Decision No. 60723

## CRICINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of HIGHLANDERS WATER COMPANY to approve the sale of all of its assets to the CITY OF RIVERSIDE.

Application No. 42255

## OPINION AND ORDER

Highlanders Water Company , a corporation, by application filed May 16, 1960, seeks authority to sell and transfer its public utility water system to City of Riverside, which joins in the application. The parties also request that this Commission determine whether it has jurisdiction in the instance.

The application states that the agreement of sale, a copy of which is attached to the application, was executed following the institution of a suit in eminent domain by City to condemn all the assets of Utility. The agreement was approved by the city council and a copy of the agreement is to be filed as an exhibit to and part of the judgment of condemnation in the eminent domain action. Utility states that it is uncertain whether it must comply with Section 851 of the Public Utilities Code for the reason that the taking of Utility's property by eminent domain is beyond its control.

Utility provides public utility water service in unincorporated territory to the east of the limits of City in the general vicinity of the University of California at Riverside, Riverside County. The annual report of Utility to the Commission for the year ended December 31, 1959, shows that Utility was serving 1,529 customers as of that date.

<sup>1/</sup> Sometimes herein called Utility.2/ Sometimes herein called City.

Commission records indicate that the subject water system was established pursuant to a certificate of public convenience and necessity granted to Utility by Decision No. 52736, dated March 6, 1956, in Application No. 37069 (Amended). Subsequently, the service area was expanded pursuant to certificates granted by Decision No. 53127, dated May 23, 1956, in said Application No. 37069 (Amended); and Decision No. 56599, dated April 29, 1958, in Application No. 39199 (Amended).

The terms and conditions of the proposed transfer are set forth in an instrument entitled "Water Works Acquisition and Annexation Agreement" dated March 25, 1960, a copy of which is attached to the application. Sun Gold, Inc. 3/, a California corporation, is also a party to the agreement. Sun Gold owns all of the issued and outstanding shares of capital stock of Utility, and in addition owns certain lands proposed to be annexed by City.

The properties proposed to be transferred include all the physical property owned by Utility, all easements and rights of way, all water rights, including stock in two mutual water companies which entitle Utility to certain supplies of water.

The consideration for the transfer of the properties owned by Utility as of the closing date is to be \$864,554.35 in cash. This amount is to be adjusted for specified changes in the amounts of work in progress, transportation and miscellaneous equipment, and the amounts paid by Utility after March 1, 1960, under main extension contracts.

The agreement also provides that City is to assume all obligations and liabilities of Utility under its outstanding main extension agreements. The advances received by Utility for which the

<sup>3/</sup> Sometimes herein called Sun Gold.

main extensions have not been completed under five such agreements, amounting to \$319,252.75, are to be turned over to City. All other advances under main extension agreements, amounting to \$348,551.01, are to remain the property of Highlanders. As to all main extension agreements between Utility and Sun Gold, it is agreed that the unpaid balances shall be discharged in full, by payment from City to Sun Gold within one year of closing date, the sum of 50 percent of the unpaid balances due on such contracts. On February 29, 1960, there were four such contracts outstanding with remaining balances of \$122,122.90.

Utility's filed Rule No. 15, Main Extensions, provides that advances made for main extensions are to be subject to refund, over a period of 20 years, by payment of 22 percent of the estimated annual revenue from new bona fide customers connected directly to the extension. Should City apply lower rates to the area served by the facilities being acquired from Utility, refunds payable by City under main extension agreements would be reduced correspondingly.

However, counsel for Utility has informed the Commission by letter that to his knowledge, City has no present plans to change the rates now in effect for Utility. Further, Utility's counsel reports that of the total 32 holders of outstanding main extension agreements, 23 have agreed to accept refunds based upon City's rates, and nine failed to respond to an inquiry mailed to each by Utility.

In addition to Utility's obligations under existing main extension agreements, City agrees to assume all contingent liability remaining under the Commission's Decision No. 59203, dated October 27, 1959, in Case No. 6269, in which Utility was ordered to refund to the complainant the difference between the amount advanced for the extension of a 12-inch main and the estimated cost of a 4-inch main.

According to the agreement, City is to render water service to all the area now certificated to Utility, to any area Utility is

- 3. If the authority herein granted is exercised, Highlanders Water Company shall, within thirty days thereafter, notify this Commission in writing of the date of such completion of the property transfer herein authorized and of its compliance with the conditions hereof.
- 4. Upon due compliance with all the conditions of this order, Highlanders Water Company shall stand relieved of all further public utility obligations and liabilities in connection with the operation of the public utility water system herein authorized to be transferred.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after
the date hereof.

Dated at San Francisco, California, this July day
of September, 1960.

President

Commissioners