ORMINAL

Decision No. S1223

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Investigation on the Commission's own motion into the adoption of a General Order prescribing minimum public liability and property damage insurance requirements for common carrier vessels.

Case No. 6429

Albert D. Elledge, for Harbor Tug and Barge Company;
Graham, James & Rolph, by Boris H. Lakusta, for
Russell G. Lewis; James J. Jones, Jr., for
Berkeley Transportation Company; Frank Loughran;
Max Eddy Utt, for Gibson, Dunn & Crucher,
interested parties.
Elmer Sjostrom, for the Commission staff.

## <u>OPINION</u>

This investigation was instituted on March 8, 1960. After a period of investigation by the staff, hearings were held at San Francisco on July 15 and August 26, 1960. The matter was submitted on the latter date subject to the receipt of commentaries from the interested parties. They have been received and the matter is ready for decision.

The Commission presented one witness, a transportation representative. Through him, two exhibits were introduced which reported information developed from the answers to a questionnaire. The interested parties did not proffer any witnesses, but they assisted in developing the record by cross-examination and also by filing the commentaries referred to above.

The staff exhibits do not reveal a consistent pattern in the industry. There seems to have been considerable variation in the insuring practices followed in the past. Coverages have ranged from \$10,000 to \$2,500,000 with a great deal of variety in between.

For personal bodily injury, including deaths resulting from such injuries the witness prepared a scale that varies according to the type and carrying capacity of insured vessels. His minimums by category were:

Property	Carriers	_		the state of the	100,000
Passenger		1 -	99		300,000
Passenger			499		700,000
Passenger			999	•	800,000
Passenger	Carriers	1,000 -	1,999	capacity	900,000
Passenger					1,000,000

The interested parties had a number of suggestions. Most of these were originally made orally at the hearings. At that time the staff indicated that it did not oppose them. Subsequently, they were repeated in writing. These parties wanted a breakdown in a greater number of capacity blocks especially below 500 passengers capacity. They varied in the size of the minima suggested.

Ote thing appeared quite important to the interested parties, that was flexibility. They wanted their privilege of self-insuring preserved. They wanted to be free to use insurance obtained from Lloyd's of London. They wanted the privilege of getting single vessel contracts.

The suggestions, both of the staff and the interested parties, have been carefully considered. The majority are embodied in the attached general order. The minimum coverages especially for passenger vessels over 500 passengers capacity have been increased over those recommended by the staff to secure more adequate protection for the public. The Commission finds that the amounts set forth in the following General Order are reasonably required by the public interest, safety, convenience and necessity.

## ORDER

An investigation having been instituted on the Commission's own motion, public hearings having been held, and the Commission basing its order upon the evidence adduced at said hearings and upon the findings set forth in the foregoing opinion,

IT IS ORDERED that:

- 1. On March 1, 1961, the rules and regulations requiring common carrier vessels to provide and thereafter continue in effect adequate protection against liability imposed by law upon such carriers for the payment of damages for personal bodily injuries (including death resulting therefrom) and the damage to or destruction of property, which are attached hereto and hereby made a part hereof, shall be in full force and effect.
- 2. That said rules and regulations shall be known as General Order No. ///.
- 3. That the Secretary of the Commission shall cause a copy of this order to be served on every vessel common carrier subject to the Commission's jurisdiction as of the date of this order.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

	Dated at	San Francisco	, California, this 20th
day of_	Decemb <b>er</b>	, 196	
		6	-AID
		W	entito o
			(h) President

Commissioners

GENERAL ORDER NO. ///.

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RULES AND REGULATIONS REQUIRING ALL VESSEL COMMON CARRIERS TO PROVIDE AND THEREAFTER CONTINUE IN EFFECT ADEQUATE PROTECTION AGAINST LIABILITY IMPOSED BY LAW UPON SUCH CARRIERS FOR THE PAYMENT OF DAMAGES FOR PERSONAL BODILY INJURIES (INCLUDING DEATH RESULTING THEREFROM) AND DAMAGE TO OR DESTRUCTION OF PROPERTY.

Adopted December 7, 1960 Effective March 1, 1961
(Decision No. 6/2-23, Case No. 6429)

(1) Every vessel common carrier, as defined in the Public Utilities Code, shall provide and thereafter continue in effect, so long as it may be engaged in conducting such operations, adequate protection against liability imposed by law upon such carriers for the payment of damages for personal bodily injuries (including death resulting therefrom) and for damage to or destruction of property, other than property being transported by such carrier for any shipper or consignee, whether the property of one or more than one claimant, in amounts not less than the amounts set forth in the following schedule:

Kind of Equipment (Passenger Seating Capacity)	For bodily injuries to or death of one person	For bodily injur- ies to or death of all persons injured or killed, in any one accident (sub- ject to a maximum of \$25,000 for bodily injuries to or death of one person)	For loss or damage, in any one accident, to prop- erty of others (excluding cargo)
Property only (no passengers) 1-99 passengers 100-199 passengers 200-299 passengers 300-399 passengers 400-499 passengers 500-999 passengers 1,000-1,999 passengers 2,000 or more passengers		\$ 100,000 300,000 400,000 500,000 600,000 700,000 1,000,000 1,500,000 2,000,000	\$10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000

(2) The amount of coverage to be provided by each carrier shall be determined in one of the following ways:

C. 6429 (5) When the protection is provided by an agreement of indemnity or a plan of self-insurance, or includes such an indemnity or plan of self-insurance with other methods, approval of the Commission is required. Such approval shall be requested by a formal application in accordance with the Commission's rules of practice and procedure setting forth all the facts which shall be required by the Commission with respect thereto. (6) That cancellation or suspension of a policy of insurance or surety bond, or the impairment or destruction of any security or the cancellation or termination of any agreement of indemnity, shall constitute good cause for suspension or revocation of the operating authority of the affected vessel common carrier. No operation shall be conducted within the State of California unless a policy or certificate of insurance, certificate of self-insurance coverage, bond, or the other securities or agreements of indemnity hereinabove specified, shall be in effect and on file with the Commission. PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA R. J. PAJALICH, Secretary