ds

Decision No. 62207

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation on the Commission's own motion into the operations, rates, and practices of LEONARD PAYNE.

Case No. 7065

Leonard Payne, for respondent.

Sheldon Rosenthal, for the Commission starf.

## OPINION

On February 21, 1961, the Commission instituted its investigation into the operations, rates and practices of Leonard Payne, who is engaged in the business of transporting property over the public highways as a radial highway common carrier. Pursuant to the order instituting investigation, public hearing was held before Examiner Martin J. Porter on May 23, 1961, in Bakersfield. Purpose of Investigation

## The purpose of this investigation is to determine whether respondent has violated Sections 3664 and 3667 of the Public Utilities Code by charging and collecting a rate less than the minimum established under Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2; and whether

permit.

## Facts

The Field Section of the Commission's staff presented evidence that 128 shipments were examined for the period of September 1959 through January 1960; twenty-six shipments were

respondent has been transporting property not authorized by his

selected as representative; documents and supplemental information (information obtained by observation or interview of the respondent) for rating purposes were forwarded to the Rate Analysis Unit.

A rating of twenty-one of these shipments was only possible by the use of the supplemental information furnished by the Field Section; the rating disclosed undercharges in each instance. Four of these shipments could not be rated due to the failure of the respondent to have the necessary information on his shipping documents. The respondent was not able to furnish such necessary information to the Field Section.

The evidence also shows that some shipments were of commodities not authorized to be transported by the respondent.

The evidence offered by the respondent was that he was engaged both in driving a truck and tending to the paper work during the period the violations occurred. There was no denial as to the failure to have sufficient information for rating purposes on the shipping documents as required by Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2. There was no denial of the correctness of the Rate Analysis Unit's rating and the undercharges disclosed. As for the shipments of commodities not authorized to be transported under his permit respondent testified he thought he had the authority to transport these commodities.

It was stipulated that the respondent has Radial Mighway

Common Carrier Permit No. 15-5490, and that respondent had been
served with the tariff and distance table, and modifications and

supplements thereto, applicable to the transportation herein involved.

Findings and Conclusions

Based upon the evidence of record, we hereby find and conclude:

C. 7065 ds 🛡

- 1. That respondent is engaged in the transportation of property over the public highways for compensation as a radial highway common carrier pursuant to Radial Highway Common Carrier Permit No. 15-5490 issued by this Commission.
- 2. That respondent assessed and collected charges less than the applicable charges established by this Commission in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2, which resulted in undercharges as follows (from Exhibit No. 2):

Freight Bill No.	Date	Charge Assessed or Collected by Respondent	Correct Charge	Undercharge
7501 0901 (0903) 0905 0906 0909 0911 0914 9216 9220 7508 7509 0919 0918 9393 0921 0924 0926 9221 0939 9398 9233	9/6/59 9/10/59 9/18/59 9/24/59 10/6/59 10/19/59 10/23/59 10/24/59 10/30/59 11/2/59 11/6/59 11/12/59 11/17/59 12/2/59 12/3/59 12/4/59 1/15/60 1/18/60	\$123.32 160.50 115.52 94.50 101.92 126.91 84.12 74.18 130.44 78.84 77.84 123.14 131.32 63.64 121.20 125.66 60.15 57.93 67.85 141.50 209.00	\$178.76 226.61 178.09 128.13 117.60 187.20 114.00 93.20 153.94 81.66 80.62 203.68 210.82 114.00 151.20 144.00 75.16 93.20 86.63 208.10 302.50	\$ 55.44 66.11 62.57 33.63 15.68 60.29 29.88 19.02 2.82 2.78 80.54 79.50 30.00 18.34 15.01 35.27 18.78 66.60 93.50

Undercharges for these shipments amounted to \$859.62

- 3. That respondent has transported property not authorized by his permit.
- 4. That in view of the evidence of record, we find the respondent violated Sections 3664 and 3667 of the Public Utilities Code by charging and collecting a compensation less than the prescribed minimum established by this Commission in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2.

C. 7065 ds

- 5. That respondent is hereby directed to take such action, including legal action, as may be necessary to collect the amounts of undercharges set forth in the preceding opinion, together with any additional undercharges found after the examination required by paragraph 3 of this order, and to notify the Commission in writing upon the consummation of such collections.
- 6. That, in the event charges to be collected as provided in paragraph 5 of this order, or any part thereof, remain uncollected one hundred twenty days after the effective date of this order, respondent shall institute legal proceedings to effect collection and shall file with the Commission, on the first Monday of each month, a report of the undercharges remaining to be collected and specifying the action taken to collect such charges and the result of such, until such charges have been collected in full or until further order of this Commission.
- 7. That respondent shall cease and desist from transporting property not authorized by his permit.

The Secretary of the Commission is directed to cause personal service of this order to be made upon Leonard Payne and the effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the completion of such service upon the respondent.

Dated at	San Francisco	, California, this
27th day of	JUNE ' , 1961.	
	The	WELL Bye
		President
		- Janta
	Too	u I Thorses
	Frede	rich B. Hololoff
		Commissioners