OMCHAL

Decision No.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Investigation into the rates, rules and regulations, charges, allowances and practices of all common carriers, highway carriers and city carriers relating to the transportation of any and all commodities between and within all points and places in the State of California (including, but not limited) to, transportation for which rates are provided in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2).

Case No. 5432
Petition for Modification
No. 178
(Amended)

In the Matter of the Investigation into the rates, rules and regulations, charges, allowances and practices of all common carriers, highway carriers and city carriers relating to the transportation of any and all commodities between and within all points and places in the State of California (including but not limited to transportation for which rates are provided in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2).

Case No. 5432
Petition for Modification
No. 181
(Amended)

(Appearances are listed in Appendix A)

## OPINION

California Trucking Associations, Inc., by Petition No. 178 filed March 7, 1960, as amended April 20 and May 26, 1960, seeks upward adjustments in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2.

Southern Pacific Company and other railroads in California, including short line railroads and motor carriers with whom the railroads maintain joint rates, seek authority to increase their class rates and certain commodity rates to the same level of rates which the Commission may prescribe as minimum rates for highway carriers in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2.

Eight days of hearing at San Francisco and one day of hearing at Los Angeles were held before Examiner Jack E. Thompson commencing on April 28, 1960, and concluding on June 21, 1960 when the matters were taken under submission.

Following extensive proceedings in Petitions Nos. 62 and 74 in Case 5432, the Commission by Decision No. 55704, dated October 15, 1957, revised the rate structure of Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2. Evidence in that proceeding included cost studies developed by the Commission's staff and by California Trucking Associations. Since October 15, 1957, those rates were increased based principally on evidence involving what might be termed supplements to the basic cost studies referred to above. The following tabulation shows the decisions of the Commission issued since October 15, 1957 resulting in major changes in the application of class rates in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2.

TABLE I

Tabulation of Decisions Issued

Since October 15, 1957 Affecting Class Rate Levels

Dec. No.	Date	Provisions of Orders
56458	4/ 1/58	Provided for increase of 10% on rates and charges for transportation to and from Redwood Empire Territory.
57545	11/ 3/58	Increased rates from 6 to 9 percent to offset wage increases.
59090	9/29/59	Upward adjustments (around 2%) in rates other than truckload to offset wage increases.
59340	12/ 8/59	Surcharges for Central Coastal Counties established to offset wage increases.

The Brotherhood of Teamsters is comprised of numerous local unions whose individual jurisdictions, in the main, correspond to the boundaries of the counties in which they are headquartered. For example, local 85 is the Teamsters' Union in San Francisco and

<sup>1/</sup> The basic reports on the cost studies prepared by the staff were Exhibit No. 62-104 (Witness Day) and Exhibit No. 62-108 (Witness Lubich). The basic report of the cost study prepared by California Trucking Associations, Inc., is Exhibit No. 62-26 (Witness Kaspar).

Ų

Local 70 is the union in Alameda County. Within the organization of the Brotherbood of Teamsters are joint councils which represent a number of local unions. Joint Council No. 7 represents unions in counties on the Pacific coastline extending from Monterey County to Mendocino County, inclusive. The area is the same as that described in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 as the Central Coastal Territory. Joint Council 38 represents unions in the remaining portion of northern California and Joint Council 42 represents unions in southern California. Wage contracts are negotiated in some instances by the Joint Council and in some instances by the local unions; there is a tendency, however, towards more uniform provisions regarding wages and working conditions in California. A representative of C.T.A. stated that all contracts with agents of teamsters expire June 30, 1961 and he is hopeful that the negotiations for new contracts will result in greater uniformity of provisions in California.

As of July 1, 1960, there are some substantial differences in provisions affecting wages and other benefits in various parts of the State. Those differences, in some instances, are far greater than those which existed in 1957 at the time the rate structure in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 was revised. This has been markedly true concerning wages in Joint Council 7 territory as compared to the rest of the State. While a difference was recognized in Decision No. 59340 by the Commission in the establishment of the Central Coastal Territory surcharges, the difference became greater as of July 1, 1960. Table II illustrates the differences by showing the wages of a driver, other than a line driver, in areas represented by Joint Council 38, Joint Council 42, Local 70 (Oakland) and Local 25 (San Francisco) at various times which were considered in the decisions listed in Table I.

TABLE II

Comparison of Wage Rates in Dollars
Per Hour of Heavy Duty Local
Drivers for Various Union Jurisdictions

	May 1957 Dec.55704	June 1958 Dec.57545	June 1959 Dec.59090	Aug. 1959 Dec.59340	July 1960
Joint Council 421/	\$2.32	\$2.52	\$2.545	\$2.545	\$2.575
Joint 1/ Council 38	2.25	2.60	2.60	2.60	2.63
Local 85 (S.F.) 2/	2.45	2.60	2.60	2.85	3.125
Local 70 (Oak.) <u>2</u> /	2.40	2.60	2.60	2.85	3.07

As may be seen from Table II there is a difference of from 45 cents to 55 cents per hour in the straight time wages in Joint Council 7 area from that in other parts of the State. When one considers that overtime pay, payroll taxes and compensation insurance premiums are compiled as percentages of straight time pay, it is readily apparent that the difference in hourly labor cost is greater than reflected above. It was estimated by C.T.A. that the difference is on the order of 65 cents per hour.

Both C.T.A. and the Commission's staff presented cost estimates in which they attempted to measure the increase since June 1959 in the cost of transporting property state-wide, assuming that the hourly labor cost in Joint Council 7 area was the same as elsewhere in the State; and also the effect of the disproportionately higher labor cost in the Central Coastal Territory. They used somewhat different approaches in arriving at the estimates and the estimates differ; however, the end results are remarkably close

<sup>2/</sup> Driver - Truck over 10,500 pounds.

considering that the theories and approaches to the development of cost estimates were so dissimilar. While this is an overly simplified description of what was done it relates in brief the differences in method used by C.T.A. and the staff.

C.T.A.'s director of research revised cost studies offered in prior proceedings in this case, namely Exhibits Nos. 149-2 and 159-1 to reflect labor costs as of July 1, 1960. The above-named exhibits were supplements to Exhibit No. 62-26 referred to in footnote 1, and were offered in proceedings which resulted in the last adjustment in rates (Decision No. 59090) and the establishment of the surcharges (Decision No. 59340). It was found that the increases in wages of line-haul drivers was about the same state-wide and the higher labor costs in Joint Council 7 area related to local drivers, helpers and platform or terminal employees. Of local employees, the increase in Coastal Counties Territory is on the order of 64% cents per hour and elsewhere on the order of 12 cents per hour. Some 32½ cents was considered in Exhibit No. 159-1 in the proceeding culminating in Decision No. 59340. Speaking generally, and perhaps inaccurately in very minor respects, the director of research reworked the line-haul costs using July 1, 1960 wages for line-haul drivers which are almost uniform state-wide, reworked the pickup, delivery and terminal costs using wages representing increases state-wide on the order of 12 cents and thereby determining the so-called state-wide costs. State-wide performance factors were used in developing those costs. The end results were compared to the results shown in Exhibit No. 149-2 to determine the percentage increase in costs. The added costs in the Central Coastal Territory were determined by computing the added cost per 100 pounds of pickup, platform and billing using a labor cost of 20 cents (64½ less 32½ less 12) and adding indirect costs, insurance costs and gross receipts charges by taking a percentage of the total. The further added cost per 100 pounds so developed was applied to average weights per shipment to determine the dollar amount of increase per shipment over and above the amount computed in Exhibit No. 159-1. The performance factors used in this development were also so-called state-wide factors.

A senior transportation engineer of the Commission staff prepared an analysis of the cost of transporting class rated traffic on five different bases utilizing all factors used in Exhibit No. 149-7, except labor costs and taxes which latter were revised to reflect rates effective July 1, 1960. Those five bases are:

- Case I State-wide, or what might be called the traditional melding of labor costs throughout the State to arrive at a single estimate of mileage costs.
- Case II- Central Coastal Territory, that is, the costs reflecting labor costs and performance factors in the area covered by Joint Council 7.
- Case III- State-wide less Central Coastal Territory.
  - Case IV- Between Central Coastal Territory and elsewhere, for example--pickup cost from Case II, Delivery Cost from Case III plus line-haul costs from Case I, state-wide.
- Case V Hypothetical State-wide, which was developed in the same manner as Case I - State-wide, except that the increase in labor cost for Central Coastal Territory was considered to be the same as elsewhere or approximately 10 cents per hour.

In the development of basic pickup and delivery cost factors in Exhibits Nos. 62-104 and 62-108, the staff found different performance factors in the Sam Francisco Territory, in the Los Angeles Area and elsewhere. The engineers applied the appropriate local labor cost per hour to area performance factors to produce area costs per 100 pounds. The area costs were blended to produce state-wide costs.

<sup>2/</sup> Exhibit No. 149-7 was essentially a revision of the studies reported in Exhibits Nos. 62-104 and 62-108 to reflect wages and taxes in effect in June 1959.

The differences in the estimates of the staff from those of C.T.A. regarding the added cost per shipment of transporting property in Central Coastal Territory result not only because of differences in various factors, but principally from the fact that C.T.A. assumed all conditions, other than labor costs to be the same in Central Coastal Territory as elsewhere in the State, whereas the staff did not, and also because C.T.A. was attempting to measure what might be called the effect of increases in labor costs within the territory since September 1959 to determine how much should be added to the surcharge. The staff, on the other hand, determined the added cost per shipment by developing estimates of the cost of transporting property in the territory using performance factors peculiar to points in the area (Case II) and developing separate estimates of the costs state-wide using state-wide performance factors, and assuming labor cost increases in the territory to be the same as elsewhere, (Case V) and subtracting the latter from the former. Differences in performance, therefore, are given full effect by that approach. Because of certain work rules, which may or may not be a part of the contract, enforced by a number of unions in Joint Council 7, the pounds per man per hour productivity, particularly in the case of truckload shipments, is lower in the territory than elsewhere. The engineer, for example, estimated the cost per shipment for shipments in the weight bracket "Over 30,000 pounds" under hand-loading conditions in the territory (Case II), was \$22.45 higher than elsewhere in the State (Case III), and \$19.74 higher than the hypothetical state-wide costs (Case V). The engineer stated, however, that in May 1957, the difference was about \$14.00.

<sup>3/</sup> Such rules include requirements that unloading be performed by members of the local union rather than line drivers from other local unions.

Other than the added costs of the Central Coastal Territory, when consideration is given to the fact that the estimates are not precisely on the same bases, the percentage increases in costs per 100 pounds developed by C.T.A. and the staff are fairly close. Perhaps the more comparable of the staff's estimates with those of C.T.A. are the Case V cost estimates using the hand loading on shipments between 10,000 pounds and 30,000 pounds and power loading over 30,000 pounds.

# TABLE III

Comparison of Percentage
Increases in Costs Estimated
by C.T.A. and by the Commission's
Staff for Periods Indicated

Shipment		C.T.A.	Staff
Group - 1		June 1959-July 1960	May 1959-July 1960
		75-Mile Haul - 2 Terminal	
100 -	10,000	3.03%	2.0%
1,000 -		2.51	2.8
4,000 -		2.69	4.0
20,000 -		2.84	3.6
30,000 -		2.94	3.3*
		350-Mile Haul	-
100 -	10,000	2.82%	1.9%
1,000 -		2.25	2.6
4,000 -		2.39	3.4
20,000 -		2.44,	1.7
30,000 -		2.30	3.0*

\*September 1958 to July 1, 1960

The staff also introduced supplementary cost studies concerning transportation of grain, rice, hay, lumber, and bulk sugar in which prior staff cost studies were revised to reflect labor costs as of July 1, 1960.

Petitioner developed a scale of proposed rates by applying the percentage increases in costs developed by it to the present

rates and making minor adjustments to provide a smooth progression of rates. Central Coastal Territory surcharges were developed by adding the added costs per shipment referred to above to the present surcharges. C.T.A. also proposes the establishment of charges per shipment to apply on prepaid shipments, released to a value of not more than 50 cents per pound, weighing under 250 pounds and moving for distances not in excess of 150 constructive miles. The proposed charges are at the same level as the present minimum charges for shipments moving less than 150 constructive miles. C.T.A. also proposed modification and adjustment of the monthly vehicle unit rates, the principal ones being the extension of the rate scale to provide for two vehicle capacity groups, i.e. 20,000 to 40,000 pound capacity and over 40,000 pound capacity in lieu of the present rates for "over 20,000 pounds".

The staff developed a proposed scale of rates which are based on Case V (Hypothetical State-wide) percentage increases on minimum weights less than 10,000 pounds and on Case I (Traditional State-wide) percentage increases on minimum weights of 10,000 pounds or more. Surcharges for Central Coastal Territory were proposed for shipments subject to minimum weights of less than 10,000 pounds. They were determined by subtracting the percentage increases in cost developed by the staff as Eypothetical State-wide - Case V from the percentage increases in cost for the territory, Case II, and applying the differential in cost to the present rates for the mileages used in developing the costs, namely 30, 75 and 150 constructive miles, and for the weight brackets used, thereby finding a rate differentials were

<sup>4/</sup> Designated by petitioner and referred to throughout the proceedings as Item-X, "Small Shipment Service".

applied to the average weights per shipment used in the cost study to determine the differential per shipment for the three mileages, which latter were averaged to determine the average differential in the Central Coastal Territory. The results obtained did not provide a reasonable or logical progression of surcharges so the rate expert recommended using the results obtained as surcharges for shipment weight groups of 1,000-2,000 pounds, 2,000-4,000 pounds and 4,000-10,000 pounds and suggested somewhat different surcharges for shipment weight groups of 0-100 pounds, 100-500 pounds, and 500 to 1,000 pounds.

The rate expert of the Commission's staff also recommended increases in certain commodity rates to conform with increases in costs as reflected in the staff's cost studies.

The railroads offered evidence that by far the preponderance of less-tham-carload shipments moving under rail billings is transported in substituted service by truck. Of the LCL traffic moving by rail car in California, the large portion of it moves by Southern Pacific Co. between Los Angeles and the San Francisco Bay Area. Evidence was offered showing that this traffic moves at an out-of-pocket loss. Exhibits and testimony were presented showing that the carload rates here involved for shipments transported in rail cars were published in 1939 to equalize the minimum rates established by the Commission in Decision No. 31606. Since that time the railroads by decisions in general rate increase applications, were authorized to increase rates on a number of occasions. The rates involved herein were not increased as authorized because of competition from highway carriers maintaining the minimum rates. Ead the full amount

<sup>5/</sup> The so-called X-Parte Increases: X-162, X-166, X-168, X-175, X-196, X-206, X-206-A, and X-212.

of the increases authorized been taken, the rates, except in a few instances, would be higher than those sought.

A number of shippers offered evidence and many others made their positions known regarding the rate proposals. Representatives of shippers in southern California favored a rate structure which would not reflect in the state-wide rates any of the so-called disproportionately higher labor cost in Central Coastal Territory. Shippers in Central Coastal Territory were concerned over substantial increases in rates; some opposed a surcharge because of the inconvenience and difficulty of application and suggested that whatever increases are necessary should be incorporated into the rates. California Manufacturers Association protested the increases in rates sought and was of the opinion that whatever differentiation in rates or charges in Central Coastal Territory the Commission might find necessary should be reflected by a percentage surcharge rather than a fixed sum per shipment.

The grain interests stated that the grain rates were revised last year and became effective October 30, 1959, after the 1959 harvest, and asked that those rates not be increased until the carriers and the shippers acquired experience in moving grain under those rates during the harvest. It was stated that no traffic having moved under those rates, under harvest conditions, it could not be found that the rates are unreasonable or that the rates would not return adequate revenues to meet the needs of the carriers.

California Retailers Association was opposed to the proposed Item X as was Sears Roebuck & Co. Other shippers argued in support of Item X. There were many points of view offered in this proceeding regarding the interpretation and weight that should be given to the evidence of record.

## Conclusions

This is a so-called "wage offset" proceeding insofar as Petition 178 is concerned. Other than the proposed Item X, which would represent no increases in rates, and the monthly vehicle unit rates for vehicles over 40,000 pounds capacity, petitioner proposes that rates which have been found by the Commission to be minimum reasonable rates be increased by sufficient amounts to offset wage increases which became effective on or before July 1, 1960, and since the minimum rates were last adjusted. The procedure assumes that all factors, other than those shown to be changed, have remained unchanged. When not too much time has elapsed since all of the factors were taken into consideration, this procedure provides the best possible measurement for adjustment in rates. The basic data upon which the present rates were established was considered in 1957. It is significant that several of the wage increases since that date have been "cost of living increases" required under labor agreements when the cost of living index published by the U.S. Department of Labor has increased certain specified amounts. The State transportation tax was reduced from 3 percent of gross revenue to 12 percent; however, this known reduction in expense has been given consideration. We find that insofar as class rates and accessorial charges are concerned the basic cost data is sufficiently current that an expansion of that data to reflect current wages will provide a reliable measure of the increases in cost for the establishment of just and reasonable minimum rates. We do not consider that revenue and expense statements of the carriers are necessary in this instance to support the assertion by petitioner that additional revenues are required by the carriers as a result of the increased labor costs. We will now consider the several principal issues.

# Central Coastal Territory

While rates cannot be predicated wholly on cost because some necessary services cannot bear them, it is desirable that the rate structure follow the cost pattern insofar as possible so that the cost burden will be spread equitably. Small differences in costs may be disregarded in order to obviate a complex rate structure; large differences, however, should not be so resolved in a minimum rate structure applicable to transportation throughout the State performed by all highway carriers. To do so would result in the carrier operating in that section of the State which has the lower costs or transporting the lower cost commodities to recover inordinately high revenues, while the carrier transporting goods at the higher cost is not recovering its expenses. Such rates are not minimum reasonable rates for either carrier. The transportation costs in Central Coastal Territory are somewhat higher than elsewhere in the State and should be given effect in the minimum rates. There were differences of opinion regarding how this should be accomplished. If this were a permanent situation the best method might be the establishment of three scales of rates; one for within the territory, one for without the territory and one applicable to transportation between a point within the territory and a point without. The record indicates that the present wage differential is probably temporary and that in July 1961 there will be less differential in costs so that a single rate structure would once again be reasonable. In those circumstances the surcharge method appears to afford the more practical solution to the problem. Because the added costs affect only the pickup, delivery and terminal operations rather than line haul, a percentage surcharge as advocated by California Manufecturers Association would not reasonably measure the

differentials in cost. The rate expert's proposal does not give effect to the cost differential in the case of truckload shipments. He stated that the differences in the percentage of increase in the Case I - Traditional State-wide Costs as compared to the Case V -Hypothetical State-wide Cost percentage increases are so small that he recommended a scale of rates for shipments over 10,000 pounds based on the present rates increased by the percentage of increase in the Case I costs. The cost study prepared by the staff did not show that there was no difference between Case I and Case V. On the contrary, throughout the weight groups the difference is on the order of 1/4 to 1/2 cents per 100 pounds. It must be considered, however, that the estimates by the engineer considered the Central Coastal Territory to be 20 percent of State-wide. What may appear to be an insignificant amount, such as 1/4 cent per 100 pounds actually represents a cost differential in Central Coastal Territory of 1-1/4 cents per 100 pounds, which on 40,000 pounds is \$5.00 per shipment. The surcharges proposed by petitioner, on the other hand, reflect the cost differential in the Central Coastal Territory and will be adopted.

#### Class Rates

Having found that the surcharges proposed by petitioner should be adopted, it follows that the adjustment of rates applicable to the State as a whole should not reflect increases in labor cost in the Central Coastal Territory over and above those which were applicable elsewhere in the State. The Case V cost estimates and the petitioner's cost estimates are the proper guides for adjustment of

For example, a shipment weighing 40,000 pounds consisting of articles rated 5th class would, under a surcharge of one percent, provide \$1.23 when moving from San Francisco to Stockton and \$3.16 when moving from San Francisco to Barstow. The additional cost at San Francisco of loading the shipment is the same regardless of the point of destination.

the class rates. Other than the estimates regarding truckload costs, those estimates are close. The percentages of increase in the cost of transporting shipments weighing over 10,000 pounds under Case V as estimated by the staff engineer are somewhat higher than those estimated by petitioner. One reason appears to be that the percentages estimated by the staff represent increases since September 1958 in the case of shipments weighing 30,000 pounds or more, whereas the petitioner measured the increase since May 1959. After careful consideration of all of the facts, we find that the increased class rates proposed by petitioner are suitable as reasonable minimum rates, except that the equalization of the 5th class rates to Sam Jose from San Francisco and Oakland as provided in Item 509 should be retained. Item X - Small Shipment Service

In Decision No. 59090, referred to hereinabove, we discussed the so-called small shipment problem at some length. The Northern California Shippers League in that proceeding had proposed reduced minimum charges applicable when five or more prepaid shipments are tendered at one time. We said in that decision, "It may be that revisions in the rate structure, along the lines proposed by the League, or otherwise, may improve the situation; however, the record herein does not provide facts which would permit such determination."

Petitioner's director of research testified that he, together with representatives of carriers, had met with representatives of shippers and shipper groups to arrive at a proposal which would be acceptable to most of the carriers and the shippers, and, Item X is the result of such deliberations. He said the increases in the past few years have diverted some small shipment traffic, particularly in the short hauls, from the carriers. That traffic, in the main, has been the more desirable small shipment traffic.

The witness stated that the carriers deemed it advisable to attempt to retain the desirable traffic and, perhaps through lower rates, encourage shippers to tender shipments to the carriers in a manner which would lower the carriers' costs. The conditions and limitations proposed by petitioner in Item X and the reasons therefor are:

- 1. A released valuation of not more than 50 cents per pound. This reduces the amounts payable for loss or damage claims.
- 2. Shipments shall weigh less than 250 pounds and shall not exceed 10 packages except that shipments weighing less than 100 pounds shall not exceed 5 packages. This is an attempt to eliminate those shipments which a driver might not be able to load speedily and without help and is an attempt to encourage shippers to combine small parcels into larger packages.
- 3. Not applicable on 'collect', C.O.D., order notify, or temperature controlled shipments. This is an attempt to eliminate those shipments which require more time of the driver and involve higher costs.
- 4. Shipments are for distances not over 150 miles. The greater proprietary competition is in the short-haul traffic; also, the pickup, delivery and terminal costs make up the larger part of the cost on short-haul traffic and its proportion to full cost diminishes as the distance increases. 150 miles was taken as the division point because it is presently the point at which the minimum charges change.
- 5. Charges are not applicable on shipments which have origin or destination at private residences of retail customers, at steamship docks or at oil well sites. Assertedly, it takes longer to perform the paper work connected with the pickup or delivery at those locations than elsewhere.
- 6. Shipments containing personal effects, baggage or household goods, would not be applicable nor would shipments moving on a Government Bill of Lading. In connection with the latter, the witness stated that it was the form of document that they had in mind. Special clerical work connected with these bills creates higher costs.
- 7. The shipper would be required to designate on the shipping document "Small Shipment Service" and where such designation is made for a shipment that does not qualify for one or more of the conditions or limitations stated above, the charges will be made

at the rates applicable and a penalty of \$1.65 shall be imposed.

8. Applicable only to shipments picked up and delivered by the carrier or if delivered to the carrier's dock by the shipper. This would eliminate those shipments brought to the carrier's terminal by a drayman or warehouseman.

The minimum charges and rates for small shipments are depressed and, in fact, the charges and rates proposed by petitioner and by the staff for shipments weighing 250 pounds or less are below the full costs estimated by petitioner and by the staff. As we stated in Decision No. 55704, the rates for small shipments and the minimum charges are depressed because it is in this area that the rates in many instances come close to exceeding the value of service. The carriers desire that a portion of the small shipments, the socalled desirable traffic, be made subject to further depressed rates; or to put it more boldly, they desire to grant preference to some traffic over others. A preference is not unlawful unless it is unjust or undue. When there are cost differences of a significant nature, a preference is not unjust or undue. We find that, other than those which will be mentioned hereinafter, the limitations and conditions on the small shipment charges proposed by petitioner are reasonable and are considerations which have a significant bearing upon the cost of providing service.

Whether the shipper brings his shipment to the carrier's dock or has a drayman perform the service, or whether a warehouseman tenders the shipment at the carrier's terminal results in no substantial difference in the cost to the carrier of transporting that particular shipment. It may be that large numbers of vehicles other than the carrier's at his terminal may result in disruption of routine or confusion and, therefore, the carrier may wish to discourage the tender of shipments at terminals; nevertheless, there is

no significant difference in cost on any one shipment depending upon the identity of the party tendering the shipment at the platform. That proposed limitation will be denied. Petitioner's proposal that a penalty of \$1.65 be imposed upon the shipper when designating a shipment for small shipment service that does not qualify cannot be adopted. It is the responsibility of the carrier to assess the proper charge and to determine that the conditions governing the application of the rate are met.

# Monthly Vehicle Unit Rates

Vehicle unit rates were established in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 by Decision No. 54617, dated March 5, 1957, in this case. They were established as an emergency measure and represented a melding of the vehicle unit rates in City Carriers' Tariff No. 1-A (Sam Francisco Drayage Tariff), City Carriers' Tariff No. 2-A, Highway Carriers' Tariff 1-A (East Bay Drayage Tariff), Minimum Rate Tariff No. 5 (Los Angeles Drayage Tariff) and Minimum Rate Tariff No. 9-A (Sam Diego Drayage Tariff). The rates and rules governing them were different in the various drayage tariffs at that time and they are still different. In Decision No. 60418, dated July 18, 1960, in Case No. 5441, Petition No. 41, we stated:

"The evidence shows a need for a re-examination of the vehicle unit rates prescribed in the various minimum rate tariffs. When the staff has assembled sufficient data, the Commission will institute proceedings for that purpose."

The vehicle unit rates in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 will be included in those proceedings. Meanwhile, the rates should be adjusted to the levels of the rates prescribed in the drayage tariffs.

Additionally, the evidence shows that carriers have been called upon to furnish what is commonly called "over the road" type equipment, which, in some instances, have carrying capacities exceeding twenty

tons and are powered by diesel motors. The vehicle unit rates in the drayage tariffs do not reflect the costs of this heavy duty type equipment. The rates proposed by petitioner are suitable and should be adopted as the minimum reasonable vehicle unit rates to be assessed by highway carriers for transportation performed in vehicles of over 20,000 pounds capacity. The clarification of Item 760(C) proposed by petitioner is reasonable and will be adopted.

## Commodity Rates

Petitioner seeks no increases in rates on lumber in southern California (Item 710) so that none will be ordered herein.

While it is true that the present grain rates were made effective after the harvest last year and there is no evidence showing the results of carriers transporting grain under those rates, this proceeding, as stated above, is what is commonly called a wage offset proceeding. The basic cost data which were considered in the establishment of the grain rates reflect operations of a recent date. While, as stated by a representative of the grain interests, there is and has been a trend of carriers transporting grain to obtain and operate larger equipment which will provide greater payloads, this trend occurs in all motor transportation. It does not necessarily follow that carriers have, or will, discard all of their equipment because improved equipment is available. Each year there have been technological improvements in equipment at an additional cost, which also increases the depreciation expense. We find that the minimum rates on grain, rice, lumber, hay and bulk sugar should be increased to reflect the increases in costs as reflected in Exhibits 12 through 17 which were offered by engineers of the Commission's staff. The engineers who prepared the respective cost studies regarding transportation of the above-mentioned articles

testified that the labor costs developed therein did not include any significant factor for wage rates prescribed in the Joint Council 7 Agreements; therefore, said rates should not be made subject to the Central Coastal Territory Surcharge. We further find that the other commodity rates should be increased by the percentage of increases in the cost of transporting class rated traffic for the corresponding minimum weights and lengths of haul, and, that the commodity rates shown in Section 2 of Exhibit 19, offered by a rate expert of the Commission's staff reflect those increases in cost and should be adopted.

# Other Rates and Charges

The pool car rates have been maintained at the same level as those prescribed in City Carriers' Tariff No. 2-A, Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 1-A. They will be adjusted to reflect the rate levels prescribed in Decision No. 60418, dated July 18, 1960, in Case No. 5441.

We find that the increases proposed by petitioner in the rates in Items Nos. 120 - Delivery Not at Street Level; 145 - Accessorial Charges; 150 - Minimum Charges; 160 - Split Pickup Charges; and 170 - Split Delivery Charges are justified and that the proposed rates are reasonable and should be adopted.

Upon consideration of all of the facts and circumstances of record, the Commission is of the opinion and finds that the minimum rates established in the order which follows are the just, reasonable and nondiscriminatory rates for the transportation governed thereby, that the increases are justified and are necessary to assure to the public the maintenance of adequate and dependable transportation services by highway carriers.

# Petition No. 181

The evidence shows that the vast majority of California intrastate rail-billed less-than-carload shipments move in substituted motor truck service and that the drivers of said trucks receive the same wages and benefits as drivers employed by highway carriers. It has been shown that the greater portion of traffic moving in box car is transported at an out-of-pocket loss. From the evidence we find that the minimum rates which will be prescribed in the order herein are the just, reasonable and nondiscriminatory rates to be assessed by railroads for less-tham-carload transportation service.

With regard to the proposed increases in carload rates, the rates involved have been maintained at the level of the minimum rates for many years. The rail lines were authorized to publish those rates in order to remain competitive with highway carriers. It has been shown that, in general, increases resulting in rates the same as or greater than those sought herein have been found by the Commission in prior proceedings to have been justified. In decisions in prior proceedings in this case we have found that the preservation of adequate service requires that the class rates and the commodity rates of the railroads involved herein be maintained at competitive levels. The record herein supports that finding. We find that the increases in carload class rates and commodity rates sought herein by the rail lines are justified.

# ORDER

Based on the evidence of record and on the findings and conclusions set forth in the preceding opinion,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. That Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 (Appendix "D" to Decision No. 31606, as amended) be and it is further amended by incorporating

therein, to become effective September 23, 1960, the supplement and revised pages attached hereto and listed in Appendix "B", also attached hereto, which supplement, pages and appendix by this reference are made a part hereof.

- 2. That common carriers subject to the Public Utilities Act, to the extent that they are subject also to said Decision No. 31606, as amended, be and they are directed to establish in their tariffs the increases necessary to conform with the further adjustments herein of that decision.
- 3. That any provisions currently maintained in common carrier tariffs which are more restrictive than, or which produce charges greater than, those contained in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2, are authorized to be maintained in connection with the increased rates and charges directed to be established by Ordering Paragraph 2 hereof.
- 4. That the increased class rates, surcharges, minimum charges, accessorial service charges and vehicle unit rates directed to be established by Ordering Paragraph 2 hereof be and they are authorized to be made applicable also for the transportation of traffic:
  - (a) For which minimum commodity rates have been established.
  - (b) For which minimum rates have not been established.
- 5. That common carriers subject to the Public Utilities Act and subject also in some degree to Decision No. 31606, as amended, and to Ordering Paragraph 2 hereof, other than common carriers by railroad, which maintain in their tariffs minimum charges on levels higher than the minimum charges contained in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2, be and they are authorized to increase their minimum charges in amounts not to exceed the following:

(in	of Shipment pounds) But Not Over		Amount of Increases (in cents)
0 50 75	50 75 150	************	10 15 20
150 250	250	**************	25 30

- 6. That highway common carriers and express corporations, subject to Decision No. 31606, as amended, which maintain in their tariffs rates for the transportation of commodities under refrigeration differentially higher than the minimum rates for such transportation, be and they are hereby authorized to establish the increases required to maintain the differential in rates.
- 7. That, in addition to the increases hereinbefore authorized or required, common carriers by railroad be and they are authorized to establish increases in the rates, charges and provisions in the tariffs or portions thereof identified below to the levels of the comparable rates, charges and provisions of Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 as established pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 1 hereof:
- (1) The following rates, charges and provisions of Pacific Southcoast Freight Bureau Tariff No. 255-G, M. A. Nelson, Tariff Publishing Officer:
  - (a) Items 360; 476; 1100 and 1130.
  - (b) Section 2 (class rates).
  - (c) Items 10040; 10070; 10180; and 14050.
- (2) The following rates, charges and provisions of Pacific Southcoast Freight Bureau Tariff No. 294-C, M. A. Nelson, Tariff Publishing Officer:
  - (a) Items 2; 305; 345; 400; and 425.
  - (b) Section 1 (class rates).
  - (c) Items 1700 to 1720, inclusive; 1723 to 1725, inclusive; 1726 (64-cent rate only); 1727 to 1740, inclusive; 1750 to 1834, inclusive; 1840 to 1880, inclusive; 1890;

1920 to 1940, inclusive; 1950; 1953; 1955 (column 1 rates only); 1970 (except rate of 74 cents); 1980; 1990; 2010 to 2030, inclusive; 2040 to 2055, inclusive; 2060 (except rate of 59 cents); 2070 to 2110, inclusive; 2120 to 2140, inclusive.

- (3) The rate of 46 cents, minimum weight 20,000 lbs., on Boracic Acid and Borax, named in Item 310-Series of Pacific South-coast Freight Bureau Turiff 263-C, M. A. Nelson, Tariff Publishing Officer.
- (4) Item 2 of Pacific Southcoast Freight Bureau Tariff 300, M. A. Nelson, Tariff Publishing Officer, and the following carload rates in said tariff which are flagged with "Z" and/or square dot reference:
  - (a) Sugar, Items 945 to 975, inclusive, and 4160 to 4225, inclusive.
  - (b) Boracic Acid and Borax. The rate of 46 cents in Items 1645, 1650, 1655 and 1660.
  - (c) Butter, Cheese and Margarine, Items 3125 to 3146, inclusive.
  - (d) Infusorial Earth, Item 3200.
  - (e) Cammed Goods, Items 3455 to 3475, inclusive; 3425; 3530 to 3545, inclusive; 3560; 3530 to 3597, inclusive; 3605; 3635 to 3655, inclusive; 3685 to 3715, inclusive; 3760; 3765; 3785 to 3815, inclusive; 3855 to 3885, inclusive.
  - (f) Lard, Lard Substitutes and Vegetable Oil Shortening, Items 6120 to 6140, inclusive.
  - (g) Washing Compounds, Soap and related articles, Items 6675 to 6691, inclusive.
- 8. That common carriers maintaining, under outstanding authorizations permitting the alternative use of rail rates, rates below the specific minimum rate levels otherwise applicable on the commodities and between the points for which increases are authorized in Ordering Faragraph 7 hereof, are hereby authorized and directed to increase such rates, on not less than five days' notice to the Commission and to the public, to the level of the rail rates established pursuant to Ordering Paragraph 7 hereof, or to the level of the specific minimum rates, whichever is lower; and that such

adjustments shall be made effective not later than thirty days after effectiveness of the increased rail rates.

- 9. That common carriers, in establishing and maintaining the rates and charges authorized or directed hereinabove, be and they are authorized to depart from the provisions of Article XII, Section 21, of the Constitution of the State of California, and Section 460 of the Public Utilities Code to the extent necessary to adjust long- and short-haul departures now maintained under outstanding authorizations; that such outstanding authorizations be and they are modified only to the extent necessary to comply with this order; and that common carriers in publishing rates under the authority conferred in this ordering paragraph shall make reference in their schedules to the prior orders authorizing the long- and short-haul departures and to this order.
- 10. That, except for tariff publications required to be made by Ordering Paragraph 8 hereof, tariff publications required or authorized to be made by common carriers as a result of the order herein may be filed not earlier than the effective date hereof, to become effective on not less than five days' notice to the Commission and to the public; and that such tariff publications as are required shall be made effective not later than September 23, 1960; and that as to tariff publications which are authorized but not required, the authority herein granted shall expire unless exercised within sixty days after the effective date hereof.
- 11. That common carriers be and they are authorized to depart from the provisions of Tariff Circular No. 2 and General Order No. 80 of the Commission to the extent necessary to publish in supplement

form the tariff changes hereinafter provided by Supplement No. 51 to Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2.

12. That, in all other respects, Petitions for Modification Nos. 178 and 181, as amended, in Case No. 5432 be and they are hereby denied.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

Compissioners Fotor E. Mitchell boing nocessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

# APPENDIX A (Page 1 of 2)

# APPEARANCES

## PETITIONERS:

Arlo D. Poe, J. C. Kaspar and J. X. Quintrall for petitioners in Petition No. 178.

Charles W. Burkett, Jr., John MacDonald Smith, T. P. Wadsworth, Marshall W. Vorkink, Frederick C. Pfrommer and Robert A. Thompson for petitioners in Petition No. 181.

#### RESPONDENTS:

G. M. Somlyo, for Victorville Barstow Truck Line.

C. J. Boddington, for Oregon Nevada California Fast Freight and Southern California Freight Lines.

W. D. James, for Coast Line Truck Service.

F. S. Kohles, for Valley Express Co. and Valley Motor Lines, Inc.
Harold Shifflet, for Shifflet Brothers.

John A. Pifer, for Peninsula Motor Express, Kellogg Express and
Draying Co. and Associated Freight Lines.

R. C. Ellis, for California Motor Express, Ltd. and
California Motor Transport Co., Ltd.

Philip A Winter for Delivery Service Co.

Philip A. Winter, for Delivery Service Co.

E. J. McSweeney, for Pacific Motor Trucking Company and Pacific Motor Transport Company.

Lloyd Rasmussen, for Trans-Bay Motor Express.
Edward J. Willig, for Willig Freight Lines.
Armand Karp, for Callison Truck Lines, Inc.
Richard D. Stokes, for Howard Terminal.
F. A. Paras, for Blankenship Motors, Inc.
Harold M. Brake, for Brake Delivery Service and
Meier Transfer Service.

# PROTESTANTS:

Milton A. Walker, for Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation.
Eugene R. Warren, for San Francisco Grain Exchange,
California Hay, Grain and Feed Dealers Association.
C. H. Costello, for Continental Can Co., Inc. Eugene A. Read, for California Manufacturers Association. Milton Hallen, for California Shippers Associates and Los Angeles Wholesale Institute.

#### INTERESTED PARTIES:

J. A. Sullivan, for Trans. Tech. Systems, Inc. E. Kenneth Hadden, for Trans. Tech Systems, Inc. William R. Daly, for Port of San Diego. Earl L. Cranston, for Los Angeles Soap Company.
Calhoun E. Jacobson, for Southern California Retail Lumber Asso.
V. A. Bordelon, for Los Angeles Chamber of Commerce.
Jack N. Schumann, for Virtue Bros. Manufacturing Co. Warren L. Carroll, for Ducommun Metals & Supply Company. Floyd Betts, for U. S. Rubber Company.
T. A. Drakes, for City of Paris Department Store.
A. T. Eche, for F. W. Woolworth Co.
Keith Melvin Brown, for Spreckels Sugar Company.
Loren D. Olsen, for Kaiser Gypsum Company, Inc.

APPENDIX A (Page 2 of 2)

#### APPEARANCES

# INTERESTED PARTIES (Cont'd.)

A. L. Russell, for Sears Rocbuck and Company. Jack P. Sanders, for Gerber Products Co. Edward S. Patterson, for Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corp. B. R. Garcia, for California Redwood Association. M. E. Schibler, for Westinghouse Electric Corp. William D. Wagstaffe, for Canners League of California. E. J. Muzio, for Miles Motor Transport System. Frank E. Lawless, for Masonite Corporation. William G. Jackson, for William Volker & Co. Clifford J. Van Duker, for United Shippers Association. Russell Bevans, for Draymen's Association of San Francisco. R. A. Morin, for Fibreboard Paper Products Corporation. Benno R. Wahler, for American Can Co. W. F. McCann, for Container Corporation of America.
Harold J. Reitz, for International Paper Co.
Lloyd W. Gragg, for Kaiser Gypsum Co., Inc.
Alan E. Silvius, for Matthews-Silvius Traffic Service.
Jack Clodfelter, for McCormick & Co., Schilling Division.
Ralph Hubbard, for California Farm Bureau Federation.
Meyer T. Kenler, for American Forest Products Corp. Meyer L. Kapler, for American Forest Products Corp. L. E. Binsacca, for M.J.B. Co. Jonathan C. Hansen, for General Mills, Inc.
J. B. Christenson, for J. Christenson Co.
W. R. Donovan, for C & H Sugar Refining Corp.
E. J. Langhofer, for San Diego Chamber of Commerce.
Earl S.Williams, for Department of Finance, State of California.
A. E. Norrbom, for Foy Manufacturers of U.S.A.
Philip J. Ryan for Union Oil Company of California Philip J. Ryan, for Union Oil Company of California. Peter N. Kujachich, for Owens-Illinois Glass Company. Allen K. Penttila, for Sherwin Williams Co. R. C. Fels, for Furniture Manufacturers Assoc. of California. John P. Hellmann, for Johnson & Johnson and/or National Small Shipments Traffic Conference. Morton S. Colgrove, for Traffic Managers Conference of Southern California and Potlatch Forests, Inc. Hugh Cook, for Wine Institute. Royston E. Campbell, for Freight Traffic Service.

John E. Myers, for Durkee Famous Foods Div. of The Glidden Co.
Frank A. Small, for Philadelphia Quartz Co. of California.
Richard F. Hanley, for C & H Sugar Refining Corp.
N. W. Timmerman, for Zellerbach Paper Co.
H. L. Buckley, for Railway Express Azency.

#### COMMISSION STAFF:

Norman Haley
J. W. Mallory
Grant L. Malquist
C. R. Bryant
M. J. Gagnon

APPENDIX "B" TO DECISION NO. \_\_\_\_60621

Supplement and Revised Pages to Minimum Rate Tariff

No. 2 Authorized by Said Decision

Supplement No. 51.
Twenty-second Revised Page 3.
Eighteenth Revised Page 19.
Fifteenth Revised Page 19-B.
Original Page 19-C.
Twentieth Revised Page 20.
Fourteenth Revised Page 20.
Twenty-first Revised Page 21.
Seventh Revised Page 21-B.
Fifth Revised Page 21-B.
Fifth Revised Page 21-C.
Seventeenth Revised Page 42.
Thirteenth Revised Page 43.
Eleventh Revised Page 43.
Eleventh Revised Page 44.
Eighth Revised Page 44-A.
Seventh Revised Page 44-B.
Eighth Revised Page 46-A.
Eighth Revised Page 51.
Sixth Revised Page 51.
Sixth Revised Page 51-I.
Fourteenth Revised Page 56-A.
Twelfth Revised Page 57-A.
Twelfth Revised Page 66.
Seventh Revised Page 66.
Eighth Revised Page 66.
Sixth Revised Page 66-E.
Sixth Revised Page 66-E.
Sixth Revised Page 66-E.

#### SPECIAL INCREASE SUPPLEMENT

SUPPLEMENT NO. 51 (Cancels Supplements Nos. 47 and 50)

(Supplements Nos. 43, 49 and 51 Contain All Changes)

TO

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

NAMING

MINIMUM RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS

FOR THE

TRANSPORTATION OF PROPERTY OVER THE

PUBLIC HIGHWAYS WITHIN THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BY

RADIAL HIGHWAY COMMON CARRIERS

HIGHWAY CONTRACT CARRIERS

AND

HOUSEHOLD GOODS CARRIERS

• APPLICATION OF SURCHARGES

(See Page 2 of This Supplement)

♦ Increase, Decision No.

60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA State Building, Civic Center San Francisco, California

## APPLICATION OF SURCHARGES

- 1. The surcharges herein provided apply only to shipments, or component parts of split pickup shipments or split delivery shipments, having point of origin, point of destination, or point of origin and point of destination within the CENTRAL COASTAL TERRITORY as described in Item No. 270.
- 2. The surcharges herein provided apply only in connection with rates and charges named in Sections Nos. 2 and 3, small shipment service charges provided in Item No. 149 and minimum charges provided in Item No. 150 of this tariff.
- 3. The surcharges herein provided will not apply in connection with the following:
  - (a) Shipments of the commodities described in Item No. 355 when transported at rates subject to minimum weights of 20,000 pounds or more.
  - (b) Shipments of the commodities described in Items Nos. 652, 652, 652-3/4 and 653 when transported at rates subject to minimum weights of 10,000 pounds or more.
  - (c) Shipments transported under the rates set forth in Items Nos. 620, 630, 690, 720 and 745 of this tariff.
  - (d) The intermediate application of rates which make reference to Items Nos. 900 or 900-1 of this tariff when neither point of origin nor point of destination is within CENTRAL COASTAL TERRITORY.
- 4. The surcharges herein provided shall be in addition to all other surcharges provided by this tariff.
- 5. Compute the amount of charges in accordance with the rates named in this tariff (including any surcharges otherwise applicable) and increase the amount so computed by the amounts sot forth in Surcharge Table "A" or "B" as follows:

#### (a) SURCHARGE TABLE "A"

(Applies only in connection with shipments other than split pickup shipments or split delivery shipments.)

Weight of Shipment(Pounds)		Surcharge in Cents per Shipment	
Over	But Not Over	Column 1	Column 2
0	100	21	42
100	<i>5</i> 00	<del>29</del>	42 58
500	1,000	50	100
1,000	2,000	75	150
2,000	4,000	105	210
4,000	10,000	155	310
10,000	20,000	200	400
20,000	30,000	240	480
30,000	50,000	340	680
	additional 10,000		
or fract	ion thereof, add a	1	
addition	al charge of	75	150

Column 1 Surcharges: Apply when point of origin or point of destination is located within the CENTRAL COASTAL TERRITORY.

Column 2 Surcharges: Apply when both point of origin and point of destination are located within the CENTRAL COASTAL TERRITORY.

(Continued)

# APPLICATION OF SURCHARGES (Concluded)

#### (b)

#### SURCHARGE TABLE "B"

(Applies only in connection with split pickup shipments or split delivery shipments)

Weight of Shipment or Component Part Thereof (Pounds)		Surcharge in Cents Per Shipment or Per Component Part Theroof
Over	But Not Ove	
0	100	21
100	500	<del>29</del>
500	1,000	50
1,000	2,000	75
2,000	14,000	105
4,000	10,000	155
10,000	20,000	200
20,000	30,000	240°
30,000	50,000	340
For eco	<b>್ಲಿ ೧</b> ದೆರೆಸಿಕುಂಡಾಗಿ	10,000 pounds, or frac-
tion th	erecf.add en	additional charge of 75

(1) When the point of origin of any component part of a split pickup shipment or the point of destination of any component part of a split delivery shipment is within the CENTRAL COASTAL TERRITORY, apply Surcharge Table "B" to the actual weight of each such component part; and

<sup>(2)</sup> When the point of destination of a split pickup shipment or the point of origin of a split delivery shipment is within the CENTRAL COASTAL TERRITORY, apply Surcharge Table "B" to the total weight of the shipment.

Twenty-second Revised Page .... 3 Cancels Twenty-first Revised Page ..... 3 MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2 Item Number TABLE OF CONTENTS (Concluded) Except as Shown RULES AND REGULATIONS (Section No. 1) (Concluded): 30-31 50 145 250 Exception Sheet ...... Charges for Accessorial Services or Delays ..... 180 295 Combination Rates, Method of Computing ..... Computation of Distances ..... 100 142-143 Delays to Equipment ...... Exceptions to Western Classification and Exception Sheet ..... 280 to 400, incl. Issuance of Documents ..... 255 150 Minimum Charge ..... 90 Mixed Shipments ..... Permit Shipments, Charges for ...... 128 Pickup and Delivery Zones ..... 260-1 to 260-10, incl. Pool Shipments ..... 176, 177, 179 80 35 185 60 85 #Small Shipment Service ...... #11.9 170 Split Delivery ..... Split Pickup ..... 160 Stringing Services ..... 175 Tochnical Terms, Definition of ...... 10-11 Territorial Descriptions ..... 270 to 271-3, incl. Units of Measurement in Quotation of Rates and Charges ..... 257

Addition, Decision No. 60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California San Francisco, California. Correction No. 1051

Eighteenth Revised Page ..... 18 Cancels MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO.2 Seventeenth Revised Page .... 18 Item SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL No. APPLICATION (Continued) COMPUTATION OF DISTANCES Distances to be used in connection with distance rates named herein shall be the shortest resulting mileage via any public highway route, computed in accordance with the method provided in the Distance Table, subject to the following exceptions: 1. Distances from or to points located within zones described in Items Nos. 260-1 through 260-10 shall be computed from or to the mileage basing points designated in connection with such descriptions. The provisions of this exception will not apply in computing mileages between points located within a single zone. 2. From points of origin or to points of destination more than 70 miles distant from both the San Francisco and the Oakland Pickup and Delivery Zones (computed in accordance with the method hereinabove provided), distances from points of origin or to points of destination located within the San Francisco Pickup and Delivery Zone or located within the Oakland Pickup and Delivery Zone shall be the 100-J average of the distances from or to the San Francisco Pickup and Delivery Zone and the Oakland Pickup and Delivery Zone (computed in accordance Cancels 100-I with the method hereinabove provided). In the event such average distance is less than the distance computed from or to an intermediate point via the shortest constructive route, such lesser mileage shall apply from or to such intermediate point. (See Note.) 3. For transportation under rates resulting from ratings in Item No. 377.5 from points in groups described in Item No. 724, distances shall be computed as follows: (a) For transportation from a point of origin within a group to a point of destination outside of the same group, the applicable distance shall be the distance between the basing point of the group and the point of destination. (b) For transportation between points within the same group, the applicable distance shall be the distance between the basing point of the group and the point of destination, except that such distance shall not
be less than the distance between the point
of origin and the basing point. (See Exception) EXCEPTION: When the distance between point of origin and point of destination is less than the distance between point of origin and the basing point, the applicable distance shall be the distance between point of origin and point of destination.

4. When a permit shipment moves via a circuitous route because of conditions imposed by a governmental agency, distances shall be computed along the shortest legal route available to the carrier under the conditions of the permit.

NOTE.—In computing distances under the provisions of Item No. 160 in connection with split pickup shipments, or under the provisions of Item No. 170 in connection with split delivery shipments, the average of the distance from or to (or from and to) the San Francisco Pickup and Delivery Zone and the distance from or to (or from and to) the Oakland Pickup and Delivery Zone shall be used only when the distance computed under the provisions of those items from or to (or from and to) both zones is more than 70 constructive miles.

#### APPLICATION OF RATES - DEDUCTIONS

- (a) Rates provided in this tariff are for the transportation of shipments, as defined in Item No. 11(k), (1) and (m) from point of origin to point of destination, subject to Items Nos. 120, 140, 142 and 143.

  (b) Subject to Notes 1, 2, 3 and 4 hereof, when
- (b) Subject to Notes 1, 2, 3 and 4 hereof, when point of origin or point of destination is carrier's established depot, rates shall be 5 cents per 100 pounds (or 5 cents per shipment when shipment weighs less than 100 pounds) less than those specifically named herein. When both point of origin and point of destination Fre carrier's established depots, rates shall be 10 cents per 100 pounds (or 10 cents per shipment when shipment weighs less than 100 pounds) less than those named herein. In no case shall the net transportation rate be less than 15 cents per 100 pounds when applying the provisions of this paragraph.

NOTE 1.-No deduction from rates specifically named herein shall be made under this rule from rates based upon a minimum weight of 10,000 pounds or more, #nor from small shipment charges provided by Item No. 149, nor from minimum charges provided by Item No. 150.

NOTE 2.—No deduction from rates specifically named herein shall be made under this rule on shipments transported for persons, companies or corporations upon whose premises depots from or to which transportation is performed are located.

NOTE 3.-When the commodity upon which charges are to be computed is rated as a percentage or multiple of classes 1, 2, 3 or 4, deductions under this rule shall be made from the resulting rate.

NOTE 4.-Deductions under this rule on split pickup or split delivery shipments shall be made only on the weight of the component parts having point of origin or point of destination, or both (as the case may be), at the carrier's established depots.

\* Change ) # Addition )

Decision No.

60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California,
San Francisco, California.

- 18 -

\*110-K Cancels 110-J Fifteenth Revised Page .... 19
Cancels
Fourteenth Revised Page .... 19

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

Item No.	SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)
*120-H Cancels 120-G	Rates in this tariff, and common carrier rates applied under the provisions of Items Nos. 200, 210, 220 and 230, subject to minimum weights of less than 10,000 pounds, include loading into and unloading from the carrier's equipment. When the carrier picks up or delivers a shipment subject to a minimum weight of less than 10,000 pounds and weighing more than 100 pounds, at a point not at street level, and no vehicular elevator service or vehicular ramp is provided and made available to the carrier, an additional charge of 99 cents per 100 pounds, minimum additional charge 67 cents per shipment, shall be assessed for the service of handling shipment beyond the carrier's equipment.
	Rates in this tariff, subject to minimum weights of 10,000 pounds or more, include loading into and unloading from carrier's equipment at established depots. At points of origin or points of destination other than established depots, rates in this tariff (other than common carrier rates, applied under the provisions of Items Nos. 200, 210, 220 and 230), subject to minimum weights of 10,000 pounds or more, include the services of one man (driver or helper) for loading or unloading of the carrier's equipment, subject to the provisions of Item No. 140.
	CHARGES FOR ESCORT SERVICE
	In addition to all other applicable rates and charges named in this tariff, the following charges shall be assessed on shipments requiring escort service:
	(a) A charge of \$5.00 per hour, plus 8 cents per mile computed in accordance with the provisions of Item No. 100, shall be made for each escort vehicle and driver furnished, for the time and distance said vehicle and driver are engaged in such service (See Note).
	(b) A charge shall be made equal to the actual cost of any bridge or ferry tolls incurred for each escort car.
124	(c) A charge of \$6.00 per twenty-four (2h) hour period shall be assessed for subsistence for each escort driver if service requires over-night delay.
	NOTE—Charges for fractions of an hour shall be determined in accordance with the following table:
	MINUTES But Over Not Over
	0 8

#### CHARGES FOR PERMIT SHIPMENTS

In addition to all other applicable rates and charges named in this tariff, the following charges shall be assessed on all permit shipments:

128

- (a) A charge of \$6.00 shall be made for the service of securing each permit, and
- (b) A charge shall be made equal to the fee, if any, assessed by the governmental agency for issuing each permit.

#### ACCESSORIAL SERVICES

140-G Cancels 140-F When carrier performs, at shipper's or receiver's request or order, service such as stacking, sorting, providing helpers for loading or unleading, or any other like service which is not authorized to be performed under rates named in this tariff, and for which a charge is not otherwise provided, additional charges per man shall be assessed as provided in Item No. 145(a). The charge provided in Item No. 145(b) for unit of equipment shall also apply whenever the accessorial or incidental service requires its use, or whenever the unit of equipment is inactivated by reason of the driver or helper being engaged in such service.

The provisions of this item shall not apply when a helper is provided for any reason other than shipper's or receiver's request or order. The reason for supplying helpers shall be recorded on shipping and accessorial service documents.

.\* Change )
♦ Increase )

Decision No.

60621

## EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 1053

Item No.	SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)
ll3-D Cancels ll3-C	DELAYS TO EQUIPMENT ON WHOLE CRAIN (See Note)  1. Definitions  (a) Actual placement. By actual placement is meant the placing of carriers' equipment at place designated by consignee or consignor for loading or unloading.  (b) Constructive placement. By constructive placement is meant the holding of a unit of carriers' equipment at a point other than the designated loading or unloading place, due to the inability of consignee or consignor to accept for actual placement the unit of carriers' equipment after its tender for actual placement by the carrier. Constructive placement of equipment for purpose of loading or unloading shall not commence prior to the time specified in consigne's or consignor's oral or written equipment order, or at any time other than normal business days between the hours of 8:00 A.M. and 3:00 P.M. (the lunch hour between 12:00 noon and 1:00 P.M. excepted) Monday through Friday.  (c) Unit of Equipment. By unit of equipment is meant a motor truck, trailer, or semi-trailer, exclusive of motor tractor.  2. Free Time  (a) A period of four (l) hours will be allowed on each unit of equipment between constructive placement and time equipment has actually completed loading or unloading.  (b) The provisions of this item shall not apply in connection with the actual placement of units of equipment under agreement with the consignor or consignee for loading by the consignor or unloading by the consignor or unloading by the consignee, when such agreement is recorded on the shipping document.  3. Demurrage on Equipment Held After Free Time Has Elapsed  A charge of 22¢ per 100 pounds will be made by the carrier on all shipments on all equipment unloaded or loaded after the free time has elapsed.  4. Provisions of Item No. 1h5 of this tariff will not apply.  NOTE:-Applies only on shipments of Whole Grain in bulk or in bags, subject to minimum weights of 10,000 pounds or more.
	CHARGES FOR ACCESSORIAL SERVICES OR DELAYS  For accessorial services or delays under conditions specified in  Items Nos. 140 and 142, charges based upon the actual elapsed time shall be assessed for each period or fraction thereof, as follows:
*115-H Cancels 115-G	Charges in Cents  For Each  For First Additional  30 Minutes 15 Minutes  or Fraction or Fraction  (a) For driver, helper or other carrier  employee, per man

### ADVERTISING ON EQUIPMENT

147- C Cancels 147- B For placing or carrying any sign, or signs, or advertising, of alcoholic liquors on carrier's equipment engaged in transporting alcoholic liquors, N.O.I.B.N., as described under that heading in the Western Classification, moving between San Francisco Territory and Los Angeles Territory, an additional charge of \$6.60 per unit per shipment shall be assessed by the carrier.

\* Change ) Decision No.

60621

### EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 1054

Item SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL No. APPLICATION (Continued) SMALL SHIPMENT SERVICE (Not subject to the provisions of Item No. 150) Rates provided in this item shall apply only when the shipping document is annotated by shipper, certifying that the shipment meets the requirements of this item, and requesting Small Shipment Service. Rates in this item will apply only to prepaid shipments, released to a value of 50 cents per pound or less, weighing under 250 pounds and moving for distances not in excess of 150 constructive miles: Rates in this item will not apply to: 1. Shipments including any commodity rated above 1st Class (100); or 2. Shipments weighing less than 100 pounds which contain more than five pieces, or any shipment which contains more than 10 pieces; or 3. Shipments which require temperature control service, COD or order notify service, or which have origin or destination on steamship docks or oilwell sites; or 4. Shipments picked up or delivered at private residences of retail customers; or #A 149 5. Shipments containing personal effects, baggage or used household goods; or6. Shipments moving on Government Bill of Lading. Rates provided in this item do not alternate with other rates and charges in this tariff, and rates provided in this item may not be used in combination with any other rates. be as follows (See Redwood Empire Exception 1):

The charge per shipment for Small Shipment Service shall

(1	of Shipment n Pounds) ut Not Over	Charge <u>In Cents</u>
0	25	165
25	50	175
50	75	190
75	100	220
100	150	275
150	200	325
200	250	380

For shipments transported between points EXCEPTION 1: for shipments transported between points in the Redwood Empire Territory, as described in Item No. 271-3, on the one hand, and points within the areas described in paragraphs (b) and (c) of Item No. 512, on the other hand, the charge shall be the applicable charge set forth in this item plus 10 per continuous shipment. Fractions of less than cent per shipment. Fractions of less than one-half cent shall be dropped and fractions of one-half cent or greater shall be increased to one cent.

# Addition Decision No. Change, neither increase nor reduction Decision No.

60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Item No.	SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)

### MINIMUM CHARGE

#(The provisions of this item will not apply to shipments transported under the provisions of Item No. 149.)

The minimum charge per shipment shall be as follows:

(a) For distances not exceeding 150 constructive miles (See Exceptions 1 and 2):

Weight	of Shipment Pounds)	♦Minimum Charge
	out Not Over	(In_Cents)
0 25 50 75 100 150 200 250	25 50 75 100 150 200 250	175 185 205 21:0 295 350 1:05 1:70

- (b) For distances exceeding 150 constructive miles, the minimum charge per shipment shall be (See Exceptions 1 and 2):
  - l. If classified first class or lower, for 100 pounds at the class or commodity rate applicable thereto; or
  - 2. If classified higher than first class, for 100 pounds at the first class rate; or
- #150-R Cancels 150-Q
- 3. If shipmont contains different articles and no article is rated higher than first class, for 100 pounds at the class or commodity rate applicable to the article taking the highest rate; or if any article is rated higher than first class, for 100 pounds at the first class rate; but
  - 4. In no event shall the minimum charge be less than:

Weight (In	of Shipment Pounds)	♦ Minimum Charge
Over E	out Not Over	(In Conts)
0	100	57:0
100	150	295
150	200	350
200	250	405
250	-	£70

EXCEPTION 1: For shipments (a) having point of origin or point of destination on steamship wharves or docks, or (b) transported beyond public highways to or from oil or gas well sites, the minimum charges shall in no event be less than those set forth in Paragraph (b) 4 plus an additional o35 cents per shipment.

- (1) EXCEPTION 2: For shipments transported between points in the Redwood Empire Territory, as described in Item No. 271-3, on the one hand, and points within the areas described in Paragraphs (b) and (c) of Item No. 512, on the other hand, the minimum charge shall be the applicable charge set forth in this item plus 10 percent per shipment. Fractions of less than one-half cent shall be dropped and fractions of one-half cent or greater shall be increased to one cent.
- (1) Expires with May 1, 1961

\* Change
# Addition
> Increase

Decision No.

60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Fourteenth Revised Page ..... 20-A Cancels MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2 Thirteenth Revised Page ..... 20-A SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL Item No. APPLICATION (Continued) SPLIT PICKUP The rate for the transportation of a split pickup shipment shall be determined and applied as follows, subject to Note 1: (a) Subject to the alternative provided in paragraph (g) of this item, distance rates shall be determined by the distance to point of destination from that point of origin which produces the shortest distance via the other point or points of origin. Subject to the alternative provided in paragraph (g) of this item, point-to-point rates shall be applied only when point of destination and all points of origin **(b)** are within the territories or are within the pickup and delivery limits of the named points between which the point-to-point rates apply, or are located between said territories or named points on a single authorized route's (c) Subject to the alternative provided in paragraph (g) of this item, point-to-point rates determined under paragraph (b) may be combined with distance rates provided in paragraph (a) where lower charges result. The applicable distance rate factor shall be determined by use of one-half the shortest distance from the territory or authorized route and return thereto via the off-route point or points of origin and destination. \*160**-**R Cancels (d) The carrier shall not transport a split pickup ship-160-2 ment unless prior to or at the time of the initial pickup, written information has been received from the consignor showing the name of the consignor, the points of origin and the kind and quantity of property in each component part of such shipment. Preparat by the shipper of the required single split pickup document referred to in paragraph (e) of this item, Preparation for execution by the shipper and carrier prior to or at the time of initial pickup, will constitute compliance with this paragraph. (e) At the time of or prior to the initial pickup, the carrier shall issue to the consignor a single split pickup document. It shall show the name of the consignor, points of origin, date of pickup, name of the consignee, point of destination and the kind and quantity of property of the entire shipment. In addition, a shipping document (see Item No. 255) shall be issued by the carrier to the consignor for each com-ponent part of the split pickup shipment (including the initial pickup) which shall give reference to the single split pickup document covering the entire shipment, by showing its date and number (if assigned a number), the name of the consignor, and such other information as may be necessary to clearly identify the single split pickup document. (f) If split delivery is performed on a split pickup shipment or a component part thereof, or if written infor-mation does not conform with the requirements of paragraph (d) hereof, or if all of the component parts are not received by the carrier during one calendar day, each component part of the split pickup shipment shall be rated as a separate shipment under other provisions of this tariff, except that those component parts which do conform with the requirements of this item shall constitute a separate split pickup shipment or shipments.

- In determining the charge for a split pickup shipment, component parts may be rated as separate shipments from point or points of origin of such component parts to any point on the split pickup route (as provided in paragraph (a), (b) or (c) hereof); provided that the written instructions furnished to the carrier under paragraph (d) hereof show (l) the component parts to be treated as separate shipments and (2) the points between which the separate shipment rotes are to be (g) between which the separate shipment rates are to be applied. The additional charges provided in Note 1 shall apply to all component parts of the split pickup shipment rated in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph, provided, however, where two or more component parts are rated under rates provided in this tariff as separate chipments to the same point on the split pickup route, the aforesaid two or more components shall be considered as one split pickup and the charge therefor shall be at the combined weight of the aforesaid component parts.
- In addition to the rate for transportation, the following additional charges shall be assessed for NOTE 1: split pickup service:
  - 1. For split pickup shipments transported under distance rates, when the distance computed in accordance with paragraph (a) hereof does not exceed 100 constructive miles, and shipments transported under point-to-point rates named in Items Nos. 509, 515 and 520:

Weight of Component Part Osplit Pickup (Pounds) Charge for But Not Each Component Over Over Part in Cents	Weight of Component Part OSplit Pickup (Pounds) Charge for But Not Each Component Over Over Part in Cents
0 100 150 100 250 170 250 500 180 500 1,000 200 2. For split pickup shipme paragraph 1:	1,000 2,000 260 2,000 4,000 340 4,000 10,000 460 10,000 460 ents, except as provided in
Weight of Component Part Split Pickup (Pounds) Charge for But Not Each Component Over Over Part in Cents	Weight of Component Part OSplit Pickup (Pounds) Charge for But Not Each Component Over Over Part in Cents
100 170 100 250 210 250 500 225 500 1,000 315	1,000 2,000 —— 455 2,000 4;000 —— 570 4,000 10,000 —— 690 10,000 —— 805

\* Change

Decision No. • Increase )

60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Twenty-first Revised Page ... 21
Cancels
Twentieth Revised Page .... 21

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

Item No. SECTION NO. 1 -- RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)

#### SPLIT DELIVERY

The rate for the transportation of a split delivery shipment shall be determined and applied as follows, subject to Note 1:

- (a) Subject to the alternative provided in paragraph (g) of this item, distance rates shall be determined by the distance from point of origin to that point of destination which produces the shortest distance via the other point or points of destination.
- (b) Subject to the alternative provided in paragraph (g) of this item, point-to-point rates shall be applied only when point of origin and all points of destination are within the territories or are within the delivery and pickup limits of the named points between which the point-to-point rates apply, or are located between said territories or named points on a single authorized route.
- (c) Subject to the alternative provided in paragraph (g) of this item, point-to-point rates determined under paragraph (b) may be combined with distance rates provided in paragraph (a) where lower charges result. The applicable distance rate factor shall be determined by use of one-half the short-est distance from the territory or authorized route and return thereto via the off-route point or points of origin and destination.
- (d) The carrier such not transport a split delivery saipment unless at the time of or prior to the pickup of the shipment, written information has been received from the consignor showing the name of each consignee, point or points of destination, and the kind end quantity of property in each component part of such shipment. Preparation by the shipper of the required single split delivery bill of lading or comparable document referred to in paragraph (e) of this item, for execution by the shipper and carrier prior to or at the time of the pickup, will constitute compliance with this paragraph.
- (e) At the time of or prior to the pickup of the shipment, the carrier shall issue to the consignor a single split delivery bill of lading or comparable shipping order for the entire shipment. It shall show the name of the consignor, point of origin, date of pickup, name of each consignee, point or points of destination, and the kind and quantity of property in each component part of such shipment, or, the single split delivery bill of lading or comparable shipping order shall refer to specifically designated documents attached thereto and forming a part thereof which show the component part delivery information.
- (f) If split pickup is performed on a split delivery shipment or if written information does not conform with the requirements of paragraph (d) hereof, or if all of the shipment is not received at the carrier's established depot or picked up by carrier during one calendar day (see exception in multiple lot shipment), each component part of the split delivery shipment shall be rated as a separate shipment under other provisions of this tariff.

\*170-R Cancels 170-Q (g) In determining the charge for a split delivery shipment, component parts may be rated as separate shipments from any point or points on the split delivery route (as provided in paragraph (a), (b) or (c) hereof) to point or points of destination of such component parts; provided that the written instructions furnished to the carrier under paragraph (d) hereof show (l) the component parts to be treated as separate shipments and (2) the points between which the separato shipment rates are to be applied. The additional charges provided in Note 1 shall apply to all component parts of the split delivery shipment rated in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph, provided, however, where two or more component parts are rated under rates provided in this tariff as separate shipments from the same point on the split delivery route, the aforesaid two or more component parts shall be considered as one split delivery and the charge therefor shall be at the combined weight of the aforesaid component parts.

NOTE 1:In addition to the rate for transportation, the following additional charges shall be assessed for split delivery service:

1. For split delivery shipments transported under distance rates, when the distance computed in accordance with paragraph (a) hereof does not exceed 100 constructive miles, and shipments transported under point-to-point rates named in Items Nos. 509, 515 and 520:

Weight of Component Part (Pounds)		O Split Delivery Charge for Each Component		nt of ent Part unds)	♦ Split Delivery Charge for Each Component		
Over	But Not Over	Part in Cents_	Over	But Not Over	Part in Cents		
0 100 250 500 1,000	250 500 1,000	150 170 180 200 260	2,000 4,000 10,000	10,000	340 405 460		

2. For split delivery shipments, except as provided in paragraph 1:

Weight of Component Part (Pounds)		◇Split Delivery Charge for		Compon	ht of ent Part unds)	<pre>OSplit Delivery Charge for</pre>			
Over	But Not Over		Component in Cents	Over	But Not Over	Each Component Part in Cents			
0 100 250 500 1,000	250 500 1,000		210 225 315	2,000 4,000 10,000		570 690 805			

\* Change )

Decision No.

60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Item No.	SECTION NO. 1-RULES AN APPLICAT						ERAL				
	POOL SHIPME (Items Nos. : Rates do not inc	176,	177	ಖಾರ	175	<del>?</del> )	**				
	Pool shipments as described in gated or unloaded and segregated a component parts thereof are for delitem No. 176, shall be subject to	t th live	e po ry a	ints t de	na Liv	med in ery po	. Item ints p	No. :	176	and	
	(a) Unloading or segregating or unloading and segregating: Column 1 rates apply only to component parts of the pool shipment in connection with which the carrier performs transportation, subject to a minimum charge per component part of 071 cents.  Column 2 rates apply only to component parts of the pool shipment in connection with which the carrier does not perform transportation, subject to a minimum charge per component part of 0\$1.37.										
İ		<u> </u>	lass	Rat	es :	in Cer	ts per	100	Po	unds_	
	Articles for which rates are not otherwise specified in this item or Item No. 179.		Col:		1			Colu		2	
	①Applies on articles rated 4th class or lower.			<u> </u>		(1)				20(1)	
					<b>Q</b> (	Commod	ity Rar			Cents	
	Bicycles, K.D., as described in It	N	·	2600			n 1			m 2	
#177-G	in the Western Classification		• • • •		• .	37			44		
177 <i>-</i> F	Cocoa and Fondant	that	 bea	ding	<b>-</b>	2.6	2	22			
	in the Western Classification										
	92760, 92850, 92930, 93040, 93120 93200, 93210, and 93270 in the We Classification	93190,					,				
	(b) Clerical services consisting of preparing pool lot shipment file, manifesting and preparing delivery instructions, and issuance of freight bill to each subconsignee or shipper and accounting therefor, per component part, old cents.								ight		
	(c) Listing and reporting marked weights, gallonage or serial old centsper line per package or piece, minimum charge per composed of cents.								num	bers, part,	
	(d) Marking, tagging, stenciling piece, minimum charge per componen	g or t pa	· lab	elir 065	en	oli co	ats pe:	r pac	kag	e or	
	(e) Advancing, prorating and co other carriers, 1% of amount advan 063 cents.	llec ced,	ting min	int inum	oun ch	d frei arge p	ight c	npone	so nt	f part,	
<u> </u>	(f) Advancing of outbound freig ponent part, 044 cents.	ht c	harg	es t	ю о	ther o	arrie	rs, p	er	com-	
	(g) Freaking down, leveling off stopped for partial unloading, wil for helpers, plus the cost of dunn	l be	cha	ling	du Las	nnage provi	in po ided i	ol ca n Ite	rs m N	o. 11:5	
1 † •	Minimum charge for handling poo	_		nt,	०ध्य	0.70.					

Change
♦ Increase

Decision No.

60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Correction No. 1059

-21-B-

### MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

Itom No.	SECTION NO. 1 - RULES AND REGULATIONS OF GENERAL APPLICATION (Continued)
	POOL SHIPMENTS (Concluded)
*179-E Cancol :	Pool Shipments as described in Item No. 176, viz.: Furniture or Furniture Parts as described under these headings in Western Classification.
179-0	Unloading or segregating, or unloading and sogregating; including accessorial services described in paragraphs (b), (c) and (e) of Item No. 177, 087 cents per 100 pounds, minimum charge of \$1.66 per component part.

\* Change ) Decision No. 60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 1060 Seventeenth Revised Page .... 41
Cancels
Sixteenth Revised Page ..... 41

Item No.		SECTION NO. 2					♦ CLASS RATES In Cents Per 100 Pounds							
	MIL	ES		y Qua See N	ntity ote)			nimum ,000 (Sec		.s	Minimum Weight 1,000 Pounds (See Note)			
	ſ	But Not Over	ı	2	3	Ţŧ	1	2	3	Ţ	1	2	3	1,
	03505	3 5 10 15 20	177 179 181 183 185	159 161 163 165 167	71:8 77:2 77:3 77:3 77:3	12h 125 127 128 130	102 103 106 108 111	92 93 96 98 100	82 83 85 87 89	72 73 75 77 79	75 76 77 78 81	68 69 70 71 73	60 61 62 63 65	53 55 56 57
	30 35 35 40	# 5 % S & S & S & S & S & S & S & S & S & S	187 189 191 193 195	168 170 172 174 176	150 151 153 154 156	131 132 134 135 137	111, 118 120 121, 127	102 106 108 111 114	91 94 96 99 102	80 83 84 87 89	83 86 88 91 94	75 78 80 82 85	67 69 71 73 76	59 61 64 66
	45 50 70 80	50 60 70 80 90	197 200 201 207 210	177 180 183 186 189	158 160 163 166 168	138	129 134 139 144 150	116 120 125 130 135	104 107 111 115 119	91 94 98 101 105	97 101 103 107 109	88 91 93 97 98	78 80 82 85 87	68 70 72 75 76
#500-Q Cancels 500-P	90 100 110 120 130	120	213 216 219 222 225	192 194 197 200 203	170 173 175 178 180	149 151 153 155 158	155 160 166 171 176	139 144 154 159	124 128 133 137 141	108 112 117 120 124	113 116 118 121 121	102 105 107 109 113	91 93 95 97 99	79 82 84 86 87
	140 150 160 170 180	150 160 170 180 190	227 229 231 233 235	204 208 210 212	182 183 185 186 188	159 160 162 163 165	181 186 192 197 204	163 168 172 177 183	145 150 154 159 163	127 131 134 138 143	127 129 132 134 137	121 173 174 175 175	101 103 105 107 109	89 91 93 94 96
	260 270 200 500 190	200 220 240 260 280	237 242 246 250 254	218 225 225 228	190 194 197 200 203	166 169 172 175 178	210 219 227 236 245	189 197 201 212 220	168 175 182 189 196	117 153 159 165 171	139 143 145 149 153	126 129 131 135 138	111 111 117 119 122	98 200 102 105 107
	280 300 325 350 375	300 325 350 375 400	258 263 268 273 278	232 211 216 250	206 210 211: 218 222	181 184 188 191 195	25)t	229	203 - - -	179 - - -	157 162 168 173 178	11:2 11:6 152 156 161	125 129 134 138 142	125 128 121 128 121 120
	425 450 475 500	125 150 175 500 525	284 269 294 299 304	256 260 265 269 274	227 231 235 239 243	199 202 206 209 213		-	-		181 188 193 198 203	166 170 171 179 183	147 150 154 158 162	129 132 136 139 143
1						•							,	

		1	
525 550	310 279 248 217		208 188 166 146
550 575	315 284 252 221		211 190 169 148
575 600	320 288 256 224		216 195 173 152
600 625	325 293 260 228		220 199 176 155
625 650	330 297 264 231		225 203 180 159
650 675	336 302 269 235		230 207 184 162
675 700	341 307 273 239		235 211 188 165
700 725	346 311 277 242		240 216 192 169
725 750	351 316 281 246		246 221 197 173
750 775	356 320 285 249		251 226 201 177
775 800	361 325 289 253		257 231 205 180
800 850	369 332 295 258		262 236 209 184
850 900	378 340 302 264		268 241 214 188
900 950	387 348 310 271		276 248 220 193
950 1000	396 356 317 277		282 254 225 198
1000 1050	404 364 323 283		290 261 232 203
1050 1100	412 371 330 288		297 267 238 208
1100 1150	420 378 336 294		303 272 213 212
1150 1200	428 385 342 300		311 280 219 218

NOTE.-For shipments originating at or destined to points within the Redwood Empire Territory these rates are subject to the provisions of Item No. 512.

\* Change )

O Increase )

Decision No.

60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Item		SEX	CTION :	NO. 2		<del></del>	-	<del></del>		0	CLA:	SS R	ATES	(Co	ntin	ned)
			Mini	mum W	ej pht			trans	show porta provi	n bol tion :	ow wi for v n Ite	ill r vhick em No	ot a	ppl es 20	y to arc	pro-
	MII		10,00 cept	as protose Not	unds rovid e l	ex-	20,	000 P	Weigh ounds provi te 2	cx-	vic fic	led : estic this	in We	sto: cop	rn C tion .sub	lesವ- Sheet ject
		But Not Ovor	1	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	A	В	С	ם	E
	0 3 5 10 15	3 5 10 15 20	43 45 46 47 48	38 49 41 42 43	34 35 36 37 38	30 31 32 33 34	ম্প্রম্প্র	19 22 23 24 26	17 19 20 XX	15 17 18 19 20	12 13 14 15 16	13 14 15 16 17	112215	211231	13 13 10 83	7.34 9 10 11
	20 25 30 35 40	25 30 35 40 45	50 51 52 53 55	44 45 46 48 50	39 40 41 44 44	35 36 37 38 39	30 31 34 36 38	27 28 31 33 35	24 25 27 29 30	21 22 24 25 26	17 18 19 20 21	18 19 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	16 17 18 19 20	15 16 17 18 19	14 15 16 17 18	12 13 14 15 16
#505-0 Can- cels 505-N	45 50 60 70 80	50 60 70 80 90	57 61 63 66 68	52 55 57 60 61	45 48 51 53 55	94448	4347 553	37 39 42 45 48	32 34 37 39 43	28 30 33 35 37	25 25 27 29 31	25 27 29 31 33	নম্ব শ্ব শ্ব	প্রমঞ্চ	28 d 20 d	17 18 19 20 21
	90 100 110 120 130	100 110 120 130 140	71 73 76 79 82	64 66 69 71 74	57 59 61 63 65	50 52 54 55 57	57 59 62 65 68	52 54 56 59 62	46 48 50 52 55	43 44 46 48	33 34 36 38 47	3688444	27 29 31 33 35	26 27 28 29 30 30	25 26 27 28 29	23 24 25 26 27
	140 150 160 170 180	150 160 170 180 190	84 87 89 92 94	76 79 81 83 85	67 69 71 79 75	59 61 62 64 65	73 76 78 81 83	66 69 71 73 75	58 62 64 66	51 53 55 57 58	34495	46 48 52 54	38 48 44 46	31 32 34 36 38	30 31 32 33 34	28 29 33 33 33 33
	190 200 220 240 260	200 220 240 260 280	97 101 104 108 113	88 91 94 98 102	76 81 84 87 91	68 71 73 76 79	86 89 92 95 99	78 80 83 85 89	68 70 73 75 78	688869	51 52 54 56 58	55 57 59 61 63	47 48 50 52 54	43 45 49	36 38 40 42 44	33 34 35 36 38

NOTE 1.-When applied in connection with carload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Western Classification, Exception Sheet or in this tariff, subject to Item No. 290.

NOTE 2.—When applied in connection with carload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Western Classification, Exception Sheet or in this tariff (subject to Item No. 290) but in no event less than 20,000 pounds.

NOTE 3.-For shipments originating at or destined to points within the Redwood Empire Territory these rates are subject to the provisions of Item No. 512.

\* Change )

O Increase )

Decision No.

60021

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 1062

Itom No,		SECTI	ON NO	. 2							LASS n Con					
	ŅŢ	les	10, exc vid	imum .000 P .opt a .ed in .Soe N	ound: .s pro . Note	s >- = 1	20, cxc	imum 000 F opt a od in	ounds s pro	:t :-	Min vide fice or	imim ed i etio thi	Wei(	toriciff	ion S	ro- assi- Sheet b-
	Over	But Not Over	ı	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	5	A	В	C	ם	E
	280 300 325 350 375	300 325 350 375 400	118 124 129 134 139	107 111 116 121 126	95 99 103 107 111	83 86 90 94 98	103 108 112 117 122	93 98 102 106 110	83 87 90 94 98	73 77 80 83 86	60 63 66 69 72	66 69 72 75 78	56 58 61 64 66	51 54 56 59 61	46 48 50 53 55	13 45 47 49
	400 425 450 475 500	425 450 475 500 525	144 149 154 159 164	135 135 139 148 148	115 119 123 127 131	101 105 108 112 115	128 133 138 143 143	116 120 124 129 134	108 110 111 118	90 93 97 100 104	75 78 81 84 88	83 86 89 92 95	83,228	64687173	57 59 61 64 66	<i>5</i> 7
*507-I	525 550 575 600 625	550 575 600 625 650	170 175 180 185 190	153 157 162 166 171	140	119 122 126 129 133	153 158 163 168 173	138 143 147 152 157	127 127 131 135 139	107 111 114 118 122	92 95 98 101 104	102	90 92	8 <u>7</u>	68 71 73 75 77	63
cols 507-X	650 675 700 725 750	675 700 725 750 775	196 201 206 21 216	176 181 186 190 193	161 165 169	138 141 145 148 152	179 185 190 196 201	163 167 172 177 182	144 148 152 157 162	127 130 134 138 141	2513128	129	101 104 107	92 95 97	84 86	73 75 77
	775 800 850 900 950	800 850 900 950 1000	222 229 236 244 252	200 206 212 219 227	183 189 195	165	209 215 222 229 237	189 194 200 207 213	167 172 178 183 189	146 150 155 160 166	124 128 132 136 140	148 148	121 124	106	96 99 102	85 87 90
	1000 1050 1100 1150	1050 1100 1150 1200	261 270 277 286	235 242 249 257	209 21.5 221 226	189	245 252 259 267	220 226 232 239	195 200 206 212	172 176 181 186	149 158 158	161	136	124	108 111 115 118	99

NOTE 1.-When applied in connection with carload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Western Classification, Exception Sheet or this tariff, subject to Item No. 290.

NOTE 2.-When applied in connection with carload ratings, minimum

NOTE 2.—When applied in connection with carload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Western Classification, Exception Sheet or in this tariff (subject to Item No. 290) but in no event less than 20,000 pounds.

NOTE 3.-For shipments originating at or destined to points within the Redwood Empire Territory, these rates are subject to the provisions of Item No. 512.

60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

<sup>\*</sup> Change > Decision No.

Item No.		SECTION NO	2					ILASS I						
	Class to No	Rates show te l.	m bel	.ow ar	e int	ermed	iiate	in app	olica	tion	sub	ject		
	BETWEEN	AND		Any C	uanti	ty		inimum 2,000 :			Mini 4,0			
		San Francisco (See Item	ı	2	3	4.	1	2 <sup>,</sup>	3	4	1	2	3	
		No.260-7) Oakland (See Item No.260-5.5)	197	177				114	,		,			
	San Jose (See Item No. 260-7.5) Santa Clara Campbell		10,0 exce	num We 200 Po ept as ided i	ounds pro- n	20, exc	,000 1		, F	rovi lass kcep his jec	nm W.ded diffication tari t to	in W atio She if, Ite	ester, et c sub-	rn
4509-H Can- cels		Francisco (See Item		2 3		ı		3 )4	5	A	B	C	D	E
509-G		No.260-7) Oakland (See Item No.260-5.5)	,	20 M 25 M			- ,	32 28 30 26			20			
	applied origin a No. 900- in Items lower ch  NOS weight to Sheet or weight to Sheet or	on shipment and destinated are lower so Nos. 500 parges will be as a fin this to the solution of the solution	ts from them them them them them to the th	om, to point: charge 05 on y- ed in ded in , sub; ed in ded in	o or to s via es accept the s connect the ject to connect the connect the connect the	etwe Rout cruin came ectio West to It west	en po es 8, g und shipm n wit ern C em No n wit ern C	ints i 9 and er the ent vi h carl lassif . 290. h carl lassif	nternication in the control of the c	nedia show tance s sau ration, ration,	nte in in e Cl. me ro ngs, Exco	iter  ass nute  mini  ptic  mini  ptic	een n Rate: sucl imum on	2
	* Change		Doci	sion l	No.	60	)623	<u> </u>	· <u> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</u>					
						effec	TIVE	SEPT	EMBE	23,	196	ю		
	Issued by	the Public	Utili.	ties	Commi	eei ar		No Co		^ ^·	200			

Item No.	SI	ection no. s									Conti			
	Class Rat Note 1	tes shown bel	is wo.	re in	term	ಂದ್ರಾ	ite iz	apı	olice	tion	sub;	ject	to	
							MIN	MUM	WEI	HT				
	BETWEEN	CINA	An	y Qui	antit	y		000			exc	ept i	Pound 23 pr 2 Not	-0-
			1	2	3	4	ı	2	3	4	ı	2	3	14
1	SAN FRAN- CISCO		268	21:1	2711	188	168	152	134	118	129	116	103	. 90
#510-P Cancels 510-0	scribed in Item	IOS ANGELES TERRITORY as de- scribed in Item No. 270-3	20,0 exce	000 : ept : vide	Weig Pound as d in	ls	We to	este: ion :	Spee. w C	lassi t or	t as ; ificar this em No	tar.	Exc Lff,	
	MENTO (See Item		1	2	3	14	5		A	В	С	ם	E	
	No-260-7)		112	103	90	80	6	5	72	61	56	50	145	5
	applied origin a in Items Distance via the  NOT weight w Sheet or  Weight w Sheet or	E 1If charge on shipments nd destination Nos. 900 #ar Class Rates same route, see 2When appoint this tariff.  E 3When appoint this tariff in this tariff.	from on tend 900 in I such policed ovider, subpoliced ovider ovid	, to rrit 0-1 tems lowe in d in ject in d in	or lories	cetween some section was to the west to th	een pown in that on with the No.	oint n th n ch s or l ap th c Clas 290. th c Clas	s in is i arge 507 ply. arlo sifi	termetem s according to the contraction of the cont	ediat via r cruin the s ating on, E ating on, E	e be oute g un ame s, m xcep s, m xcep	tweeks shot ships inim tion tion	n own the ment um

RATES TO AND FROM POINTS IN THE REDWOOD EMPIRE TERRITORY AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM NO. 271-3

- (a) The provisions of this item apply only to shipments, including split pickup and split delivery shipments, subject to minimum weights of 10,000 pounds and less.
- (b) On shipments, including split pickup and split delivery shipments, transported between points in the Redwood Empire Territory, on the one hand, and points in the San Francisco Territory, as described in Item No. 270-3, and points in the Counties of Marin, Mendocino, Napa and Sonoma, on the other hand, determine the class rates in accordance with the rates provided in this section and increase the rates so determined by 10 percent.

(c) On shipments, including split pickup and split delivery shipments, not embraced within Paragraph (b) above, transported between the Redwood Empire Territory, on the one hand, and points in California southerly of the Counties of Napa, Nevada, San Francisco, Sutter Yolo and Yuba, on the other hand, determine the class rates in accordance with the rates provided in this section and increase the rates so determined by adding the following arbitraries:

(1) \*512-C Cancels 512-B

Minimum Weight Minimum Weight

4,000 Pounds 10,000 Pounds

1	AII	à rins	mere.	ያ 	4,0	OO PO	unas		10,0	XV PC	nmas	
	I	2	3	4	1	2	3	4	ı	2	3_	4_
	26호	57ाँडे	213	18½	17	15%	132	12	13%	12	22	93

- (d) Fractions of less than one-half cent shall be dropped and fractions of one-half cent or greater shall be increased to one cent.
- (e) When the charges on all or any portion of a shipment are subject to the increase provided for in this item, the provisions of Item No. 80 shall apply only after the increase has been added in accordance with this item.

(1) Expires with May 1, 1961

\* Change

# Addition

Decision No.

♦ Increase

60621

### EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California,
San Francisco, California.
Correction No. 1065

#### MINIMUM RATE TARTFF NO. 2

Item No.	SECTIO	ON NO.	2		•			¢					ntin XX Po			
	Class Rates Note 1.	s shown	belo	ow ar	in	terme	diate	in in	gpp	icat	ion	Sul	ject	to		
	BETWEEN	AND	Az	ny Qu	nti	ty		1000 I					inim 1,000			
			1	2	3	1	2	2	3	Ĭ.		1	<sup>′</sup> 2	3	,	<u>+</u>
-	IOS ANGELES		191	172	153	134	120	108	96	64	sa	3	೫೦	72	4	2
	ZONE 1 AS IESCRIBED IN THE DISTANCE TABLE	SANTA ANA	10	nimum ,000 cept Vide Not	Poun es p	ds 20-	20		Pour	nčs pro- 1	H O'B	rov. las: xce his	mum Wided sific ption tari cet to No.	in wation Shows It,	iesto n, et: sub-	err or
ر المنافعة ا المنافعة المنافعة الم			1	2.	3	14	1	2	3	14	5	à	В	C	ם	I
515 <b>-</b> G			52	46	41	37	34	32	27	24	19	20	18	17	16	14
	NOTE 1 applied on origin and lower than Nos. 500 an	destini charge:	nts f Etion s acc	rom, poin ruing	to o ts v und	r be ia R ler t	twe <i>e</i> n oute he Di	. poi: 11 s: stan	nts : hown ce C	inte in lass	rmed Item Rat	Liat No Ses	e bet •*900 in It	weer )-1 tems	ı are	

NOTE 2.—When applied in connection with carload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Western Classification, Exception Sheet or in this tariff, subject to Item No. 290.

NOTE 3.—When applied in connection with carload ratings, minimum weight will be as provided in the Western Classification, Exception Sheet or in this tariff (subject to Item No. 290) but in no event less than 20,000 pounds.

% Change )
o Increase )

charges will apply.

Decision No.

60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, Sem Francisco, California.

Soventh Rovissá Pago ..... 44-B Cancels

Sixth Revised Page ..... 44-B MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

								· .	·	
Item No.		SECTI	on no	. 2	o CI	ASS RA	TES ((	Continu 100 Pou	ned) unds	
	point and r Cerri Leand of or Emery Pable	c of or coint of the coint of t	rigin of dest neryvii n Pabl n Alar Oakla	item a in San tination lle, Oa lo or S neda, A and, Pind poin ncisco.	Franchin in A kland, tege a lbany, edmont	isco d lameda Piedr ind to Berke , Rich lestina	or South, Albanont, Falley, Impond, ation	th San any, Be Richmox ents ha El Cerr San Le in San	Franci erkelej id, Sar aving j eito, eandro	sco , El coint San
	Pour	imum We ads Exc vided :	ept a	20,000 s Pro-	West	tern Cl	lassif:	as Provication ariff.	a, Exce	ption ;
*520-G Cancels 520-F	1	2	3	14	5	A	В	С	מ	E
<b>520-1</b>	36	33	29	25	20	21	19	18	17	15
	Clas ject pound (spl Fran one Emer San conn	ngs, misification of the second contraction	inimum tion, em No. 2Whe kup) o and So ory an or Ste with	n appli weight Excepti 290) b n appli r Item uth San d Alame and, Pi ge will the app	will on Shout in ed in No. 1 Fran da, A edmon be colicat	connection of	provident the ction of the will be will be mond, red as parage	ded in is tar: ss that with I livery e consi ley, E San L one t raphs	the Weiff (sin 20,00) tem No), Sanidered Cerricandro	as ito,
	hange increa		Deci	sion No		606	21			
					EF	FECTIVE	SEPTE	MBER 23	, 1960	
Issued 1	by the	Publi	c Util	ities (	Commis					ifornia fornia
Correct:	ion No	- 1067								

RATES ON THIS PAGE ARE NOT SUBJECT TO PROVISIONS OF SUPPLEMENT NO. 43

Eleventh Revised Page ..... 46
Cancels
(2)Tenth Revised Page ..... 46
and
Ninth Revised Page ..... 46

Item No.	SECTION NO. 3		MMODITY RATE	
	COMMODITY	FROM		(1)RATES
	BEVERAGES AND TONICS, viz.: Fruit Juice, artificial or natural, sweetened or unsweetened, other than cold pack or frozen.	7770110	SACRAMENTO (See Item No.260-7)	•37
(E) *600-K Cancels 600-J and 600-I	Minimum Weight 45,000 Pounds	FRESNO	SAN FRANCISCO TERRITORY as described in Item No. 270-3	
000,-1	(1) Subject to Items Nos. 900	) and 900	) <b>-1.</b>	
	(2) Tenth Revised Page 46 was	suspend	ied by Supple	ement
	(E) Expires with December 31,	, 1960.		
	* Change ) Decision No.	606	21	
	EFFEC:	rive sept	TEMBER 23, 1960	}
ssued by	the Public Utilities Commission		State of Cal mcisco, Cal	
Correcti	on No. 1068			

RATES ON THIS PAGE ARE NOT SUBJECT TO PROVISIONS OF SUPPLEMENT NO. 43

Eighth Rovised Page .... 46-A

Cancels
(1)Seventh Revised Page ... 46-A
and

Sixth Revised Page .... 46-A

Item No.	SECTION NO.	3			(Continued)
	COMMODITY	Between	AND	orates Subject to Note 1	Minimum Weight In Pounds
	Butter, dairy, Choose (including cottage choese and pot choose), Margarine.	described in	LOS ANCELES TERRITORY as described in Item No. 270-3.	150 107 83 69 57 511 47	Any Quantity 4,000 10,000 20,000 20,000 30,000 40,000
*605-H Cancels 605-G and 605-F	pickup) or Item this item shall very shipments, at or delivered Francisco or Lo or located on a 900 #and 900-1. delivery shipmed in application  (c) Rat 20,000 pounds of as provided in are furnished r therefor shall applied to the to determine the	shipment, as despendent points between than split pick or component paragrate shipment lication of the No. 170 (split be limited to all of the company of the highwork of the highwork of the highwork of the rates not subject to Item es named in this range do not in paragraph (d), efrigeration see the refrigeration transportation ect to minimum ge of 8½ cents	fined in Item I which rates in which rates in which rates in provisions of tunder the property to resplit pickup shoonent parts of rigin or destinations as descriptories as nos. 900 #and sitem subject actual refriges at shipper color pounds. The transportation charges.  of cold pack of cold pack of cold pack of sold pounds are loo pounds.	No. 11, is in this it livery shi in this it livery shi in and deli evisions of the rates hipments of which are lation locally the are in 1900-1.  to a minimation ser subject the subject the subject the rate in charges or frozen 1900 pounds	received at em are applipments, the vered shall for this item. 160 (split named in resplit delier received ated in Santem No. 270 tems Nos. or split termediate mum weight of vice. Except o such rates st the charge hall be are assessed butter or or more, an
* Cha # Add			ended by Suppl	ement No.	119-
<u> </u>			FFECTIVE SEPT	EMBER 23,	1960
	ued by the Public U	tilities Commis		ate of Cal	

RATES ON THIS PAGE ARE NOT SUBJECT TO PROVISIONS OF SUPPLEMENT NO. . 43

Eighth Revised Page ..... 51 Cancels Seventh Revised Page ..... 51

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

Item No.	SECTION NO.	3	COMMODITY RATE In Cents per		
	COMMODITY	FROM	то	o(l) RATES	Minimum Weight In Pounds
*650-H Cancels 650-G	Earths, in- fusorial or diatomaceous	WHITE HILLS LOMPOC	SAN FRANCISCO TERRITORY as described in Item No. 270-3.	84 71 48	10,000 20,000 40,000
; ;	* (1) Subject	to Items Nos	900 #md 900-1	•	

60621

% Change )
# Addition ) Decision No.
o Increase )

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

Sixth Revised Page .... 51-EE Cancels Fifth Revised Page .... 51-EE MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

Item No.	SE	CTION N	TO. 3		COMMODITY In Cent		(Continue .00 Pounds	
	cri	bed in	Lists Nos	. 1 throu	D RELATED gh 10 of cation of	Items N	ios. 652-6	53.
,	MIL	ES		♦ RATE	S (See No	te)		
		-	<u> </u>	MINIMU	M WEIGHT	IN POUN	DS.	
		But Not	10,000	20,000	30,00	0	40,00	
	Over	Over			Package	Bulk 7½	Package 72	Bulk
	0 3 5	3 5	11½ 12½	9½ 10½	84 82 93 113	ຣົ່	73 84	5 4 64
	5 10	10 15	13⅓ 14⅓	11½ 12½	93	8 <sup>1</sup> 2	9¾ 10¾	7 7¾
	15	20	17	133	12 2	10	111/2	8
	20	25	19	143	13½	11	12½	9
	25 30	30	20 21	151/2	141/2 151/2	12 13	13½ 14½	10 11
	35	35 40	22	17 18	17	14	151	12
	40	45	24	19	18	15	17	13
#654h D	45	50	26	20	19	16	18	14
*654½-F	50 60	60 70	29 31	21 22	20 21	18 19	19 20	16 17
654¾ <b>–</b> E	70 80	80	33	23	22	20	21 22	18 19
W		90	35	25		21		
	90	100	38 40	26 28	24 26	23 25	23 24	20 22
	110	120	. 42	29	27	26 27	25 26	23 24
	120	130 140	44 47	30 32	28 30	29	28	26
	140	150	50	34	31	30	29	27
	150	160	52	36	32	31	30	28
	160	170 180	54 56	37 38	34 35	33 34	32 33	30 31
	180	190	58	40	36	35	35	33
	190	200	61	42	39	38	36	35
	200	220 240	64	45 47	42 44	41 43	38 40	37 39
	240 260	260 280	70 73	49 52	46 48	45 46	42 44	41 43
				]				
t t	280	300 325	77 80	55 57	51		48	
	325 350	350 375	83 85	59 63	56 58	5	50	).
<u> </u>	375	400	89	65	60	Ś	. 54	
:	400	425	92	68	62	<b>}</b>	54	5
	425 450	450 475	96 99	71 74	65	<b>5</b>	58	3
	475	500	102	76	70	•	6:	Ż
	500	-,	Over 500	miles, c	lass rate:	apply		L)

NOTE.-(a) Except as to Rice, Exception 2 of Item No. 100 will not apply in connection with rates in this item.

(b) For the transportation of whole grain, the distance between Simmler and Paso Robles shall be 60 constructive miles; between Simmler and Santa Margarita shall be 56.5 constructive miles; and between Simmler and Blackwells Corner shall be 39 constructive miles. (c)On all Field Pickup Shipments, as defined in Item No. 6532, add 2 cents per 100 pounds to the rates otherwise applicable. (1) Add to rate for 500 miles, 22 cents per 100 pounds for each 25 miles or fraction thereof. \* Change Decision No. ◊ Increase ) 60621 EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960 Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 1071

RATES ON THIS PAGE ARE NOT SUBJECT TO PROVISIONS OF SUPPLEMENT NO. 43

Thirteenth Revised Page .... 51-I Cancels

Twelfth Revised Page ..... 51-I

No.	SECTION NO. 3		MODITY RATES (C Cents per 100 P	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	HAY, IN MACHINE PRESSED BALES			
	Column A - Rates apply to the Column B - Rates apply to the Fernando Intra-T	ne Los Angeles	-Artesia Territ -Artesia (San	ory.
	Column C - Rates apply to the See Items Nos. 271, 271-2 and		•	ritories.
	500 250mb Nose 11-3 272-3 0220	ORA'	res (See Note 1	)
	FROM	Minimu	column	Pounds
		A	В	Ċ
	Barstow Territory Coachella Valley Territory Fresno Territory	33 31 48	-	<u>-</u> عن
	Imperial Valley Territory Lancaster Territory	1.0	21	31.
	Merced Territory	55	-	<b>-</b>
	North Kern Territory Palo Vorde	37	-	-
	Salton Soa Territory	35		34.
	South Kern Territory Tulare Territory	10 28 55 37 33 33 33	-	-
658-L	Tubile Telfisory	, ME		
		es of driver		
,	loading, unloading or other ac			.,
	(c)When baled hay or a is picked up from several point of the composite shipment shall distant from point of destinat 100 pounds shall be assessed f scattered points in the field.  (d)Shipments into eith Territory, for which transport a minimum weight of 30,000 pour or consignor, be stopped once San Diego Territory and, under transit without being unloaded quent delivery.  (e)When upon order of stopped within either the Los held in transit without being hours free time (computed from at point where shipment is hell carrier instructions to deliver where it is to be unloaded. To	ay other comocts in a single loe docmed to ion. An addition picking up or the Los Anguithon charges add or more, monthin either the rates set from carrier, annoced from the first 7:0 i) will be all or the shipment	dity subject to field, the poi be the point o ional charge of and loading bal eles—artesia or are assessed up ay, upon order the Los Angeles forth above, b s equipment pen onsignor, a shi a or San Diego carrier's equip 0 a.m. after ti owed for furnis to the point o	this ite nt of original force produced have from the consignation of consignation of consignation and in the constant of consignation of consi

\* Change ) Decision No. 60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 1072 RATES ON THE PAGE ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SUPPLENT NO. 43

Fourteenth Revised Page .... 56
Cancels
Thirteenth Revised Page .... 56

Item No.	SEA	CTION NO	- 3	·	. COMMODITY RATES (Continued) In Cents per 100 Pounds					
	(Rates a for which	shown in the rates	this item will not apply to transportation between points are provided in Item No. 710.							
			LUMBER AND FORE finished beyon and 2), Viz.: Cross Arms, wooden Piling Poles Posts	nd sanding	Note 3) e Note 4) Note 3)	untreated, (See Notes Lumber Railres Timbers	d Ties			
		•	Shakes Shingles Shook, Box or Crate							
	М	<u>les</u>		♦ R A	TES		·			
	Over	But Not Over	Minimum Weight (In Pounds) 40,000 (See Note 5)	(In Po	Weight unds) hh,000	Minimum Weight (In Pounds) 34,000 14,000				
*690-N Cancels 690-M		3 5 10 15 20	78.84.84.84.84.84.84.84.84.84.84.84.84.84	71 81 91 10 12	प्रकासका जार	567878 780 10	45678 788			
	20 25 30 35 40	25 30 35 40	121 11: 15 16 17	131 112 152 162 172	12 13 11 11	112 122 134 144 152	13 13 10 14 15 15			
	45 50 60 70 80	50 60 70 80 90	18 20 22 23 25	18½ 21 22 21 26	16 17 18 19 20	163 185 192 22 23	11, 152 162 174 182			
	90 100 110 120 130	100 110 120 130	26 27 29 30 32	(1)	22 24 25 27 28	(1)	19½ 21 23 25 27			
	140 150 160 170 180	150 160 170 180 190	33 35 37 39 41		29 31 33 35 37		28 29 31 32 34			
	190 200 220 240 260	200 220 240 260 280	13 15 18 50 <b>52</b>		39 113 115 117		36 37 39 10			

280 300 300 325 325 350 350 375 375 400	514 57 59 62 61	19 51 56 59	11- 147 50 53 56
1400 1425	67	62	58
1425 1450	70	65	62
1450 1475	73	68	64
1475 500	76	70	66
500 525	79	73	69
525 550 550 575 575 600 600 625 625 650	82 85 88 (2)	76 79 82 84 87	72 75 78 81 81
650 675		90	87
675 700		·93	90
700 725		96	93
725 750		99	96
750 ——		(3)	(3)

Over 100 miles, class rates apply-

(2) Over 600 miles, class rates apply.

(3) Add to the rate for 750 miles, 3 cents per 100 pounds for each 25 miles or fraction thereof in excess of 750 miles.

NOTE 1.-With shipments of the commodities listed above, there may be included, at the rates provided herein, in quantities not to exceed 10 percent of the weight of the entire shipment, articles listed under the headings: "Lumber, Veneer, or Forest Products"; or "Building Woodwork or Ships' Joiner Work, Wooden" in the Western Classification.

NOTE 2.-Length not to exceed 2h feet, except Poles and Piling which shall not exceed 50 feet in longth.

NOTE 3.-Applies on Boards or Sheets, flat, sawdust or ground wood, preservatively treated or not treated, but not plasticized, with or without added resin binder not exceeding 10 percent by weight, compressed. Metal Molding or Fasteners may be included in the shipment with Boards or Sheets but shall not exceed 5 percent of the weight of the entire shipment.

NOTE 4.-Applies on Plywood or Veneering, with or without paper or pulpboard facing or backing.

NOTE 5 .- In connection with straight shipments of Poles and Piling, the minimum weight shall be 37,000 pounds.

*: ^	Change Increase	}	Decision No.	60621
77	Tricicase	1.	·	

EFFECTIVE . SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

RATES ON THIS PAGE ARE NOT SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF SUPPLEMENT NO. 43

Seventh Revised Page ..... 56-A Cancels Sixth Revised Page ..... 56-A

Item No.	SECTION NO. 3		ATES (Continued) er 100 Pounds)					
	IUMBER AND FOREST PRODUCTS, viz.: Lumber, Timbers and Veneering Minimum Weight 40,000 pounds (See Note 1).							
*700-E Cancels 700-D	FROM Production Zone (See Note 2)	Delivery Zono	) e (See Note 3)   • B(Yrcka)					
	l (Seiad) 2 (Happy Camp) 3 (Indian Creek)	13½ 16½ 17	17 17 172					
	NOTE 1(a) Rates are not subject to Item No. 85. The minimum weight applies to each unit of equipment.  (b) Rates are intermediate in application from points located on or within one actual highway mile on either side of State Highway No. 96 between Hamburg and Gottville.  (c) In the event the charge accruing under the rates provided in Section No. 2 or Item No. 690 of this tariff is lower than the charge accruing under the rates named herein the charge accruing under Section No. 2 or Item No. 690 will apply.  NOTE 2Production Zones are as follows:  (a) Zone 1 (Sciad) includes that area within ten actual highway miles on either side of State Highway 96 extending from							
	spur tracks, together with los directly adjacent thereto, of  Change ) Decision No.	the Southern Pacific (	lo. at Montague.					
		EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER	23, 1960					
	mued by the Public Utilities Com	mission of the State of San Francisco						

RATES ON 1...S PAGE ARE NOT SUBJECT TO PROVISIONS OF SUPPLEMENT NO. 43
Twolfth Revised Page .... 57
Cancels
Eleventh Revised Page .... 57
MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

Item	SECTION NO. 3 - COMMODITY RATES (Continued)
No.	Section No. 3 = Consubilit Rates (Conclinated)
	HOURLY RATES FOR OIL, WATER OR GAS WELL OUTFITS AND SUPPLIES AND FOR SERVICE OF STRINGING PIPE
	Rates in this item apply for transportation of property necessary or incidental to the establishment, maintenance or dismantling of oil, gas or water wells, pipe lines, refineries and cracking or casing head plants, and only when the point of origin is at a well site or within an oilfield and the point of destination is also at a well site or within the same or another oilfield (See Note 1).
	Rates in this item apply also for stringing pipe as provided in Item No. 175.
·	Rates in this item apply only for distances not exceeding 35 miles.
*720-X Cancels 720-J	O Rates in Dollars Type of Equipment Por Hour (See Note 2 in Item No-720-1)
720-0	Trucks, Tractors, Trailers, Semi- Trailers or any combination thereof moving as a single unit:  3-Ton or Less Capacity ————————————————————————————————————
	NOTE 1.—When rates are provided in this item on the shipment transported, the rates in this item will apply regardless of class or commodity rates in other items in this tariff except when carrier is notified in advance of shipment that the charges accruing under rates in other items in this tariff are desired to be applied in lieu thereof. When such notification is given, the rates provided in this item shall not be applied.
	(Continued in Item No. 720-1)
	Change ) Decision No. 60621
	EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960
	Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

RATES ON THIS PAGE ARE NOT SUBJECT TO PROVISIONS OF SUPPLEMENT NO. 43
Fourth Revised Page .:: 57-A
Cancels

Third Revised Page .... 57-A

MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

Item
No.

SECTION NO. 3 - COMMODITY RATES (Continued)

HOURLY RATES FOR OIL, WATER OR CAS WELL OUTFITS AND SUPPLIES AND FOR SERVICE OF STRINGING PIPE (Concluded)

\*\* NOTE 2.--Rates shall be computed on the following basis: loading time plus double the driving time from point of origin to point of destination, plus unloading time. Minimum Charge, 1 hour. In computing time under the basis outlined herein, the various time factors shall not be less than the actual time involved in minutes. After the total time has been determined it shall be converted into hours and fractions thereof. Fractions of an hour shall be determined in accordance with the following table:

#### Minutes But

	But	•	
Over	Not Over		
0	8		Omit
8		shall be	1/4 hour
23		shall be	1/2 hour
38		shall be	3/4 hour
53		shall be	1 hour

\*720-1-D Cancels 720-1-C Rates include services of vehicle and driver. When necessary for carrier to furnish extra help other than driver, such service shall be charged for at a rate of not less than \$\\$+.30 per hour per extra man furnished.

#### Equipment List

- A. A list of carrier's equipment, as defined in Item No. 10, used in the transportation of commodities named in this item, shall be compiled by each carrier. Each unit of carrier's equipment shall be identified by number or other means and the list shall show the normal carrying capacity thereof.
- B. The normal carrying capacity of each vehicle unit shall be determined by the carrier based upon that amount of property in pounds, which physically can be loaded therein and safely transported under normal conditions.
- C. In no event shall the carrying capacity be established in excess of the number of pounds permitted under the provisions of the Vehicle Code, State of California.
- D. The equipment list shall be filed in cuplicate with the Commission and an exact copy thereof shall be kept open for public inspection by the carrier. When, subsequent to the filing of the list, equipment is placed in or withdrawn from service, or its carrying capacity is changed by alteration of the equipment, the carrier shall amend its equipment list to show the change and the date it is made. An amendment to the equipment list shall be filed with the Commission not later than ten days subsequent to the date of change.
- E. Each vehicle shall have stenciled or otherwise permanently displayed on it the carrying capacity thereof.
- F. Each shipping document issued in connection with transportation under this item shall, in addition to other requirements, identify the equipment used and show the carrying capacity of each vehicle employed.

Formerly designated as Note 3.

Decision No.

Change Increase

60621

EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1962

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.

RATES ON THIS PAGE ARE NOT SUBJECT TO PROVISIONS OF SUPPLEMENT NO. 43

Twelfth Revised Page .... 64 Cancels (3) Eleventh Revised Page .... 64 and Tenth Revised Page ..... 64 MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2

COMMODITY  SOAP, LARD, AND RELATED ARTICLES, viz:  Acid, Boracic, Borsx (Scdium Borate), Compounds, Bleaching, Cleaning, Scouring, Washing, Disinfectants, other than medicinal, Drain Pipe Solvent, Line, Chlorinated(Chloride of Lime Bleach or Eleaching Powder), 190-1 Lye, concentrated, Soap Chips, Soap Chips, Soap, liquid, Soap, Sodium (Soda), viz: washing sodium (Soda), washing powders, Starch, liquid, Lard, solid, not otherwise specified, Lard Substitutes, not otherwise specified, Oil, cooking, Oil, salad, Vegetable Oil Shortening. Minimum Weight 30,000 pounds  *(1) Subject to Items Nos. 900 #and 900-1.  (2) When accessorial services are rendered by carrier in connection with shipments moving under rates in this item the following charges shall be in addition to rate shown:  (a) When refrigeration service is furnished, an additional charge shall be made of not less than 25 cents per 100 pounds.  (b) For other accessorial charges, see Items Nos.  (3) Eleventh Revised Fage 64 was suspended by Supplement No. 49.  EFFECTIVE SEPTEMER 23, 1960	Item No.	SECTION NO. 3 COM	MODITY RATE n Cents per	S (Continu	led)
viz.:  Acid, Boracic, Borax (Sodium Borate), Compounds, Bleaching, Cleaning, Scouring, Washing, Disinfectants, other than medicinal, Drain Pipe Solvent, Lime Chlorinated(Chloride of Lime Eleach or Eleaching and 730-J Scap Chips, Scap, liquid, Scap Chips, Scap, liquid, Scap Chips, Scap, liquid, Scap Nashing crystals), washing powders, Starch, liquid, Lard, solid, not otherwise specified, Cil, cocking, Cil, salad, Vegetable Oil Shortening. Minimum Weight 30,000 pounds  *(1) Subject to Items Nos. 900 #and 900-1.  (2) When accessorial services are rendered by carrier in connection with shipments moving under rates in this item the following charges shall be in addition to rate shown:  (a) When refrigeration service is furnished, an additional charge shall be made of not less that 22 cents per 100 pounds.  (3) Eleventh Revised Page 64 was suspended by Supplement No. 49.  * Change  # Addition   Decision No. 60621		COMMODITY	BETWEEN	AND	RATE
(2) When accessorial services are rendered by carrier in connection with shipments moving under rates in this item the following charges shall be in addition to rate shown:  (a) When refrigeration service is furnished, an additional charge shall be made of not less that 2½ cents per 100 pounds.  (b) For other accessorial charges, see Items Nos.  140 and 180.  (3) Eleventh Revised Page 64 was suspended by Supplement No. 49.  Change  # Addition   Decision No. 60621	730-J and	Acid, Boracic, Borax (Sodium Borate), Compounds, Bleaching, Cleaning, Scouring, Washing, Disinfectants, other than medicinal, Drain Pipe Solvent, Lime, Chlorinated(Chloride of Lime Bleach or Bleaching Powder), Lye, concentrated, Soap, Soap Chips, Soap, liquid, Soap Powder, Sodium (Soda), viz.: washing soda (washing crystals), washing powders, Starch, liquid, Lard, solid, not otherwise specified, Lard Substitutes, not otherwise specified, Oil, cooking, Oil, salad, Vegetable Oil Shortening.	FRAN- CISCO TERRI- TORY as described in Item No. 270-3. SACRA- MENTO (See Item	GELES BASIN TERRI- TORY as described in Item No. 270.	(2) 04.7
EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23. 1960		(2) When accessorial services a connection with shipments in item the following charges rate shown:  (a) When refrigeration seadditional charge shaditional charge shaditional charge shaditional charge shadition per 100 powers for other accessorial 140 and 180.  Leventh Revised Page 64 was suspendentage  lidition ) Decision No.	are rendere noving unde shall be is fall be made nds. I charges, ed by Suppl	d by carri r rates in n addition urnished, of not le	this to en es the
			CTIVE SEPTEM	IBER 23, 196	0

RATES ON THIS PAGE ARE NOT SUBJECT TO PROVISIONS OF SUPPLEMENT NO. 43

Ninth Revised Page .... 65
Cancels
Eighth Revised Page ... 65

No.	SECTION NO. 3	COMMOD:	ITY RATES (Contints per 100 Pounds	nued)
	COMMODITY	BE TWEEN	AND	RATE
	Sugar, in packages	SPRECKELS		
740-I	Minimum Weight 42,000 Pounds	SAN FRANCISCO (See Item No. 260-7) CROCKETT	LOS ANGELES BASIN TER- RITORY as described in Item No. 270.	(1)050
encels 740-H		tems Nos. 900 #	and 900-1.	
	<pre>% Change ) # Addition ) I o Increase )</pre>	Decision No. 6	0621	
				•

Seventh Revised Page .... 66
Cancels
Sixth Revised Page .... 66

Item No.	SECTION NO. 3 COMMODITY RATES (Concluded) In Cents per 100 Pounds													
	SUBAR, Granulated, in bulk (See Item N							No. 755 for application of rates.)						.>
	MILES		♦RATES	ş .			MI	LES		<b>◊</b>	RATE	s		
	But		ni mm			000		But	-02		od mon			
	Not Over Over	23,000 Pounds	33,0 Pour		Pou	000 nds	Over	Not Over	Pou	000 ಬರತ		,000 mds_		,000 mds
		(1) (2)		(2)	(1)	(2)			(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)	(1)	(2)
	0 3 5 10 10 15	13 12 10 9 11½ 11 9½ 8½	93 10 113	783939 9007	7 8 9 <del>1</del> 10	6784 84 94	140 150 160 170	150 160 170 180	50 52 54	43 45 47	13 143 145 146	37 39 40 41	35 36 38 39	29 30 32 33 34
	15 20	15 14	122	113	功多	102		190	56	52	48	43	117	34
	40 45 30 35 35 40 25 30 25	16 15 18½ 173 20 19 22 20 24 22	15 16 17 19 20	14 15 16 18 19	13 14 15 16 17	17年17年17年17年17年17年17年17年17年17年17年17年17年1	570 550	280 240 250 200 200	58 61 64 67 70	53 55 50 62	50 54 57 60 63	78 78 78 78	13 15 50 53 55 55	36 39 142 111 127
*745-A Cancels 745	45 50 50 60 60 70 70 80 80 90	26 2L 28 26 30 27 32 29 35 32	23 25 27 28 30	21 23 24 25 27	18½ 20 21 23 25	152 172 18 20 22	280 300 325 350 375	300 325 350 375 400	74 77 80 83 86	66 69 71 73 76	66 70 73 76 79	58 61 64 66 70	59 62 65 68 71	50 53 55 58 61
	90 100 100 110 110 120 120 130 130 140	37 36 40 36 42 38 44 40 46 42	32 34 35 38 39	29 31 33 34 35	26 28 29 32 33	23 24 25 27 28	700 752 750 752 700	125 150 175 500	88 91 93 96 (3)	78 81 84 87 (3)	81 84 88 91 (3)	72 75 78 81 (3)	75 78 81 81: (4)	611 67 70 73 (4)
	(2) I	ates appliates appliates appliance for and arrier (or omprehensied to the ach 25 miles ach 25 mi	y when r the such ether tive and rate :	tra tran quip han d ca for fra	iler sport ment publi rgo i 500 m	equiation is financial in the idea	pment n of urnis abili ance) , 3 c reof	furni sugar hed a ty, pr ents ; in exi	ished t no roper per l cess	l by mula expecty d	the sted, nse tamage ounds	shipp in to the s for les.	er e	

### RULES AND RECULATIONS GOVERNING THE APPLICATION OF RATES IN TIEM NO. 745

- NOTE 1 The rates do not alternate with the class rates named in Section No. 2 of this tariff.
- NOTE 2 The rates are not subject to Item No. 85 Shipment Transported in Multiple Lots; \*\* Item 142 Delays to Equipment; Item No. 160 Split Pickup; or Paragraphs 1 or 2 of Item No. 240 Accessorial Services Not Included in Common Carrier Rates.

\*775-A Cancels 755

- NOTE 3 The initial weighing of shipments at point of origin may be performed by the carrier at the carrier's expense. For reweighing of shipments the carrier shall assess a charge of not less than 50 cents.
- NOTE 4 Rates do not include pumping service when rendered with carrier's equipment. When pumping service is performed by the carrier's equipment, a charge of one cent per 100 pounds will be made. Fumping service means the discharge of sugar from the carrier's equipment by the means of blowers.
- NOTE 5 The shipping document issued for each shipment transported shall show the trailer numbers and identification of the ownership of the trailers used to perform the transportation.
- \* Change
- ♦ Increase
- \*\* Reference to Item No. 126 eliminated

Decision No.

60621

# EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 1070 Eighth Rovised Page .... 66-B Cancels Seventh Revised Page .... 66-B MINIMUM RATE TARIFF NO. 2 SECTION NO. 3-A - MONTHLY VEHICLE UNIT RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS No. APPLICATION OF RATES (a) The rates in this Section apply between all points within the State of California, except (See Note): (1) Shipments having point of origin in Alameda, Albany, Berkeley, Emeryville, Oakland or Piedmont, and point of destination in another of those cities; (2) Shipments having both point of origin and point of destination within the San Diego Drayage Area as described in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 9-A; (3) Shipments having both point of origin and point of destination within Los Angeles and Orange Counties for which rates are named in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 5. (b) The rates herein are limited to 125 actual miles of the base of operations designated in the written agreement provided for in Item No. 765. \*760- G Cancels (c) The rates in this Section will not be governed by 760-F the general rules and regulations in this tariff other than the following: Definitions in Item No. 10(a), (b), (c), (cc), (d), (e), (ed), (f), (g) and Item No. 11 (i); Item No. 20, Application of Tariff -- Carriers; Items Nos. 40 and 41, Application of Tariff -- Commodities; Item No. 55, References to Items and Other Tariffs; Item No. 124, Charges for Escort Service; Item No. 128, Charges for Permit Shipments; Items Nos. 176, 177 and 179, Pool Shipments; Item No. 180, Collect on Delivery (C.O.D.) Shipments; and Item No. 257, Units of Measurement in Quotation of Rates and Charges. Quotation of Rates and Charges. (d) The rates in this Section apply only when, prior to the transportation of the property, the shipper enters into a written agreement with the carrier as provided in Item No. 765, and only when the property is transported by one carrier for one shipper. When such agreement is executed, rates otherwise provided in this tariff will not apply. (e) The rates apply only to transportation within counties specified in the written agreement. (f) The rates apply for a calendar month or for a period of 30 days from the date specified in the written agreement. (g) The rates apply for the exclusive use of the equipment furnished.

\*(h) The rates include the service of the driver only. When, at the request of shipper, carrier furnishes help in addition to the driver, additional charges shall be made in connection with transportation subject to Rate Bases A, B and C rates, as provided in Items Nos. 785, 790 and 795, as follows: Rate Bases (See Item No 780)... Rate per Man per Hour The minimum charge shall be the rate for one hour for each helper used. The time for computing charges shall not be less than the actual time the helpers are engaged in performing the service. \*(i) When service is performed between or within more than one Rate Basis, such combined transportation shall be subject to the highest charge applicable under the provisions of either Rate Basis under which the combined transportation is performed. (j) A charge of \$100.00 per month shall be made for each semi-trailer or trailer furnished by the carrier in excess of the number of vehicles or combination of vehicles operated as a single unit. (k) The Holidays referred to in Items Nos. 785 and 790 mean New Year's Day, Washington's Birthday, Memorial Day, Fourth of July, Labor Day, Admission Day (1), Thanksgiving Day, December 24 (2) and Christmas Day. (1) Applicable only in connection with Rate Bases A and B rates provided in Items Nos. 785 and 790. (2) Applicable only in connection with Rate Basis C rates provided in Items Nos. 785 and 790. NOTE. -- Transportation performed under the provisions of this Section may be combined with transportation performed under the monthly vehicle unit rates of either City Carriers' Tariff No. 1-A. City Carriers' Tariff No. 2-A-Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 3-A-Highway Carriers' Tariff No. 1-A, Minimum Rate Tariff No. 5 or Minimum Rate Tariff No. 9-A under the same written agreement. Such combined transportation shall be subject to the highest charge applicable under the provisions of either tariff under which the combined transportation is performed. Change o Increase ) Decision No. 60621 EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23; 1960 Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California. Correction No. 1080 --66-B--

Sixth Revised Page ... 66-E Cancels
(1) Fifth Revised Page ... 66-E

and

Fourth Revised Page ... 66-E

Item No.	SECTION NO. 3-A - MONTHLY VEHICLE UNIT AND REGULATIONS	RATE	S, RULE	S
	RATE BASES	,,	t	
	Rate Basis "A" includes the Counties of Mendocino, San Francisco, San Matco and Son		e, Mari	n,
780	Rate Basis "B" includes the Counties of Costa, Monterey, Napa, San Benito, Santa Cland Solano.		-	
	Rate Basis "C" includes all of the oth the State not named in Rate Basis "A" or "F		unties	in
	MONTHLY VEHICLE UNIT RATES (Exclusive of Saturdays, Sundays and F	iolida	ys)	
	Rates per month in dollars per unit of ment (Subject to Notes 1 and 2).	E carr	ier's e	equip-
	Capacity of Carrier's Equipment	Ra	te Basi	.s(1)
	in Pounds	φA	♦ B	C
	Over 10,500 but not over 20,000	the reliminatory.	935 1075 - 1425 1500 ates and ted to for operated to provide the p	8 era- ed in
(1)	Such tolls, when incurred by the carrier, the transportation charges.  Fifth Revised Page 66-E was suspended by S			
* *	Change ) Decision No. 60621 Increase)			
	EFFECTIVE S	EPTEMB	ER 23, 19	860
Issued	by the Public Utilities Commission of the S			
Cor	San Fra	uic 15C(	o, cail	rornld.

Item No.	SECTION NO. 3-A - MONTHLY VEHICLE UNIT RATES, RULES AND REGULATIONS									
	MONTHLY VEHICLE UNIT RATES (Including Saturdays, Sundays and Holidays)  Rates per month in dollars per unit of carrier's equipment (Subject to Notes 1 and 2).									
	Capacity of Carrier's		Rat		sis (l					
	Equipment in Pounds	<b>◇A</b>		ø₿						
*790-D Cancels 790-C	2,500 or less Over 2,500 but not over 4,500 Over 4,500 but not over 8,000 Over 4,500 but not over 10,500 Over 8,000 but not over 12,000 Not over 10,500 Over 10,500 but not over 20,000 Over 12,000 but not over 20,000 *Over 20,000 but not over 40,000 *Over 40,000  (1) See Item No. 780.  (2) Maximum mileage is 61521 mile  NOTE 1. Except as otherwise prova maximum mileage of 1250 miles and a of each 9 consecutive hours per day. of these limitations add rates provide tolls, when incurred by the carrier, transportation charges.	ided, i we lim For on led in l	(2) (2) the raited t perati Item N	tes a o 8 h ons i o. 79	pply : ours on excess.	out ess	5(2)			
	RATES FOR EXCESSIVE MILEAGE	E AND E	XCESSI	VE HO	URS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Capacity of Carrier's Equipment in Pounds	Ex Mil Rate	cessiv eage ( Basis	re (1)	Ex Ho Rate		2) s (3)			
		OA .	В,		·	.OB				
*795-D Cancels 795-C	2,500 or less Over 2,500 but not over 4,500 Over 4,500 but not over 8,000 Over 4,500 but not over 10,500 Over 8,000 but not over 12,000 Not over 10,500 Over 10,500 but not over 20,000 Over 12,000 but not over 20,000 Over 20,000 but not over 30,000 *Over 20,000 but not over 40,000 Over 30,000 #Over 40,000	19 24 	→ 17 → 22 → 29 → 26	9 10 11½  12½  12½  029  026	695 695 695	6555 6555 6555 6555 6855 685 685 685 685	536 536 536 536 536 536 536 536			

- (1) Rates in cents per mile to be added to rates provided in Items Nos. 785 and 790. ( See Note)
- (2) Rates in cents per hour to be added to rates provided in Items Nos. 785 and 790. (See Note)(3) See Item No. 780.

NOTE.-Rates do not include bridge or ferry tolls. Such tolls, when incurred by the carrier, shall be added to the transportation charges.

\* Change # Addition 60621 Decision No. ♦ Increase o Reduction

> EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 23, 1960

Issued by the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, San Francisco, California.