

Decision No. 57912**ORIGINAL**

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the application	)	
of W. J. Tannahill, M. F. Tannahill	)	
and E. J. Tannahill, doing business	)	Application No. 35991
as W. J. Tannahill & Sons to oper-	)	Amended.
ate a highway common carrier service.)	)	

O P I N I O N

W. J. Tannahill, M. F. Tannahill and E. J. Tannahill, doing business as W. J. Tannahill & Sons, are engaged in the transportation of property in California pursuant to permits issued by this Commission. Applicants seek an order authorizing them to conduct service as a highway common carrier for the transportation of restricted commodities, between various points in the State of California.

Notice of filing of this application was given all common carriers subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.

Upon consideration of the allegations of the amended application and the representations filed pursuant to the above-mentioned notice, the Commission finds that public hearing is not necessary in this matter.

This application was filed pursuant to Decision No. 50448 in Case No. 5478, dated August 17, 1954. In that decision, the Commission enunciated a policy under which it may consider operation as a highway permit carrier up to and including September 10, 1953, as evidence of public convenience and necessity, if it appears that such operation may be found to constitute common carriage under the terms of the Nolan decision (Nolan v Public Utilities Commission, 41 C (2nd) 392). The allegations of the amended application and the

representations filed in this matter indicate, however, and the Commission finds that as of September 10, 1953, applicants were conducting their operations within the scope of the permits heretofore issued by this Commission. Such operations not having constituted highway common carriage, the sought certificate of public convenience and necessity is not a requisite for continuance of applicants' operations as conducted on the said date; and the application will be denied.

The applicants are hereby placed on notice that the Commission, by this decision, makes no finding and expresses no opinion as to whether applicants have or have not been conducting their operations within the scope of their permitted authority since September 10, 1953; and that the provisions of Section 1063 of the Public Utilities Code will be strictly enforced.

O R D E R

The Commission having duly considered the application and representations filed herein, now therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that Application No. 35991 is denied.

The effective date of this order shall be ninety days after the date hereof.

Dated at Los Angeles, California, this 27<sup>th</sup> day of January, 1959.

E. Lynn Fox  
President  
[Signature]  
[Signature]  
[Signature]  
Commissioners