

58072

ORIGINAL

Decision No. \_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation on the Commission's  
own motion into the adequacy of  
service of the GENERAL TELEPHONE  
COMPANY OF CALIFORNIA, the  
CALIFORNIA INTERSTATE TELEPHONE  
COMPANY, and THE PACIFIC TELEPHONE  
AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY in the County  
of Los Angeles and the County of  
San Bernardino, State of California.)

Case No. 6058

(Appearances and Witnesses are Listed in Appendix A)

SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER IMPLEMENTING  
DECISION NO. 57134 AND DISCONTINUING INVESTIGATION

The above-entitled investigation was instituted by the Commission on February 10, 1958 and, following public hearing on July 17, 1958, this Commission on August 12, 1958 issued its Decision No. 57134 in this proceeding, ordering the following:

"1. The area east of the Lancaster exchange, over to the Los Angeles-San Bernardino County boundary line, is assigned to the General Telephone Company of California for responsibility for Telephone service; authorization is granted to establish a new exchange; and telephone service is to be provided first on a toll station basis and later as development warrants on a suburban and individual and party line basis.

"2. The area east of the Palmdale exchange, over to the Los Angeles-San Bernardino County boundary line, is assigned to The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company for responsibility for telephone service; authorization is not granted to extend the Palmdale exchange to cover this area; however, authorization is granted to Pacific to make this area a new exchange if it desires to do so; at this time only toll station service shall be provided to reasonably meet the telephone needs of the few residents and industries in the area.

"3. The area west of the Victorville exchange, over to the San Bernardino-Los Angeles County boundary line, is assigned to the California Interstate Telephone Company for responsibility for telephone service; authorization is not granted to extend the Victorville exchange to cover this area; however, authorization is granted to California Interstate to make this area a new exchange if it desires to do so; at this time only toll station service shall be provided to reasonably meet the telephone needs of the few residents and industries in the area.

"4. General Telephone Company of California is authorized to continue to offer toll station service only in the new area assigned to it and is authorized to file in quadruplicate with this Commission, after the effective date of this order, in conformity with General Order No. 96, revised tariff maps and schedules to effectuate the establishment of a new exchange as proposed on not less than five days' notice to the Commission and to the public.

"5. The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company and the California Interstate Telephone Company each shall resurvey the new areas assigned to them and shall report to the Commission for approval, within ninety days after the effective date hereof, their plans for providing toll station service in their respective new areas, the estimated cost of providing the service, and the advances, if any, required under their extension rules of the prospective subscribers in the area.

"6. That this investigation be held open pending the filing of the reports provided in Ordering Paragraph 5 above."

The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company and the California Interstate Telephone Company have prepared and filed with this Commission the reports required by Ordering Paragraph 5 of Decision No. 57134.

The Pacific Company proposes to establish two public toll stations in its area at an estimated cost to it of \$9,700. The first one, designated Wilsona No. 2, is to be located in an outside booth at a grocery store, 18742 E. Palmdale Boulevard; and the second one, designated Llano No. 1, is to be located in an outside booth at a lumberyard, 20346 Pearblossom Highway.

The California Interstate Company proposes to install toll station service at an initial two points in the El Mirage portion of its area by means of radio telephone facilities. The estimated cost of these two stations is \$12,000, which will be borne by the company without line extension advance charges to the customers. In view of the sparse development and sizable advance charges that would be required of customers in the areas for individual or party line service, the companies reported having received only five applications for such service. ✓✓

After considering the initial plans of the two companies for establishment of telephone service in the area, it is the Commission's finding and conclusion that, in view of the sparse development in the areas at this time, the plans for initial service by the two respondent companies, Pacific and California Interstate, are reasonable; that the toll services can be expanded as development warrants; that the plans now should be authorized; and that this investigation no longer need be held open and now should be discontinued; and good cause appearing; therefore,

IT IS ORDERED that The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company and California Interstate Telephone Company are authorized and directed to initially offer toll station service as planned in the new areas assigned to them and are authorized and directed to file with this Commission, after the effective date of this order and on or before June 30, 1959, in conformity with General Order No. 96,

revised tariff maps and schedules to effectuate the establishment of new exchanges, as provided by the original order herein, on not less than five days' notice to the Commission and to the public.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above-mentioned investigation is hereby discontinued.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this 2nd day of March, 1959.

E. J. Fox  
President  
John E. Norton  
Theodore H. Myers  
Everett M. Sage  
Commissioners

~~Commissioner~~ Matthew J. Dooley, being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

APPENDIX A

LIST OF APPEARANCES  
(Under A-39569, A-39656 and C-6058)

For the Utilities: Best, Best & Kreiger by James H. Kreiger and Richard Edsall, for California Interstate Telephone Company; Pillsbury, Madison & Sutro and Arthur T. George by Charles B. Renfrew, for The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company; H. Ralph Snyder, Jr., for General Telephone Company of California.

Interested Parties: Neal C. Hasbrook, for California Independent Telephone Association; J. J. Deuel, for California Farm Bureau Federation; Frederick E. Marshall, for Wrightwood Chamber of Commerce.

For Petition Subscribers to The Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company: Mrs. Earle Winnett, Secretary, Llano Improvement Assn.

Commission Staff: Hector Anninos.

LIST OF WITNESSES

Evidence was presented on behalf of the Utilities: Under A-39596 by: Arthur S. Taylor, Clarence H. Harling, Harold E. Throp, John H. Barrows; under A-39656 by: Russell J. Priddy; under C-6058 by: Clarence H. Harling, John H. Barrows, Paul F. Sercu, Carl F. Joos, Roscoe C. Bowles, R. W. Replogle.

Evidence was presented on behalf of the Commission Staff by: James M. McCraney.

Evidence was presented on behalf of the interested parties by: Mrs. Grace Winnett, Thomas W. Boyden, Mrs. Virginia Buchanan, R. W. Wiess.