Decision No. 62829 ORICINAL

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of V. D. M. WATER CO. for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to operate a public utility water service in an unincorporated area located on Summit Road, in the County of Santa Cruz, and known as Villa Del Monte, as set forth in Section 1001 of the Public Utilities Code, to establish rates, and the authority to issue common stock (\$10.00 Par) to John R. Bellush (1700 shares) and Joseph E. Bellush (1700 shares) for monies expended by them in the construction of said water system.

Application No. 43319

Bohnett, Hill and Bohnett by L. D. Bohnett, for applicant.

James M. Belcher, protestant.

Earl A. La Porte, for Aldercroft Heights Co.;

Mrs. Julian Kaplam, Ruth McFadden, and Patrick J. Ryan, interested parties.

Sheldon Rosenthal, for the Commission staff.

OPINION

This application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity and to issue securities was heard before Examiner Power on August 14, 1961 at Los Gatos. The matter was then submitted subject to receipt of certain late-filed exhibits. These have been received. Notice was given as required by the procedural rules and special instructions of the Commission.

The area proposed to be served with water is in Santa Cruz County about 8 miles from Los Gatos on Summit Road. It is called Villa Del Monte Estates and the whole area consists of 168 lots of approximately one acre each. Applicant is not at present a public utility. Authority is sought to issue 3,400 shares of \$10 par value of common capital stock, total par value \$34,000.

The lots applicant proposes to exclude do not form a solid block in any part of the development. Excluded lots are in some cases isolated between included ones. In a few areas included lots are scattered through predominantly excluded territory. The whole effect of this inclusion and exclusion would be contrary to the public interest.

A second unfavorable aspect of this proposal is that important backup facilities are not to be owned by applicant. The staff financial examiner's report (Exhibit No. 7) reveals that, if these backup facilities were acquired by applicant its presently authorized capitalization would be exceeded.

The Commission staff hydraulic engineer testified with reference to a field inspection made by him. He pointed to certain physical difficulties that will be encountered. The variation in elevation for example is 650 feet. There are portions of the proposal that do not comply with General Order No. 103. This witness also gave a rate comparison which indicated that the proposed rates are substantially higher than those of comparable systems in the area.

A representative of a nearby public utility system testified that his company was willing to serve the Estates and had taken certain steps to prepare for such service.

A number of property owners in the area testified briefly. They were all, or almost all, users of V. D. M. water served on a surplus water basis. All wished to keep this source of water and opposed being excluded.

^{1/ 7,500} shares, \$10 par value, total \$75,000.

Findings and Conclusions

Upon consideration of the evidence the Commission finds and concludes that applicant has failed to establish that public convenience and necessity require the proposed service or that the proposed system would be capable of furnishing adequate service and that the application should be denied.

ORDER

Public hearing having been held and based upon the evidence therein adduced,

IT IS ORDERED that Application No. 43319 be denied.

The effective date of this order shall be the date hereof.

Dated at Sen Francisco , California, this

31st day of NOVEMBER 1961.

President

de de

Fredain B. Hololoff