ORIGINAL

Decision No. <u>62635</u>

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of SOUTHERN PACIFIC COMPANY and CITY OF SACRAMENTO to replace grade separation with crossing at grade at Sutterville Road, Crossing No. AU-92.4-B, in the City of Sacramento, County of Sacramento, State of California.

Application No. 43510

O R D E R

Southern Pacific Company and City of Sacramento are jointly authorized to construct a grade crossing of the Walnut Grove Branch and Sutterville Road in the City of Sacramento, Sacramento County, at the location described in the application, to be identified as Crossing No. AU-92.4. Construction of said crossing shall be equal or superior to Standard No. 2 of General Order No. 72, without superelevation. Width of crossing shall be not less than forty-eight (48) feet, with tops of rails flush with the roadway and with grades of approach not exceeding five percent. Protection shall be by two Standard No. 8 crossing signals (G. O. No. 75-B) equipped with reflectorized "STOP ON RED SIGNAL" signs and supplemented by flashing light signals on cantilever arms.

Southern Pacific Company and City of Sacramento shall bear entire construction expense in accordance with agreement between the parties. Southern Pacific Company shall bear maintenance cost within lines two feet outside the rails. City of Sacramento shall bear maintenance cost outside of such lines.

Upon completion of Crossing No. AU-92.4, Underpass No. AU-92.4-B, located about thirty feet southerly shall be abolished by physical closing by the applicants.

-1-

ME

ME A. 43510

Within thirty days after completion pursuant to this order, applicants shall so advise the Commission in writing. This authorization shall expire if not exercised within one year unless time be extended or if above conditions are not complied with. Authorization may be revoked or modified if public convenience, necessity, or safety so require.

The effective date of this order shall be twenty days after the date hereof.

of ______, 1961.

President

Commissioners

Evorett C. McKeage Commissioners Roter E. Mitchell' being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.