation are equivalent to those developed for Bekins' other operations at 142.5 miles, which the witness calls the "breakback mileage point". The factor is an additive to the express costs allegedly necessary to compensate Bekins for the higher cost of transporting shipments to intermediate points beyond the "breakback mileage point".

-12-

Sacramento serving intermediate points en route. At present Bekins operates only express runs between the afore-mentioned terminal points. The intermediate points are presently served on what the witness called a "radial operation"; that is to say, that on a shipment from Los Angeles to Santa Maria, the equipment would leave Los Angeles loaded, be unloaded at Santa Maria, and, unless there was traffic available in that general area, would be returned to Los Angeles empty. This change in operation had the effect of increasing the load factor in Bekins operations between Los Angeles and San Francisco and Sacramento in that the intermediate points were dropped from the regular schedules. As a result of this change in the "breakback factor" the percentage of increases in costs shown in Exhibit No. 8 for operations conducted between the terminal points ranges between 15.3 percent and 23.9 percent. Petitioner proposes increases in these rates ranging between 15 percent and 22 percent.

The percentages of increases in cost of operation shown in Exhibit No. 8, and the proposed increases in rates for transportation subject to the mileage rates in the tariff, range between 3 percent and 8 percent for distances over 150 miles and in the order of 10 percent for distances up to 150 miles.

The evidence of record clearly shows that the minimum rates are the going rates for long-distance moving. After due consideration of all of the facts and circumstances, we are of the opinion and find that the proposed increases in the rates, other than proposed point-to-point rates, are justified and are necessary in order to preserve and maintain adequate and dependable transportation service. In connection with the aforesaid point-to-point rates, the record shows that they are presently unduly depressed. Carrier witnesses testified that they had individually increased their rates between said points during the fall of 1957, but, because of

-13-

competition, they were unable to maintain the higher rates for more than four or five weeks. The increases proposed by petitioner in the point-to-point rates stem from the estimates of Bekins' vice president. In his estimates, the vice president expanded the express-rum costs for all weight brackets by 79 cents per 100 pounds. This has the effect of showing a higher percentage of increase in the cost of transporting shipments of 4,000 pounds than for transporting shipments of 100 pounds. This is an anomaly in that the greatest increases in expense are in drivers' wages. For the costs developed for transportation performed under mileage rates the percentages of increases in costs are greater for the smaller shipments and diminish gradually in the higher weight groups. The point-to-point rates proposed by petitioner have not been shown to be justified.

The principal reason for the lower cost per 100 pounds of performing transportation between Los Angeles and San Francisco and Sacramento, than between other points of like distance, is that there is more traffic moving between the territories than between the other points. This circumstance enables the carriers to achieve higher load factors, not only because of the availability of back hauls, but, also, the volume of traffic makes it more likely for a carrier to accumulate enough small shipments to comprise a full load going in one direction. This latter circumstance particularly provides more marked difference in the cost of transporting small shipments than in the case of larger shipments. On consideration of the evidence, we are of the opinion and find that, in general, the point-to-point rates for transportation between Los Angeles and San Francisco and Sacramento should be increased on the order of 13 percent. Adjustments will be made to reflect the afore-mentioned difference in the cost of transporting small shipments as compared to larger shipments.

-14-

The above discussion, also in part, is applicable to other point-topoint rates. Full discussion of the circumstances as they pertain to each rate is not necessary.

## Rules and Regulations

Petitioner, the Commission's staff and the traffic manager of the State of California presented proposals for modification of the rules and regulations contained in the tariff. A number of the proposed modifications suggested by the staff were endorsed by petitioner and were not opposed by anyone. In general they were not calculated to make any changes in the manner in which the rates and charges are to be applied but were presented as clarification of present rules. We have examined those proposals and find that they have merit and should be adopted. Some of the proposals of the staff were opposed by petitioner or by one or more of the parties. These will be discussed. The staff proposes that the rates in cents per piece be applicable only to shipments for distances of 10 miles or less. The present limitation on distance is 30 miles. It was stated that the 10 miles conformed more to the present practices of carriers. Petitioner at the outset endorsed this proposal. Two carriers protested this modification. It was testified that they had developed business predicated upon the present application of the piece rates and that shipments were transported in excess of 10 miles under said rates. It appears that the rates in cents per piece are being used and are required by at least two carriers. The proposal will not be adopted.

The staff proposed amending Item No. 170 of the tariff which pertains to the computation of time under hourly rates for packing. It is proposed that the following provision be added: "In computing the accessorial rates the time shall be the total time actually spent packing or unpacking or both." The staff contends that the proposed modification merely clarifies the present tariff. Petitioner protests the proposed modification and contends that packing

-15-

rates have almost universally been assessed on the time the packers left the carriers' terminals and returned and not just for the amount of time spent actually packing. It is contended that the modification would result in a reduction in rates. It was pointed out that while the staff may have interpreted the tariff as set forth in their proposal, there is no provision in the tariff specifically setting forth the requirement that the time be computed on the basis of actual time spent packing and that the industry as a whole has construed the packing rates to apply from the time the packers embarked to perform the service until the time they returned. Petitioner pointed out that the cost estimates prepared by petitioner and by the staff in proceedings involving the establishment of rates for packing did not make an allowance for the so-called portal-to-portal time and that if the proposed modifications were adopted the carriers would not be compensated for the amount of time spent by packers going to and from the packing job. The present rates were based on cost estimates which do not provide an allowance factor for so-called portal-toportal time.

The amount of time involved in the packers going from the terminal to the point of packing will vary with the distance from the terminal to the job site. The carrier nearest the point of packing has a decided competitive advantage. Another consideration which is persuasive of adoption of the proposal is that while shippers of household goods can readily compute the time spent on the job, they are not in a position to compute portal-to-portal time. Unless the shipper is fully informed of the basis of the assessment of charges prior to engaging the carrier, misunderstandings can and do arise. We are of the opinion that the staff's proposal has merit. While the costs upon which the packing rates were based do not include a factor for so-called terminal to job-site time, because of the extreme

-16-

variation in the amount of time that may be involved, we are of the opinion that an incremental allowance for this cost factor is one which can best be determined by the carriers with respect to each job. The Commission is establishing minimum rates. The adoption of the staff's proposal will not preclude carriers from assessing higher rates or continuing their practice of assessing rates on a terminalto-terminal basis provided the higher rates and said basis for computing time are clearly set forth on the "Confirmation of Shipping Order and Rate Quotation".

The staff proposed modification of the descriptions of routes set forth in Item No. 500 to conform with the changes in the routings of highways by the construction of freeways. In these proposals the presently authorized routes are retained and the newer and more direct routes are added as authorized alternate routes. In order to accomplish the result without lengthy description of each route, the staff proposed to use the term "highway" in the descriptions and proposes defining "highway" as including such designations as: City Route, Business Route, Alternate Route and By-Pass. Their proposed modification of the descriptions of the routes also encompasses changing the names of places described therein to conform with the present names of communities or incorporated cities; for example, the present description has Centerville as a named point; Centerville is now included in the City of Fremont.

The description of the authorized routes is important in that the point-to-point rates are intermediate in application to points on and along authorized routes. Petitioner is opposed to the staff's proposal because it not only enlarges the scope of the application of the point-to-point rates by adding the freeway route, but also extends it to include roads and thoroughfares other than freeways that the Department of Highways or the city or county governments have

-17-

designated or may designate in the future as City Route, Business Route, Alternate Route and By-Pass.

The application of the point-to-point rates to intermediate points is predicated on the theory that it costs no more to pick up or deliver freight at points directly en route between terminal points. When the carrier must depart from the direct route, the theory is that there is an added cost. The level of the point-to-point rates is based in large part on evidence of the cost of performing service. Performance factors, including distance, grades, practical operating speeds of vehicles and traffic congestion are considered in arriving at the costs. These factors are determined from examination and observation of actual performance over specific routes which are considered to be the normal routes traversed by freight carrying vehicles between the terminal points. Physical changes are made in the highways from time to time which in turn change the normal routes used by the carriers. The authorized routings should reflect the normal routes currently traversed by carriers. It is obvious that in many instances the freeways now are normal routes; however, in some instances the older routes at various times are used by the carriers as normal routes. Unfortunately, the record herein does not set forth precisely what the normal routes are. There is evidence to show that they do not include every City Route, Business Route, Alternate Route and By-Pass. In the circumstances, the proposal of the staff will not be adopted; however, the item will be amended to show the proper place names of communities and cities and to include a provision that where the Department of Public Works has constructed freeways and by-passes as a part of the State's system of highways between any points or places along the defined authorized routes, the points and places on and along said freeways and by-passes between

-18-

said points on the authorized routes also will be considered as being on said authorized routes.

Petitioner proposed a number of rules which do not have counterparts in the minimum rate tariff. These concern rules for impractical operations, procedures for filing claims and proposed rule for charges applicable to waiting or delay in connection with long-distance moving. The proposed rules for impractical operations and claims are commonly found in the tariffs of common carriers setting forth limitations on the scope of services held out by the common carrier to the public so as to clearly set forth the limits and extent of the carrier's liability. There is nothing to prevent those carriers desiring to do so from setting forth the proposed rules on their forms for contracts of carriage or confirmation of shipping order forms. The necessity or desirability of requiring all carriers to comply with said proposed rules has not been shown. Those proposals will not be adopted. The proposed rule and charge for waiting or delay caused by shipper or consignee has merit. Rules and charges for delay time are necessary to assure compensation to the carriers for services not covered by the minimum rates.

Petitioner proposed increases in charges set forth in the present rules. The proposed charges were determined by increasing the present charges by 15 percent and rounding off to the nearest five cents. They are reasonable and will be adopted.

The traffic manager of the State of California proposed that the rules governing the issuance of the confirmation of shipping order and rate quotation be amended to include a provision requiring all carriers to clearly state on said document whether the rates and charges quoted are the same as or greater than the minimum rates prescribed by the Commission. According to the traffic manager, the State's Department of Finance reimburses employees for moving expense

-19-

necessitated by transfer of employees by order of the various departments of the State. The rules and regulations established by the State government provide that reimbursement will not be made in excess of the minimum rates unless the claim is accompanied by three competitive bids in which latter case reimbursement will be made at the rates proposed in the lowest bid. The employees and other shippers are not regularly engaged in traffic matters so that they are uninformed of the minimum rates; hence, the designation by the carrier of whether the rates quoted are the minimum rates or higher rates, it is argued, will be helpful to the public in engaging carriers. This proposal was opposed by petitioner. We have given consideration to the proposal and the contentions for and against it. While the Commission has established rules governing the representations to be made by the carriers to the public, said rules and regulations were established to prevent fraudulent and unfair practices by carriers. The Commission has stated in numerous decisions that in the establishment of minimum rates it is not fixing a schedule of rates that will be compensatory to all carriers. In several decisions the Commission has stated that it is not desirable that carriers attempt to maintain rates which are exactly the same as the minimum rates. Certainly the evidence in this proceeding. clearly shows that if Bekins or Lyon engaged solely in local moving at the minimum rates found to be reasonable herein they would a local. period. In its day-to-day administration of the Household Goods Carriers Act and the minimum rate orders, the Commission has found that ordinarily shippers of household goods."shop around" before engaging a carrier. The evidence in this proceeding clearly shows that carriers in general assess rates higher than the minimum rates for local moving. Indeed, numerous officials of carriers testified

-20-

in person and the activities of other carriers were testified to by witnesses for petitioner and for the staff. In no case, other than for shipments for governmental agencies<sup>3</sup> and so-called national accounts, was there any instance recited where any carrier had assessed the minimum rates for local moving. As pointed out by the traffic manager, the ordinary shipper of household goods is not cognizant of traffic matters. The proposed amendment would tend more to confuse than enlighten the shipper. It will not be adopted.

Upon consideration of all of the facts and circumstances of record, the Commission is of the opinion and finds that the rates, charges and accessorial charges established in the order which follows are and will be for the future the just, reasonable and nondiscriminatory minimum rates, charges and accessorial charges for the transportation of household goods and other property for which minimum rates have been established in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 4-A; and, that the rules and regulations established in the ensuing order are necessary to the application and enforcement of the said minimum rates.

The minimum rates do not apply to property transported for the United States, State, County or Municipal Governments.

-21-

# $O \underline{R} \underline{D} \underline{E} \underline{R}$

Based on the evidence of record and on the findings and conclusions set forth in the preceding opinion,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That Minimum Rate Tariff No. 4-A (Appendix "A" of Decision No. 44919, as amended) is further amended by incorporating therein, to become effective January 1, 1959, the revised pages attached hereto and listed in Appendix "B", also attached hereto, which pages and appendix are by this reference made a part hereof.

2. That in all other respects said Decision No. 44919, as amended, shall remain in full force and effect.

3. That, except as otherwise provided for by paragraphs 1 and 2 of this order, Petition for Modification No. 6 filed by the California Moving and Storage Association, Inc., is denied and that proceedings arising from the Commission's Order Setting Hearing, dated March 11, 1958, are discontinued.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at Los Angelos , California, this 7.2 Locamber, 1958. day of resident

missione

## APPENDIX "A" Page 1 of 2

#### Appearances

## Petitioner

Gordon, Knapp, Gill & Hibbert, by Wyman C. Knapp, and Charles A. Woelpel, for California Moving & Storage Association.

## Respondents

William W. Edmond, for Acme Transfer & Storage. Theresa R. Lorimer, for Al's Transfer & Storage. George C. Taylor and Sophia Z. Taylor, for Arbor Vitae Transfer & Storage. Jackson W. Kendall, for Bekins Van & Storage Co., Bekins Van Lines, Inc. and Bekins Van & Storage Inc. Arvid C. Johnson, for Bellaire Van & Storage Co. Lloyd J. Jensen and Ralph T. Rolapp, for Beverly Hills Transfer & Storage. Robert S. Bryan, for Bryan's Moving & Storage. Ernest E. Busk, for Busvan Moving & Storage Co. J. Lowell McAdam, for Calmay Van Lines, Inc. and Orth Van & Storage. Nam & Storage.
Robert Maisano, for Checker Transfer.
T. R. Travers, for Checker Van & Storage.
A. L. Chipman, in propria persona.
Robert S. Reis, City Transfer & Storage Co.
Paul David Jensen, for Dave's 24-Hour Moving Service.
Eric H. Pierson, for Dean Van Lines.
W. DeWift for DeWift Transfer & Storage W. DeWitt, for DeWitt Transfer & Storage. Clyde O. Dyer, Jr., in propria persona. H. B. Woodworth, for Fidelity Van & Storage Co. Arthur N. Garidelle, for Garidelle's Van & Storage. Elmer B. Smith, for Harbor Transfer & Storage. Bert Hussey, for Hussey's. Jacob Levin and Jack Levin, for Jax Moving & Hauling. W. Ray James, for James Transfer & Storage Co., James Van Lines, and San Jose Moving & Storage Co. Cronwell Warner, for Kleimer Van Lines. David Macauley, for Lawrence Moving & Storage. Clarence C. Lockett, for Lockett Van & Storage Co. Harold J. Blain, for Lyon Van Lines, Inc., and Lyon Van & Storage Co. Norman N. Blair, for Mac's Transfer & Storage. Roger H. Druchl, for Market St. Van & Storage, Inc. William A. Whiteley, for Martin Movers & Storage. John B. Price, for Martin Van Lines. Arvid E. Johnson, for Martin Van Lines, Inc. James A. Nevil and Martin H. Fisher, Jr., for Nevil Storage. Charles R. Hartwig, for North American Van Lines, Inc. O. F. Olsen, for Olsen Moving & Storage. Jack W. Barker, for Palo Alto Transfer & Storage Co. Phil Shambaugh, for Phil's Transfer and Storage. Robert C. Riegg, for R & R Van & Storage Co. Mrs. Josie Shaw, for Republic Van & Storage Co.

## APPENDIX "A" Page 2 of 2

## Respondents (Contd.)

Jan T. Fischer, for Republic Van & Storage Co., Inc. Ellwood L. Johnson, for Rudd's Transfer & Storage. Stanley A. Roberts, for San Diego Van & Storage Co. W. D. Medeiros, for San Francisco Storage Co. E. Earl Booker, for San Jose Moving & Storage Co. Ochs J. Hardin, for San Mateo Moving & Storage Co. J. Hardin, for San Mateo Moving & Storage Co.
Arthur C. Schick, Jr., for Schick Moving & Storage Co.
Floyd M. Shook, Jr., for Shook's Van & Storage.
James T. Murphy, for Smythe Storage, Inc.
John J. Canova, for Solano Moving and Storage.
Paul F. Furedi, for Southwest Van & Storage Co.
Jack W. Swofford, for Swofford Van & Storage.
John E. Sullivan, for Triangle Transfer & Storage Co. and Sullivan Storage & Transfer Co.
Herman C. Kuhnert and John Carlson for TriaCity Van & Herman C. Kuhnert and John Carlson, for Tri-City Van &

Storage Corp. A. A. Colwell, for U. C. Express & Storage Co.

## Interested Parties

Maurice A. Owens, for Bay Area Household Goods Movers' Association.

J. C. Kaspar, A. D. Poe and James Quintrall, for California Trucking Associations, Inc.

Earl S. Williams, Dept. of Finance, State of California.

### Commission's Staff

James S. Eddy, Grant Malquist, R. A. Lubich and Leonard Diamond.

APPENDIX "B" TO DECISION NO. 57695

Supplement and Revised Pages to Minimum Rate Tariff No. 4-A Authorized by Said Decision

> Supplement No. 3 Fourth Revised Page 2 Third Revised Page 5 Fourth Revised Page 6 Fifth Revised Page 7 Third Revised Page 8 Second Revised Page 9 Second Revised Page 10 Second Revised Page 15 Second Revised Page 16 Second Revised Page 17 Second Revised Page 18 Second Revised Page 21 Second Revised Page 21-A Second Revised Page 22 Second Revised Page 23-C Sixth Revised Page 25 Second Revised Page 26 Fourth Revised Page 27 Fourth Revised Page 28 Fifth Revised Page 29 Third Revised Page 31 First Revised Page 31-A

END OF APPENDIX "B"

## SUPPLEMENT NO.3

(Cancels Supplement No. 2)

(Supplement No. 3 contains all changes)

TO

#### MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 4-A

NAMING

# MINIMUM RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS

### FOR THE

TRANSPORTATION OF USED PROPERTY, VIZ.: HOUSEHOLD GOODS, PERSONAL EFFECTS AND OFFICE, STORE AND INSTITUTION FURNITURE, FIXTURES AND EQUIPMENT OVER THE PUBLIC HIGHWAYS WITHIN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BY

CITY CARRIERS RADIAL HIGHWAY COMMON CARRIERS HIGHWAY CONTRACT CARRIERS

AND

HOUSEHOLD GOODS CARRIERS

The increased rates and charges shown in Supplement Nc. 2 canceled by Decision No. 57695

EFFECTIVE JANUARY\_1, 1959

Issued by the PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA State Building, Civic Center San Francisco, California Fourth Revised Page ... 2

Cancels Third Revised Page

.

.\*

**,**'

ARRANGEMENT OF TARIFF	
This is a loose-leaf tariff arranged as follows:	
Section No. 1 - Rules and Regulations	
Section No. 2 - Torritorial Descriptions	
Section No. 3 - Rates	
Section No. 4 - Routes	
Section No. 5 - Shipping Document Forms	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	Itom Number Except
	as Shown
prrection Number Checking Sheet	Page 1
orm of Confirmation of Shipping Instructions and Rate Quotation-	560
orm of Shipping Order and Freight Bill	550
ates and Accessorial Charges	100 to 150
	500
les and Regulations: Accessorial Charges Not To Bc Offset by Transportation	
Charges	115
Alternative Application of Common Carrier Rates	90
Alternative Application of Rates Named in This Tariff	110
Application of Tariff - Carriers	20
Application of Tariff - Commodities	
Application of Tariff - Rates	130
Application of Tariff - Territorial	
Assessing or Quoting Additional Charges	190
Charges Collected by One Carrier for Another	70
Collection of Charges	
Collect on Delivery Shipments	320
Computation of Distances	
Computation of Time Under Hourly Rates	170
Confirmation of Shipping Instructions and Rate Quotation	260-261
Definition of Technical Torms	
Disposition of Fractions	
Dual Operations	
Gross Weight	
Inability to Make Delivery	
Mixed Shipments	
Observance of Quotod Rates and Charges	
Payment of Commissions	80
Pickup and/or Delivery at Other Than Ground Floor	10
Rates Based on Varying Minimum Weights	220
Relationships with the Public	
Shipments to be Rated Separately	250
Shipments Transported by Two or More Carriers	210
Shipping Order and Freight Bill	
Split Delivery	
Storage in Transit	
Units of Measurement To Be Observed.	
Valuation	
Maiting or Delay	
Weighmaster's Certificate	- 270
Serritorial Descriptions	340 to 387
# Addition, Decision No. 57595	
EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1	1050

e. G

Third Revised Page ..... 5 Cancels Second Revised Page ..... 5

•

į

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 4-A

٩,

	Item No.
<ul> <li>DEFINITION OF TECHNICAL TERMS (Concluded)</li> <li>(1) FOINT OF ORIGIN means the processe location at which property is physically delivered by the consigner or his agent into the custody of the carrier for transportation, except that (1) all locations within a radius of 50 feet from a single piece of property of a single consign will be considered as one point of origin.</li> <li>(m) RATE includes charge and, also, the ratings, minimum weight, rules and regulations governing, and the accessorial charges applying in connection therewith.</li> <li>(n) SAME TRANSFORMATION means transportation of the same kind and cuantity of property between the came points, and subject to the same limitations, conditions and privileges, although not necessarily in an identical type of equipment.</li> <li>(c) SHIMENT means a quantity of property tendered for transportation to one carrier at one point of origin for one consignee at one point of origin, or more than one shipper at one or more points of origin, or more than one shipper at one point of origin for one consignee at more than one point of destination, or for more than one point of storage in threads of destination (split pickup); or</li> <li>(2) Che shipper at one point of origin for one consignee at more than one consignee at one or more points of origin for more points of given store go in transit.</li> <li>(p) STATE ROUTE means the numbored highway route indicated by signs displaying a bear insignia.</li> <li>(q) STORATE NOUTE means one of the territories described in Section No. 2.</li> <li>(s) UNCRATED FROFFERTY means property not packed in accordance with the crasted property requirements set forth in Paragraph (d).</li> <li>(t) UNTRATED FROFFERTY means property not packed in accordance with the crasted property requirements set forth in Paragraph (d).</li> <li>(t) UNTRATED FROFFERTY means one or more notor vehicles physically connected se at one cordiscor or porties described in Section No. 2.</li> <li>(s) UNCRATED FROFFERTY means property not packed in</li></ul>	or .

#### APPLICATION OF TARIFF - CARRIERS

Rates provided in this tariff are minimum rates, established pursuant to the City Carriers' Act, the Highway Carriers' Act, and the Household Goods Carriers Act. They apply for the transportation of commodities described in Item No. 40 series, by carriers as defined in Item No. 10 series.

Rates, rules and regulations named in this tariff shall not apply to transportation by independent-contractor subhaulors when such transportation is performed for other carriers. This exception shall not be construed to exompt from the tariff provisions carriers for whom the independent contractors are performing transportation service.

Decision No.

20-B Cancols 20-A

% Change
# Addition
& Reduction

)

ţ,

EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1959

57695

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, Sen Francisco, California.

-5-

Correction No. 68

Fourth Revised Page .... 6 Cancels Third Revised Page .... 6

•

.

4

.

# MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 4-A

SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS (Continued)	Item No.
APPLICATION OF TARIFF - TERRITORIAL	
Rates in this tariff apply to transportation of shipments between all points within the State of California.	30
APPLICATION OF TARIFF - COMMODITIES	
<ul> <li>(a) Except as otherwise provided by paragraph (b), rates in this tariff apply to the transportation of the following uncrated property: <ul> <li>(1) Used household goods, viz: household or personal effects such as furniture, furnishings, clothing, radios, musical instruments, stoves and refrigerators.</li> <li>(2) Used office and store fixtures and equipment, viz: furniture, furnishings, and equipment such as is used in an office, store, hospital, library, museum, place of learning, or other institution.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	40-0 Cancel 40-E
(b) Rates in this tariff will not apply to the following:	
<ul> <li>(1) Property transported from, to, or between the place, or places, of business of a dealer in or auctioneer of the property described in paragraph (a) hereof, in connection with such business.</li> <li>(2) Property transported for the United States, state, county or municipal governments.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>(3) Crated property consisting of commodities described in paragraph (a), except when transported in mixed shipments with uncrated property under the provisions of Item No. 120.</li> <li>(4) Baggage, except when transported in mixed shipments with uncrated property under the provisions of Item No. 120.</li> </ul>	
(5) Property shipped to or from producers of motion pictures or television shows when trans- ported subject to the rates, rules, and regula- tions provided by Decision No. 33226, in Cases Nos. 4246 and 4434, as amended.	
	1
DUAL OPERATIONS	
"Where highway common carriers, as defined in the Public Utilities Act, are affiliated with radial highway common carriers, as defined in the Highway Carriers' Act or household goods carriers, as defined in the Household Goods Carriers Act, or where highway common carriers engage radial highway common carriers or household goods carriers, as agents or representatives, the following requirements shall be observed: (a) The operations of each carrier shall be conducted as those of a separate entity.	*50-B Cancel 50-A

•

(c) Explanation shall be made to all inquirers,
 when two or more such carriers provide services between the same points, what services are available and any differences in the character of the service and in the rates therefor.
 (d) All documents shall be issued and all records

(a) ALL documents shall be issued and all records kept on a strictly individual carrier basis.

(e) In respect of the requirements specified in paragraphs (a) to (d), inclusive, and in all other respects, the affairs of each carrier shall be so conducted that there will be no doubt as to which carrier is involved in one-carrier transactions and the nature and extent of individual carrier participation in joint undertakings.

\*Change ,

# Decision No. 57695

EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1959

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California. San Francisco, California.

-6-

	4-A Item
SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS (Continued)	No.
CHARGES COLLECTED BY ONE CARRIER FOR ANOTHER	
When charges due a carrier are undertaken to be col- lected for it by another carrier a detailed statement of the charges involved shall be furnished the collecting carrier by the carrier for which the collection is to be made. Such statement shall be presented to the shipper with the freight bill submitted for payment.	70
PAYMENT OF COMMISSIONS	
Except where prohibited by law, commissions not to exceed five percent of the transportation charges may be paid by carriers to persons or corporations that do not operate as for-hire carriers of used household goods and other articles, as described in and for which rates are provided in this tariff. (See Exception)	80-A Cancel: 80
Exception: Carriers shall not pay any commission to a shipper, consignee, or the employer thereof, nor to the payer of the transportation charges.	
ALTERNATIVE APPLICATION OF COMMON CARRIER RATES	
Common carrier rates may be applied in lieu of the rates provided in this tariff, when such common carrier rates produce a lower aggregate charge for the same trans- portation and for the same accessorial services, than re- sults from the application of the rates herein provided. (See Note)	90-A Cancel: 90
NOTEIn applying the provisions of this item, a rate no lower than the common carrier rate and a weight no lower than the actual weight or published minimum weight (whichever is the higher) applicable in connect- ion with the common carrier rate shall be used.	
rate no lower than the common carrier rate and a weight no lower than the actual weight or published minimum weight (whichever is the higher) applicable in connect-	
rate no lower than the common carrier rate and a weight no lower than the actual weight or published minimum weight (whichever is the higher) applicable in connect- ion with the common carrier rate shall be used.	*100-C
rate no lower than the common carrier rate and a weight no lower than the actual weight or published minimum weight (whichever is the higher) applicable in connect- ion with the common carrier rate shall be used. COMPUTATION OF DISTANCES Except as provided in Note 1, distances to be used in connection with distance rates provided herein shall be the shortest resulting mileage via any public highway route, computed in accordance with the method provided in the Distance Table. Note 1 Distances between points within any of the areas designated in paragraphs (a), (b) and (c), below shall be the shortest resulting actual mileage via any public highway route over which the shipment lawfully may be transported. (a) A single incorporated city, other than Los Angeles.	
rate no lower than the common carrier rate and a weight no lower than the actual weight or published minimum weight (whichever is the higher) applicable in connect- ion with the common carrier rate shall be used. COMPUTATION OF DISTANCES Except as provided in Note 1, distances to be used in connection with distance rates provided herein shall be the shortest resulting mileage via any public highway route, computed in accordance with the method provided in the Distance Table. Note 1 Distances between points within any of the areas designated in paragraphs (a), (b) and (c), below shall be the shortest resulting actual mileage via any public highway route over which the shipment lawfully may be transported. (a) A single incorporated city, other than	*100-C Cancel

ŝ

1

•

•

...'

ALTERNATIVE APPLICATION OF RATES NAMED IN THIS TAKIFF (a) In the event two or more rates are named in this tariff for the same transportation, the lower rate shall be the minimum rate. (b) In the event a combination of point-to-point 110-A rates provided in Item No. 4 30 . and distance rates provided in Item No. 4 20 makes a lower aggregate through rate or charge for the same transportation than a single through distance rate, such lower combination of Cancels 110 rates shall be the minimum rate. ACCESSORIAL CHARGES NOT TO BE OFFSET BY TRANSPORTATION CHARGES Accessorial charges set forth in this tariff for accessorial services not included in the rate for actual transportation shall be assessed and collected when 115 such services are performed, regardless of the level of the transportation rate assessed. Such accessorial charges may not be waived on the basis that a higherthan-minimum transportation rate serves as an offset. \* Change, Decision No. 57695

EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1959

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Correction No. 70

,

.

-7-

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 4-A

130-A

## Third Nevised Page ... 8 Cancels Second Revised Page .... 8

÷

SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS (Continued) Item No.

#### MIXED SHIPMENTS

(a) When one or more commodities for which rates are not provided in this tariff are included in the same shipment with commodities for which rates are herein provided, the rate or rates applicable to the entire shipment may be determined as though all of the commodities were ratable under the provisions of this tariff at the combined weight of the mixed shipment; or the commodities for which rates are provided in this tariff may be transported at the applicable rates provided herein, and the commodities for which rates are not provided herein, at the rates provided in other Commission tariffs or which might be otherwise applicable, provided separate weights or other authorized units of measurement are furnished or obtained. In the event that the latter basis is used, the mininum charges provided in this tariff shall apply to the entire shipment.

(b) When any uncrated portion of a shipment of commodities for which rates are herein provided requires protection against damage after receipt thereof by the carrier and such protection is afforded by the carrier by packing such uncrated portion of the shipment in containers, such portion so packed shall be rated as uncrated property.

## APPLICATION OF RATES

\*(a) Rates provided in Items Nos. 400, 410, 420 and 430 are for the transportation of shipments from point of origin to point of destination, from point of origin to point of storage-in-transit, or from point of storage-in-transit to point of destination, and include pickup and delivery, subject to Item No. 140.

\*(b) For transportation of shipments for distances of 30 miles or less, or within the same metropolitan area, rates shall apply in cents per hour (See Note 1), in cents per piece, or in cents per 100 pounds (Items Nos. 400, 410 and 420), subject to Items Nos. 260, 261 and 270.

(c) For transportation in excess of 30 miles, not wholly within the same metropolitan area, rates in Items Nos. 420 and \*130-B. 430 shall apply, subject to Item No. 110. Cancels

(d) Rates in Item No. 440 shall apply for the accessorial services of packing and unpacking in the territory in which the service is performed.

(e) Item No. 450 provides rates for transportation of empty shipping containers and a basis of charges for the furnishing of shipping containers and packing materials by the carrier.

> Note 1. The highest rated territory in or through which any service is performed shall determine the applicable hourly rate.

PICKUP AND/OR DELIVERY AT OTHER TEAN CROUND FLOOR When shipments are picked up or delivered, or both, at other than ground floor, the following additional charges per pickup or delivery per flight, shall be assessed: 1. At hourly rates (Item No. 400 ) - No additional charge. 2. At piece rates (Item No. 410 ) - 055 cents per piece. 3. At distance or point-to-point rates (Items Nos. 420 and 430 ) - 015 cents per 100 pounds. \*\*Change ) 0 Increase ) Decision No. 57695

. بر ÷

EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1959

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 71

-8-

Second Revised e .... 9 Cancels First Revised Page ..... 9

•

٠

**,•**•

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 4-A

SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS (Continued)	Item No.
<ul> <li>VALUATION</li> <li>*(a) Carriers shall secure and shippers are required to state specifically in writing the agreed or declared value of the property to be transported. The agreed or declared value shall be deemed to relate to all services undertaken by the carrier or its agents and to each article separately and not to a shipment as a whole. Except on shipments transported under hourly rates, shippers may declare on specific articles when the separate weights thereof are furnished or obtained, a valuation in excess of the value declared on the shipment as a whole, and each such article must be described and its excess declared value set forth.</li> <li>(b) Declaration of value shall be set forth in the following form: "The agreed or declared value of the property to be transported is hereby specifically stated by the shipper to be not in excess of per pound, per article."</li> <li>(c) Property of agreed or declared value in excess of othirty cents per pound shall be subject to rates computed on the bases provided in Note 1.</li> <li>*NOTE 1When declared value exceeds othirty cents per pound but does not exceed fifty cents per pound, add 50% to rates provided in this tariff.</li> </ul>	No. *150-A Cancels 150
When declared value exceeds fifty cents per pound, add 100% to rates provided in this tariff.	
DISPOSITION OF FRACTIONS In computing a rate based on a percentage of another rate, the following rule shall be observed in the disposition of fractions: Fractions of less than ½ or .50 of a cent, omit. Fractions of ½ or .50 of a cent or greater, increase to next : whole figure.	160
DIVERTED SHIFLENTS Charges upon a shipment transported under rates provided in Items Nos. 420 or 430 which has been diverted shall be computed at the applicable rate in effect on date of shipment from point of origin via each point where diversion occurs to final destination, plus an additional charge of \$5.00 for each diversion.	*165-4 Cancels 165
* Change ) Decision No. 57695 * Reduction ) Decision No. 57695	
EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 195	9
Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Calif San Francisco, Calif Correction No. 72	

-9-
Socond Rovisod Page .... 10 Cancols First Rovised Page .... 10

÷

#### MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 4-A

. <del>ta</del>

SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS (Continued)	Item No.
COMPUTATION OF TIME UNDER HOURLY RATES NAMED IN ITEMS NOS. 400 AND 440 (a) In computing hourly rates the time shall be the total of the ording, unloading, and double the driving time between the point of right and the point of decimation. (See paragraphs (b), (c), (d), nd (c) hereof, and Items Nos. 290 and 300 for exceptions to this ulo.) (b) When two or more shipments are transported on a unit of equip- ent, time to be used shall be the total of the loading and unloading ime, plus 15 minutes driving time, for each shipment. (c) When shipper requests the service of an additional helper or clepers to assist in loading or unloading, but not both, the charge herefor shall be determined by applying the rate por man, por heur, revided in Item No. 400 for additional helpers, to the time such clepers or helpers are engaged in performing these services. #(c-1) In computing the accessorial rates the time shall be the sotal time actually spont packing or unpacking or both. (See para- reph (e) hereof.) (d) When two or more units of equipment are furnished for trans- perted on f a single shipment and the driver and/or helper or helpers of any one unit assist in loading or unloading another unit, the time shall not accrue for the unit or units of equipment not being caded or unloaded. *(e) After the total time has been determined under the provisions of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), (c-1) or (d) hereof, it shall be con- rerted into hours and/or fractions thereof. Fractions of an hour shall be determined in accordance with the following table: $\frac{Minutes}{0} \qquad \frac{7}{22} \qquad \frac{1/4}{22} \qquad \frac{3}{7} \qquad \frac{1/2}{24}$	*170-A Cancels 170
, 52 60 1 UNITS OF MEASUREMENT TO BE OBSERVED Rates or charges shall not be quoted or assessed by carriers based upon a unit of measurement different from that in which the minimum rates and charges of this tariff are stated.	130
REFERENCES TO ITEMS AND OTHER TARIFFS Unless otherwise provided, references herein to item numbers in this or other tariffs include references to such numbers with letter suffix, and references to other tariffs include references to amond- ments and successive issues of such other tariffs.	185
* Change ) Decision No. 57695 # Addition )	<u></u>
EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 3	959
Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Califo	
Correction No. 88 San Francisco, Califo	JIN18.

-10-

Sccona Revised Page .... 15 Cancels First Revised Page ..... 15 MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 4-A

..

•

3

	Contraction of the local division of the loc
	Item No.
OBSERVANCE OF QUOTED RATES AND CHARGES	
Rates and charges no higher than those specified in the confirmation of shipping instructions and rate quotation docu- ments issued pursuant to the provisions of Items Nos. 260 and 261 shall be assessed on each shipment transported over the line of a single carrier, or over the lines of two or more carriers under a joint or agency arrangement, except as provided in paragraphs (a) or (b) below:	
(a) When charges determined on the quoted basis are lower than those resulting under the minimum rates pro- vided in this tariff the latter shall be used. *(b) If, prior to the rendition of any transportation, the carrier fails to issue a confirmation of shipping instructions and rate quotation document, or if such document is issued but does not contain the information specified in subparagraphs 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 below, rates and charges no higher than the minimum rates and charges named in this tariff shall be assessed. (See Note). When application of this tariff permits charges to be computed upon alternative units of measurement the minimum rate and charge shall be the lowest rate and charge developed on the basis of the available infor- mation with respect to all of the authorized alterna- tive units of measurement.	*270-B Can- cols 270-A
1. A description of the transportation and accessorial services ordered to be undertaken. 2. Rates (including minimum weights, minimum hours, or minimum charges, when they are to be applied) quoted for the services so described. #3. Agreed or declared value of the property per pound per article. **4. Signature of shipper. **5. Signature of carrier. #NOTE: The shipment will be deemed to have a released valuation of 30 cents per pound per article.	
INABILITY TO MAKE DELIVERY (a) In all instances where carrier is unable to locate the consignee, notification of inability to make delivery will be mailed or telegraphed to the consignee, consignor or owner, or written notice delivered to the premises where actual delivery was to be effected or to other notifying address, and the shipment will be placed in the nearest ware- house of the carrier, or at the option of the carrier, in a public warehouse; and upon such placement the carrier's liability shall cease and liability shall thereafter be that of the warehouseman in possession. (b) In all instances where the consignee is unable to take delivery or declines to accept delivery of the ship- ment, or where the shipment remains in carrier's possession, pursuant to instructions of the shipper or the consignee, and is not stored in transit under the provisions of Item 310, the shipment will be placed in the nearest warehouse	00 280-A Can- cels 280

of the carrier, or at the option of the carrier, in a public warehouse; and upon such placement the carrier's liability shall cease and liability shall thereafter be that of the warehouseman in possession. (c) In cases where a "subsequent delivery" is made, charges will be assessed for such "subsequent delivery" on the basis of charges lawfully applicable from carrier's the point of from public warehouse (as the case may be) to the point of destination. \* Change o Increase o Reduction # Addition Decision No. 57695 EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1959 Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, Correction No. 73

,·'

-15-

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 4-A

jî

First Revised Page ... 16 Item SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS (Continued) No. WAITING OR DELAY When vehicle is held for convenience of the shipper or consignee through no fault of the carrier in connection with shipments moving or to be moved under rates contained in Items Nos 420 or 430, a charge at the hourly rates provided in Item No. 400 will be assessed for each hour or fraction there-#0285 of over one hour. SPLIT PICKUP • Split pickup service may be accorded subject to the following conditions: (1) The charge for the composite shipment shall be paid by one consignor, consignee, or other interested party.
(2) Split delivery service shall not be accorded.
(3) In the event a lower aggregate charge results from treating one or more component parts as a separate shipment said charge may be applied. (4) Charges shall be computed as follows: (a) Under hourly rates (Item No. 400). Apply applicable rate for the total time consumed in loading at the point of origin of each component part, and unloading at point of destination, plus double the driving time between each such point. (Total time driving time between each such point. (Total time shall be converted into hours and/or fractions thereof in accordance with the provisions of Item No. 170 series.) (b) Under distance rates (Item No. 420). Apply the applicable rate to the total weight of the composite shipment for the distance from point of origin of any component part to point of destination via the points of origin of all other component parts, \*290-B Cancels 290-A plus an additional charge of \$\$10.00 for each stop to load between first point of origin and point of destination. (c) Under point-to-point rates (Item No. 430). Apply the applicable rate to the total weight of the composite shipment when the point of origin of each component part, and point of destination, are located as follows: 1. Within the named metropolitan areas and/or communities between which the point-topoint rates apply; or 2. Within unnamed communities directly intermediate between the named metropolitan areas and/or communities on a single authorized route named in Item No. 500 or within five actual highway miles from such route; or 3. Within an incorporated city through which such route passes. To the charges so computed shall be added a charge of 0 (10.00 for each stop to load between the first point of origin and point of destination.

Second Revised Page ... 16

Cancels

\* Change ) o Increase ) # Addition ) 57695 Decision No. EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1959 Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, Correction No. 74 San Francisco, California.

.

3

-16-

Second Revised Page..17 Cancels First Revised Page..17

•

.'

•

.'

1:

	Item No.
SPLIT DELIVERY Split delivery service may be accorded subject to the following conditions: (1) The charge for the composite shipment shall be paid by one consigner, consignee, or other interested party. (2) Split pickup service shall not be accorded. (3) In the event a lower aggregate charge results from treating one or more component parts as a separate shipment sold charge may be applied. (4) Charges shall be computed as follows: (a) Under hourly rates (Item No. 400). Apply applicable rate for the total time consumed in loading at point of origin and unloading at point of destination of each component part, plus double the driving time between each such point. (Total time shall be converted into hours and/or fractions thereof m accordance with the provisions of Item No. 170.) (b) Under distance rates (Item No. 170.) (c) Under distance rates (Item No. 170.) (c) Under distance rates (Item No. 170.) (c) Under distance rates (Item No. 1400. Apply the applicable rate to the total weight of the composite shipment for the distance from point of origin to point of destination of any component part via the points of destination of all other (c) Under point-to-point rates (Item No. 430). Apply the applicable rate to the total weight of the composite shipment when the point of origin and final point of destination: (c) Under point-to-point rates (Item No. 430). Apply the applicable rate to the total weight of the composite shipment when the point of origin end point of destination of each component part are located as follows: 1. Within the named metropolitan areas and/or communities between which the point-to- point rates apply; or 2. Within an incorporated city through which such route passes. To the charges so computed shall be added a charge of \$10.00 for each stop to unload between the point of origin and final point of destination.	300-1
STORAGE IN TRANSIT (See Note 1) Shipments may be stored once in transit for a period not to exceed 6 60 days from the date of unloading at stor- age point. (See Note 2.)	
Charges shall be computed on the following basis: (a) The applicable transportation rate from initial point of origin to point of storage,	*310-B Cancels 310-A

*(c) Warehouse handling and storage charge of 50 cents per 100 pounds for each 30-day period or fraction thereof, subject to a minimum charge of \$2.50 for each thirty-day period.	
#Note 1. On shipments subject to hourly rates both into and out of point of storage in transit the weight of the shipment for purposes of determining the storage-in-transit charge may be estimated by multiplying the total cubic feet of storage space occupied by the shipment on the warehouse platform or in the warehouse by 7 pounds per cubic foot.	
**Note 2. In the event a shipment remains in storage in excess of & 60 days, the point of storage in transit shall be considered the point of destination and thereafter shall be subject to the rules, regula- tions and charges of the individual warehouseman. Charges for subsequent delivery shall be assessed on the basis of the charges applicable from point of storage to point of delivery.	
*Change #Addition oIncrease OReduction **Formerly Note 1)	_
EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1959	
Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Californi San Francisco, California	a
Correction No. 75	

.

.

-17-

Second Revised Page .... 18 Cancels First Revised Page .... 18

•

.'

t

. •

SECT	ION NO. 1 - RULES	5 AND REGULATIONS (Continued)	Item No.
<ul> <li>(a) No can</li> <li>it has on file</li> <li>form as the Cor</li> <li>Thousand Dollar</li> <li>(b) The bo</li> <li>the carrier as</li> <li>to do business</li> <li>State of Calife</li> <li>be due on any (</li> <li>mitted to the paster delivery</li> <li>with any munici</li> <li>in a sum not le</li> <li>municipality ar</li> <li>on any C.O.D. s</li> <li>the person or p</li> <li>of any such shi</li> <li>of said bond wi</li> <li>Each bond filed</li> <li>which the carrie</li> <li>than one operativi</li> <li>issued to show</li> <li>covered thereby</li> <li>thirty days; no</li> <li>(c) In the</li> <li>upon collection</li> <li>than ten days a</li> <li>otherwise in wr</li> <li>by it on such s</li> <li>(d) The ch</li> </ul>	COLLECT ON DELIV rrier shall handl with the Commiss mission may deen rs. ond required by p principal and by in the State of ornia, and/or per C.O.D. shipment to person or persons of any such ship ipality or board ess than Two Thou ad/or any person shipment transpor persons to whom i ipment, the filin ith this Commissi d pursuant to the ier's operations tive authority he d or bonds on fi re authority, sai whether or not t y. No C.O.D. bon btice to the Comm shipments. arges for collec	VERY (C.O.D.) SHIPMENTS the any C.O.D. shipment unless and until bion a good and sufficient bond in such a proper, in a sum of not less than Two paragraph (a) hereof, shall be filed by y some solvent surety company, authorized California, as surety, payable to the room or persons to whom any amount may pransported by said carrier and not ro- s to whom it is due within ten days pment; however, when the carrier has filed thereof, pursuant to ordinance, a bond usand Dollars payable to said board or or persons to whom any amount may be due ted by said carrier and not remitted to t is due within ten days after delivery by such carrier of a certified copy on shall be deemed compliance herewith. foregoing shall specify the extent to are covered thereby and may cover more by the same carrier. When a carrier le with the Commission obtains addi- d bond or bonds shall be revised or re- ble additional operative authority is ad shall be cancelled on less than dission. D. Shipments carrier shall, promptly C.O.D. moneys, and in no event later ocnsignee unless consignor instructs consigner all C.O.D. moneys collected	No. *320-B Cancels 320-4
	When the amount collected is	Charge for collecting and remitting will be	
	\$ 2.50	\$0_1:0	
Over 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1	2.50 not over 5.00 " " 10.00 " " 20.00 " " 25.00 " " 25.00 " " 10.00 " " 50.00 " " 50.00 " " 102.50 " "	$\begin{array}{c} 5.00 0.46 \\ 10.00 0.60 \\ 20.00 0.63 \\ 25.00 - 0.66 \\ 40.00 - 0.77 \\ 50.00 - 0.82 \\ 60.00 0.82 \\ 60.00 0.82 \\ 60.00 0.82 \\ 60.00 0.82 \\ 60.00 - 0.82 \\ 1.07 \\ 1.07 \\ 1.07 \\ 1.00 - 0 1.07 \\ 1.07 \\ 1.00 - 0 1.07 \\ 1.07 \\ 1.00 - 0 1.07 \\ 1.00 - 0 1.07 \\ 1.00 - 0 1.07 \\ 1.00 - 0 1.53 $	

۳ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱ ۱۱	1400.00 150.00 500.00 550.00 650.00 700.00 700.00 850.00 850.00 900.00 1 950.00 1 950.00	1 19 1 12 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 17 1 1 17 1 1 1 1	400.00 450.00 500.00 550.00 650.00 700.00 750.00 800.00 900.00 950.00 1,000.00 62 per \$1,000.00	2.94 3.27 3.58 3.88 4.17 4.49 4.80 5.11 5.39 5.71 6.01 6.33 6.62	
* Change Increas	e) Decis	ion N	. 57695		
		нь. П 1935 — 1	EFF	ECTIVE JAN	UARY 1, 1959
Issued Correction No		blic	Utilities Commissio;	n of the Sta San Franc	te of California, isco, California.

|

•

•

•

.•

•

,

۰.

**1**, \*

.\*

-18-

Second Revised Page ... 21 Cancels First Revised Page .... 21

٠

**.**•

•

	Item
SECTION NO. 2 - TERRITORIAL DESCRIPTIONS	No-
APPLICATION OF TERRITORIAL DESCRIPTIONS	-
1. Descriptions of territories and metropolitan areas in Section No. 2 apply in connection with rates, rules and regulations making reference thereto.	•
2. Such descriptions shall include both sides of avenues, boulevards, highways, roads, or streets named as boundary lines, except that in un- incorporated territory, all points not more than 500 feet beyond such hamed avenue, boulevard, highway, road, or street shall be included within the described territory or metropolitan area.	*340-A Cancel 340
*3. Where the written description of an arca, zone or territory con- flicts with the map description of that same area, zone or territory, the written description will govern.	
TERRITORIES	
TERRITORY "A" consists of the City and County of San Francisco, the Counties of Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, San Mateo and Santa Clara.	343
TERRITORY "B" consists of all territory not contained in Territory "A".	
by the following boundary:	*347- Cance 347

View Drive to Terrace Drive; easterly and northerly along Terrace Drive to Arlington Avenue; northerly along Arlington Avenue and Arlington Boulevard to Alta Vista Drive; westerly along Alta Vista Drive and Hazel Avenue to Yuba Street; northerly along Yuba Street to Glenn Avenue; westerly along Glenn Avenue to San Pablo Avenue (Highway U.S. 40); northerly along San Pablo Avenue (Highway U.S. 40) to Maracopa Avenue; westerly along Maracopa Avenue and Costa Avenue to 13th Street; northerly along 13th Street to Emeric Avenue; westerly along the prolongation of Emeric Avenue to the east bank of Castro Creek; northerly and westerly along the east bank of Castro Creek to the east shore line of San Pablo Bay; southerly along the east shore line of San Pablo Bay and the east shore line of San Francisco Bay to the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge; westerly along the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, including Yerba Buena Island and Treasure Island, to the west shore line of San Francisco Bay; northerly and westerly along the west shore line of San Francisco Bay to the shore line of San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge, including Yerba Buena Island and Treasure Island, to the west shore line of San Francisco Bay; northerly and westerly along the west shore line of San Francisco Bay to the shore line of the Facific Ocean; westerly and southerly along the shore line of the Facific Ocean to point of beginning.

The foregoing description includes the following points:

Alameda (1) Alameda Pier Albany (1) Baden Bay Farm Island Bayshore Berkeley (1) Bernal Brisbane Camp Knight Colma (1)	Daly City (1)#(2) East Oakland El Corrito (1)#(2) Elkton Elmhurst Emoryville (1) Forry Point Fruitvale Government Island Lawndale Molrose	Oakland (1)#(2) Oakland Pier Ocean View Piedmont (1) Point Castro Point Fleming Point Isabel Point Molate Point Orient Point Orient Point Potroro Point Richmond	Point San Pablo Richmond (1)#(2) San Bruno (1)#(2) San Francisco (1) San Leandro (1)#(2) South San Francisco (1)#(2) Stege Tanforan Troasuro Island Union Park Visitacion Winohavon Yorba Buena Island
---	---	---	---

(1) Incorporated City.

#2) Portions within Metropolitan Area, other portions without.

57695

\* Change ) # Addition )

Docision No.

EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1959

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Correction No. 77

-21-

۲

•.,

•

•

Second Revised Page ... 21-A Cancels First Revised Page .... 21-A

SECTION	NO. 2 - TERRITORIA	L DESCRIPTIONS (Cont	inued)	Item No-
METROPOLITAN following boundary		onsists of that area	embraced by the	
Line with the Pacifi line of the Pacifi crly of the line of along said project Canyon Boulevard ( of the City of Los Highway; westerly, its intersection w east of San Fernar southerly boundary southerly boundary approximately 22 m Forest Highway (St intersection with westerly along the Sunset Avenue; sou Orange Grove Avenu Canyon Road; south with Alta Avenue; Mar Vista Street; Catalina Avenue; sou Los Angeles-Orange along said County	fic Ocean; westerl; c Ocean to its int of Topanga Canyon R zion, Topanga Canyon State Route 27) to State Route 27) to Angeles, approxim northerly, and ea with Pacoima Canyon ado; northeasterly of the Angeles Na r to the San Gabrie tiles north of Azus the San Bernardino Fr thwesterly along Sac; southeasterly along S ac; southeasterly a herly along Turnbul ; southerly along Cat levard; southeaster county Line; sout Line to the point	of the Los Angeles-O. y and northerly alon crsection with the pro- oad (State Route 27) n Road (State Route its intersection wi ately 3/8 mile south sterly along said ci Road, approximately along Pacoima Canyon tional Forest; easter l Forest Highway (St a; southerly along S Azusa Avenue (State Freeway (Highway U. ceway (Highway U. ceway (Highway U. ceway (Highway U. ceway (Highway U. ceway (State long Orange Grove Av l Canyon Road to its lta Avenue and its p Vista Street and Si alina Avenue to its ly along Whittier Bo herly, westerly and of beginning.	g the shore rojection south- ; northerly 27), and Topanga th the boundary of Hulholland ty boundary to 1% miles north- Road to the rly along said ate Route 39), an Gabriel Route 39) to its S. 60, 70, 99); 60, 70, 99) to venth Avenue to enue to Turnbull intersection rolongation to xth Street to intersection ulevard to the southwesterly	* 350-B Can- cels 350-A
Alhambra (1)	Calabasas	Fort MacArthur	Long Beach (1)	
Alamitos Bay Alamitos Heights	Canoga Park Castellamare	Gardena City (l) Garvey	Los Angeles (1) Los Angeles Harbor	
Altadena	Channel Heights	Glendale (1)	Los Cerritos	[
Arcadia (1)	Chatsworth	Granada	Los Nietos	
Artesia	Chevy Chase	Granada Hills	Lynwood (1)	ł
Azusa (1) #(2)	City Terrace	Harbor City	Manhattan Beach(1)	
Baldwin Hills	Clearwater	Hawaiian Gardens	Mar Vista	
Village	Compton (1)	Hawthorne (1)	haywood (1)	] ]
Baldwin Park #(1)	=	Hermosa Beach (1)	Monrovia (1)	)
Bandini Banning Homes	Culver City (1) #Dairy Valley(1)		liontebello (l) Monterey Park(l)	} 1
Bassett	Dexter Park (3)	Hollydale Hollywood	ilontrose	1
Bel Air	Dominguez	Hollywood Riviera	North Hollywood	[
Bel-Air Estates	Dominguez Jct.	Huntington Fark(1)	North Long Beach	
Bell (1)	Downey "(1)	Hyde Park	Northridge	{ }
Bella Vista	Duarte #(1)	Hynes	Norwalk #(1)	
Bellflower #(1)	Eagle Rock	<pre>%Industry (1) (2)</pre>	Ocean Park	1 1
Bell Gardens Belmont Shore	East Long Beach East Los Angeles	Inglewood (1) Imvindele	Olivo View Pacific Palisades	
Belvedere	East Los Angeles	Irwindale		1
	East Resodance	La Canada	Pacoima	( )
	East Pasadena East San Pedro	La Canada La Crescenta	Pacoima Palms	
Bolvedere Gardens Boverly Hills (1)	East San Fedro	La Canada La Croscenta Lakesido Park	Pacoima Palms Palos Verdes	
Bolvedere Gardens Beverly Hills (1) Bixby	East San Fedro El Monte (l) El Nido	La Croscenta Lakesido Park Lakewood #(1)	Palms Palos Verdes Estates (1)	
Bolvedere Gardens Beverly Hills (1) Bixby #Bradbury (1)	East San Fedro El Monte (l) El Nido El Segundo (l)	La Croscenta Lakesido Park Lakewood #(1) Lamanda Park	Palms Palos Verdes Estates (1) Paramount #(1)	
Bolvedere Gardens Beverly Hills (1) Bixby	East San Fedro El Monte (l) El Nido El Segundo (l) El Sereno	La Croscenta Lakesido Park Lakewood #(1)	Palms Palos Verdes Estates (1)	

Brentwood Park Burbank (1) Encino Park Lawndale Playa del Rey Point Fermin Five Points Leffingwell Cabrillo Beach Flintridge Leimert Park Foint Vicente Cahuenga Park Florence Lennox Redondo Beach (1) Lomita Rescda (1) Incorporated city. #(2) Portions within Metropolitan Area, other portions without. \*\*\*(3) Subject to Item No. 340, paragraph 2. (Continued) \* Change # Addition \*\* Certain points climinated ) 57695 Decision No. \*\*\* Formerly (2) EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1959

`۲ ۱..

> Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

> > -21-A-

Second Rovised Page .... 22 Cancels First Revised Page .... 22

•

....

.

l

. .

SECI	ION NO. 2 - TERRITO	ORLAL DESCRIPTIONS	(Continued)	Item No.
Rolling Hills#(1) Rolling Hills Estates(1) Roscoe Rosemead San Fernando(1) San Gabriel(1) San Marino(1) San Pedro Santa Anita Oaks Santa Anita Rancho South Anita Rancho South Anita Woods Santa Fe Springs#( Santa Monica(1) Sawtelle Shorman Oaks (1) Incorpore #(2) Portions	Strawberry Park Studio City Sunland Sun Valley Sylmar (1) Tarzana Temple City Terminal Island Topanga(3)	Tepanga Beach(3) Topanga Oaks(3) Torrance(1) Tujunga Van Nuys 1) Venice el Verdugo City Verdugo Woodland Vernon(1) View Park Walnut Park Walnut Park Walteria Watson Watts West Arcadia Westchester	Covina(1)#(2) Westgate West Glendale West Hollywood West Los Angeles	*353-A Cancels 353
the following be *Beginning the City of Ce line of the Pa- line to Zuings southerly alor of the Pacific Ocean, the mor Ocean, to a pa- and The Atchi. Diego Line); tion known as through the s U.S. No. 80; and Alvarado El Cajon Bouleva erly and nort Street; easte along Johnson Mollison Lven westerly alon along Lvocado Route 94 to J. Jamacha Boule to Lakeview L to Quarry Roa Sweetwater Ro southerly and erly along Hi	at the intersectio pronado and the Cit acific Ocean; north a Point; westerly i ag the shore line o c Ocean; northerly with of Mission Bay, pint opposite the i son, Topeka, and Sa southeasterly along Sorrento; thence s tation known as Sel easterly along Can Freeway (Highway U.S. rd (Highway U.S. No herly on Murray Dri rly along Main Stre Avenue to Broadway ue; southerly along g Chase Avenue to A Boulevard to State amacha Boulevard; s vard to Grand Avenu venue; southerly ar d; westerly along Bor	ists of that area of n of the common bou y of Imperial Beach erly and westerly a n a direct line to of San Diego Bay to along the shore line ntersection of High inta Fe Railway (Los ; said railroad trac coutheasterly in a d myn to Camino Del R ino Del Rio (Highwa S. No. 80) to its i No. 80) to its i No. 80) to its i No. 80) to its i No. 80) to Murray Dri ve, and Cuyanaca St et to Johnson Lyenu /; easterly along Br g Mollison Lyenue to woord Boulevard; s Route 94; easterly poutherly and wester he; southerly along La otherly along Quarry ; Sweetwater Road to hita Road to Hillton	ndary line of and the shore long said shore Ballast Point; the shore line e of the Pacific way U.S. No.101 angeles-San k to that sta- lirect line the (Highway by U.S. No. 80) ntersection with very along El ve; northeest- creet, to Main the; northerly readway to b Chase Avenue; boutherly r along State cly along Grand Avenue akeview Avenue r Road to b Drive; south- long "L" Street	*357-1 Cancel: 357

Chosterton Chula Vista(1)#(3) City Hoights College Heights Coronado (1) El Cajon (1)#(3) Elvira Encanto Fort Rosecrans Golden Hill Crossmont (2) (1) Incorporat (2) Subject to	) La Jolla La Mosa (1)#(3) La Mosa Colony La Playa Lomon Grove Lincoln Acres Linda Vista Logan Heights Loma Portal Marilou Park ed City. Item No. 340, pa	Morona National City (1) Normal Heights North Island North Park North San Diego Oak Park Occan Beach Old San Diego Old Town	Rosoville San Diego (1) #(3) Solwyn#(3) Sorrento #(3) South Park Spring Valley Sunnyside (2) Talmadge Park The Muirlands University Heights
* Change # Addition ** Certain poi *** Formerly (2	). htseliminated ) ) )	Decision No. 57	695
** Certain poi *** Formerly (2	ntseliminated))))))		JANUARY 1, 1959

-22-

1

Ś

• •

•

•

Second Revised Page 23-C Cancels

First Revised Page . 23-C

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NC. 4-A

SECTION NO. 2 - TERRITORIAL DESCRIPTIONS (Continued)

\*Item No. 373- E(Concels 373 -A)

MAP OF METROPOLITAN LOS ANGELES AREA AS DESCRIBED IN ITEMS NOS. 350 AND 353

(For use in connection with point-to-point rates. Not for use in connection with distance rates. See Item No. 100)

\*Chango, Decision No. 57695

EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1959

٠

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Correction No. 30

Sixth Revised Page .... 25 Cancels Fifth Revised Page ... 25

á

•

••

•

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. L-A

SECTION NO. 3 - RATES		Item No.
RATES IN CENTS PER HOUR (1) (2) (APPLIES FOR DISTANCES OF 30 CONTRUCTIVE MILL OR LESS OR WITHIN METROPOLITAN AREAS)	ES	
Unit of Equipment:	TERRITORY (3) A B	•
(a) with driver	725 700	0400-F
(b) with driver and 1 helper	100 1050	Cancols 400-E
Additional helpers, per man	425 375	400- 1
Minimum charge - the charge for one hour		
(1) See Itom No. 130 for application of rates.		
(2) See Item No. 170 for computation of time.		
(3) See Item No. 343 for territorial descriptions.		
V Increase, Decision No. 57695		•
EFFECTIVE	JANUARY 1, 19	59
Issued by the Public Utilites Commission of		ifornia,

-25-

Second Revised Page ... 26 Cancels First Revised Page .... 26

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 4-A

ł

SECTION NO. 3 - RATES (Continued)	Item No.
DISTANCE RATES IN CENTS PER FIECE (1) (2) (APPLIES TO SHIPMENTS OF NOT MORE THAN 5 PIECES FOR DISTANCES OF 30 MILES OR LESS OF WITHIN METROPOLITAN AREAS)	
<u>Over 10 Over 22 20 Piece</u> 650 1225 1750 225	♦ 410-B Cancels
(1) See Item No. 130 for application of rates.	410-A
*(2) Rates in this item will not apply to split pickup or split delivery shipments, or storage in transit privileges.	
(3) See Item No. 100 for computation of distances.	
* Chango ) 0 Increase ) Docision No. 57595	
EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1959	
Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of Ca San Francisco,Cal	lifornia, lifornia.

-26-

Fourth Revised Page .... 27 Cancels Third Revised Page .... 27 MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 4-A

.

. **•** ...

. .

Iten No.	s (1) (2) (3)	100 Pound:	ants Por :	tes in Co	ance Ra	Dist	
		mum Weigh	Mini			AILES But Not	М
	4,000 Pounds	2,000 Pounds	1,000 Pounds	500 Pounds	100 Pounds	Over	Ovor
	230 235 240 245 250	320 325 330 335 340	420 435 435	570 575 580 585 590	1120 1125 1135 1140 1145	350 10 10 10 20	035005
	255 260 265 270 275	3450 3555 3550 3550 3655 3655	450 455 470 475	595 600 605 610 615	1150 1155 1160 1165 1170	25 30 35 45	205050
	280 290 300 310 320	370 380 385 390 400	485 495 505 520 530	620 625 630 640 650	1180 1195 1205 1215 1225	50 60 70 80 90	45 50 70 80
	330 340 350 360 370	470 420 430 435 435	540 550 560 580 580	660 670 680 685 695	1235 1245 1255 1270 1280	100 110 120 130 140	90 100 110 120 130
0420-D Cancels 420-C	380 385 395 400 410	455 465 470 480 490	585 595 615 625	705 710 720 730 740	1290 1300 1310 1320 1330	150 160 170 180 190	140 150 160 170 180
· .	420 430 450 485	495 505 525 545 565	635 645 660 675 690	750 765 780 795 815	1340 1355 1375 1395 1415	200 220 240 260 280	190 200 220 240 260
	500 525 540 555 580	585 605 625 640 655	705 720 735 750 765	830 850 870 885 905	1430 1450 1470 1490 1510	300 325 350 375 400	280 300 325 350 375
	595 610 620 635 650	670 685 700 715 730	780 795 810 825 845	920 935 950 965 980	1530 1545 1565 1585 1600	425 450 475 525	400 425 450 475 500

			**				
525 550 575 600 625	55° 575 600 625 650	1620 1635 1655 1675 1695	995 1010 1025 1040 1055	865 880 895 910 925	750 765 780 790 805	670 685 700 715 730	
650 675 700 725 750	675 700 725 750	100 pc		940 955 965 980 te for 750 ceach 25 cess of 75	miles or	745 760 770 785 Conts per fraction	
(1) (2) (3)	See Item	o rate. No. 130	for the	rge for 10 application o	on of rat	es.	:
	◊ Increase	, Docis	ion No.	57695		5.	
					IV JANUA	RY 1, 1959	

٢

•

-27-

i

Fourth Revised Page ... 28 Cancels Third Revised Page ... 28

l

•,

C. 5330 (Pct. 6) \*

۳

	SECTION NO. 3 - RA	TES (	Conti	imied)	)			Item No.
Point-to-Point Rates in Cents per 100 Pounds (1) (2)							Itom No.	
BETWEEN (3)	AND (3)	MINIM	JM WEI	ight(	In Pou	inds)	Via Routes (Sec Items Nos. 500	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		100	500	1000	2000	4000	and 500-1)	
	Metropolitan San Francisco- Oakland Arca Metropolitan Sacramento Arca Stockton Modesto Merced Fresno	1300 1300 1300 1300 1300 1300	720 720	615 615	490 490 490 490	420 420 420 420	1	
Metropolitan Los Angeles	Tulare Metropolitan Bakersfield	1300	720	615		420	ב`	
Aroa	Area San Jose Salinas San Luis Obispo Santa Maria Metropolitan Santa Barbara	1235 1300 1300 1300 1300	720 720	615 615 615	490 490 490	420	33	
	Area Ventura Oxnard Metropolitan San Diego Area	1215 1195 1195 1165	630 630	520 505	390 385		33	
	Metropolitan Sacramento Area Stockton Modesto Merced Fresno Tulare Metropolitan Bakersfield	1225 1235 1225 1270 1300 1300	650 660 650 695 720 720	540 540 580 615	410 410 455 490	330 330	8 1 or 2 1 or 2 1 or 2	♦ 430-D Can- cc2s 430-C
Metropolitan San Francisco -Oakland Area	Area San Jose Salinas King City San Luis Obispo Santa Maria	1300 1180 1215 1270 1300 1300	650 705 720	485 530 585 615	370 410 465 490	330 385 420	3 or 4 3 or 4 3 or 4	
	Metropolitan Santa Barbara Area Ventura Oxnard Metropolitan San Diego Area	1300 1300 1300 1375		615 615	490 490	420	3 or 4 3 or 4	
Metropolitan Sacramento Area	Stockton Modesto Merced Fresno Tulare Metropolitan Bakersfield	1180 1195 1235 1290 1300	630 670 710	550 605	390 430 480	310 350	6 6 6	
	Area Metropolitan San Diego Area	1300 1375	720 8795			420 525		t -

•		-						1
	Fresno Tulare	1375 1355	795 780	690 675	585 565	525 500	thence thence	1
San Diego	Metropolitan Bakersfield Aroa San Luis Obispo Santa María	1375 1340	750 795 765		585	525	5 thence 5 thence 5 thence	3
Arca	Metropolitan Santa Barbara Area Ventura Oxnard	1320	710	605	470	400	5 thence 5 thence 5 thence	3
	(1) Minimum charge-the che rate.	rge for	100 p	ounds	at t	he app	plicable	
	(2) (a) The rates in this to, or between un between the named in Items Nos. 500 lower charges on t accruing under the	amed poi points o and 500- the smae	nts d or arc -1, wh shipm	irect as vi len th lent t	ly in a rou ey ro han c	termed tes sh sult f harge:	liato 10wn In 3	
	*(b) Rates in this iten between all points within a distance any point directly from, to, or betwee nation located with which such route p	of five of five of all p thin inco	actus actus odiate oints orpora	l hig on s of c ted c	inati hway uch r rigin ities	on 100 miles route & route & route & route &	from and (2) osti-	
	(c) Whon routes named item extend boyond in this item are f than portion of su such named points	the name intermediate the second s the second secon	ed po late i e or r	ints n app	or ar licat	oas, 1 ion vi	rates la	
	(3) See Section No. 2 for	descript	ions	of me	tropo	litan	areas,	
#Excopti	on: Paragraph (2)(b)(1) abo portion of an authorize in Items Nos. 500 and 5 a metropolitan area.	d routo	as se	t for	th	at		
# # • I	Thange ) ddition ) ncrease, except as noted ) Reduction )	Deci	.sion	No.	57	695		1
		EFFECT	IVE .	JANU/	ARY 1	, 19	59 ·	
Is	sued by the Public Utilitie	es Commine	sion	of th	e Sta	te of	Califor	nia
	rrection No. 84							

·...

-28-

,

-C. 5330 (Pot. 6) \*

 $\sim_{2}$ 



SECTION NO. 3 - RAI	IES (Conclude	od.)		Itom <u>No</u>
ACCESSORIAI Ratos in Cents per Man p		(2) (3)		,
		TERRITO	RY (4)	
		A .	В	\$440-E
Packing ) Unpacking ) Minimum Charge - The charge for or		500	475	Cancels 440-D
<ul> <li>(1) See Item No. 130 for applicate</li> <li>(2) See Item No. 170 for computate</li> <li>(3) Rates do not include cost of a 450.)</li> <li>(4) See Item No. 343 for descript</li> </ul>	ion of time. materials.	(Sec Ite	m No.	
<ul> <li>RATES AND CHARGES FOR S AND PACKING</li> <li>In the event the shippor requests ers, including wardrobes, prior t dered for transportation, or requ ers subsequent to time delivery i transportation charges shall be a</li> <li>Each container, set up Each bundle of containors, f Minimum charge, per delivery</li> <li>(a) Shipping containers, includin packing materials which are f the request of the shipper wi than the actual original cost materials, F.O.B. carrier's p</li> <li>(b) In the event such packing materials percent of the charges assess paragraph (a).</li> <li>Note 1. If the hourly rates no a lower charge than th this item such lower of y/oNote 2.No charge will be asses ments transported at No. 400.</li> </ul>	MATERIALS delivery of so the time s lests pickup is accomplish sessed: (S colded flat agent of busi terials and s carrier, part loaded, an al agent of not sed under the amed in Item he charge in charge shall ossed for was	shippin hipment of such ed, the eo Note 0 75 cen 0 360 c	is ten- contain- following l) its its its its its its its its its its	*450-B ) Cancel 450-A
* Change	cision No.	5769	5	
	EF	FECTIVE	JANUARY 1, 1	.959
Issued by the Public Utiliti Correction No. 85	es Commissio		State of Ce rancisco, Ce	

Third Revised Page ... 31 Cancels Second Revised Page ... 31

. . . .

-

# MINIJUM RATE TARIFF NO. 4-A

	SECT	ION NO. 4 - RO	UTES	Iten No.
Routing for R (The d	ates Provided in following route	n Item No. 430(8 s apply in eit)	See Note In Item No. her direction.)	500-1)
Way near Cro generally pa located alon Bay to Mart; Southern Pac its junction thence State miles north junction wi: way U.S. 50 west of Man way U.S. 99 Metropolitan to Walnut C; Dublin; the with State State Route	ockett; thence aralleling Sout ng the shore li inez; thence Co cific Company r n with State Ro e Route 4 to it of Byron; said th Highway U.S. to its junctio teca; State Rou to Metropolita n San Francisco reck; thence so nce easterly vi Route 120, 5.0	easterly via u hern Pacific C ne of Carquine unty Road gene ight of way th ute 4, 4 miles s junction wit County Road t 50, 3.9 miles n with State R te 120 to Mant n Los Angeles -Oakland Area utherly via St a Highway U.S. miles west of ; thence via H	San Francisco-Oa with unnumbered nnumbered highway ompany right of w z Strait and Suis rally paralleling rough Port Chicag west of Pittsbur h County Road 1.6 hrough Byron to 1 west of Tracy; H oute 120, 5.0 mil eca; thence via H Area: or (b) from via State Route 2 ate Route 21 to 50 to its juncti Manteca; thence v ighway U.S. 99 to	ay un o g; ts igh- es 1gh- 4 on ia
ROUTE NO. 2: (a) Highway Road east of Oakland Are politan Oak unnumbered to Fremont; Canyon High Road throug Highway U.S its junctio State Route	From Metropoli U.S. 50 to its f Livermore; or a via State Rou land via State highway through thence unnumbe way to Sunol, S h Pleasanton an . 50 east of Li n with State Ro	tan San Franci junction with (b) from Metr ite 17 to San L Route 17 to Sa Hayward to Fr ered highway State Route 21 Id Livermore to vermore, then bute 120, 5.0 m a, thence via H	sco-Oakland Area unnumbered Count opolitan San Fran eandro, or from M in Leandro, thence emont or State Rou and Ni and unnumbered Co its junction wit the Highway U.S. 50 miles west of Mant Highway U.S. 99 to	via y cisco- te 17 les unty h to eca.
Highway U.S U.S. 101 to southeast o Chatsworth, Highway U.S Alternate a Oxnard, or Route 126, Route 126 t	<ul> <li>101 or 101-A1</li> <li>its junction worder or (b) Highway</li> <li>101 to its junction</li> <li>(d) Highway U.S</li> <li>3.0 miles south</li> <li>its junction</li> <li>thence via Highway</li> </ul>	lternate to San with State Rout nce via (a) Sta v U.S. 101 thro unction with Hi ce Highway U.S. S. 101 to its j neast of Ventur with Highway U	Isco-Oakland Area Jose, thence Hig te 118, 4.0 miles te Route 118 thro bugh Calabasas, or Ighway U.S. 101- 101-Alternate th junction with Stat ra, thence via Sta J.S. 99 at Castaic Metropolitan	hway (c) rough ce
		· ,		
*Change.	Decision No.	57695		
		EFFECT	IVE JANUARY 1, 19	59

~

~.

1

	SECTION NO.	4 - ROUTES	Item No.
Routing for (The follow	Rates Provided i ring routes apply	in Item No. 430 (See in either direction	Ncte)
(a) State Route and Fremont, the	17, or (b) unnumb ance State Route	n Francisco-Oakland bered highway throug 17 to San Jose, then an Los Angeles Area.	h Hayward   Ice via
U.S. 101 or 101- thence via Highv	Alternate to the ay U.S. 101 to Me	s Angeles Area via H ir junction at Dohen etropolitan San Dieg	y Park, *500- o Arca. 1-A Can-
ROUTE NO. 6: From U.S. 99 to Metro	n Metropolitan Sac opolitan Los Angel	cramento Area via Hi les Area.	ghway cel: 500-3
ROUTE NO. 7: From Highway U.S. 40	n Metropolitan Sam to Metropolitan S	n Francísco-Oakland Sacramento Area.	Area via
ROUTE NO. 8: From Highway U.S. 50	n Metropolitan Sam to Stockton.	n Francisco-Oakland	Area via
roads and have been parallel been desig of Public between p ways and	highways. Where constructed by the or adjacent to the gnated by the Dive Works as part of Dints on the design freeways will als n with the applic	n are described over new highways or fro he State of Californ e designated highway ision of Highways, I the State system of gnated routes, said o be authorized rout ation of rates as pr	eways ia and have bepartment highways new high- bes in
* Change ) Deci # Addition ) Deci	sion No. 5769	5 EFFECTIVE JANUARY	1, 1959
Issued by the Pu	blic Utilities Co	mmission of the Stat San Franci	e of California Isco, California
Correction No. 8	7		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

-31-A-