Decision No. 63155

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Investigation into the operations, rates, charges and practices of WALTER E. COMBS.

Case No. 7167

Walter E. Combs, respondent, in propria persona. Bernard F. Cummins, for the Commission staff.

#### OPINION

This proceeding, instituted by the Commission on its own motion, pertains to the operations, rates and practices of Walter E. Combs, operating as a radial highway common carrier pursuant to Permit No. 19-52785, issued to him on October 20, 1959, which permit at all times hereinafter mentioned has been and now is in full force and effect.

A public hearing was held in Los Angeles on November 14, 1961, before Examiner Robert D. De Wolf, to determine whether or not said Walter E. Combs, hereinafter referred to as respondent, violated, as charged in the order instituting investigation, Sections 3664, 3667 and 3737 of the Public Utilities Code of this State, by charging, demanding, collecting or receiving lesser compensation for the transportation of lumber than the applicable charges prescribed by Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 and by failing to adhere to the provisions of said tariff, all as more specifically set forth in the said order instituting investigation.

# Evidence Submitted by the Commission Staff

A Commission staff witness testified that he checked the freight bills of respondent, issued from July 29 to November 29, 1960, and discovered seventeen shipments with undercharges.

Copies of these freight bills are set forth in Exhibit No. 3.

Exhibit No. 5, which was introduced into evidence through the testimony of a Commission staff rate expert, shows that respondent assessed and collected charges less than the applicable minimum charges prescribed in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2, which resulted in undercharges as follows:

Respondent's Freight Bill Number	Date		Amount of Undercharges
7746 7747 7680 7684 7694 2051 2052 2053 2055 2056 7501 2060 2061 2073 2068 2069 2070	July 29, August 2, August 8, August 24, September 18, October 6, October 10, October 13, October 21, October 27, October 31, November 3, December 3, November 21, November 23, November 23, November 29,	1960 1960 1960 1960 1960 1960 1960 1960	\$ 9.42 34.43 62.42 31.51 55.62 40.08 77.00 65.95 70.83 65.99 36.43 87.37 112.43 41.70 16.25 79.59 64.21 \$ 951.23

### Evidence of Respondent

Respondent conceded that certain violations had occurred, and, by way of extenuation and mitigation, testified that he had one truck and trailer, and no employees; that he and his wife did the bookkeeping and operated the business at home; that he was not a rate expert, and that he had incorrectly considered the rules regarding off-spur charges and multiple-lot shipments, and he contended that these violations were not willful.
Respondent testified that his hauling assignments in the lumber
industry have been increasingly difficult to obtain on account
of competition by other carriers for the freight, and that his
truck is not now moving and he expects he will lose it for
failure to meet the monthly payments on it.

## Findings and Conclusions

Upon the evidence of record the Commission finds:

- 1. That all applicable minimum rate orders were served upon respondent prior to the undercharges above set forth.
- 2. That respondent assessed and collected charges less than the applicable minimum charges prescribed in Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 which resulted in undercharges in the total amount of \$951.23, as above set forth.
- 3. That in the performance of various transportation services hereinabove set forth and as more particularly appearing in Exhibits Nos. 3, 4 and 5 of the record herein, respondent has violated, or failed to comply with, the provisions of Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2.

The Commission having found facts as hereinabove set forth and concluding that respondent Walter E. Combs has violated Sections 3664, 3667 and 3737 of the Public Utilities Code and the provisions and requirements of Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2 by charging, demanding, collecting or receiving a lesser compensation

for the transportation of property as a radial highway common carrier than the minimum charges prescribed in the Commission's Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2, makes its order as follows:

#### ORDER

A public hearing having been held and the Commission basing its decision on the findings and conclusions set forth in the foregoing opinion,

IT IS ORDERED that:

- (1) Respondent shall cease and desist from all future violations of the Commission's Minimum Rate Tariff No. 2.
- (2) All operating authority of Walter E. Combs, issued to him by this Commission, be and it is suspended for a period of two consecutive days commencing at 12:01 a.m. on the second Monday after the effective date of this order; and he shall not lease the equipment used in the operation under this permit for the period of the suspension or directly or indirectly allow such equipment to be used to circumvent the suspension.
- (3) Respondent shall post at his place of business used for receiving property from the public for transportation, not less than five days prior to the beginning of the suspension period, a notice to the public stating that his radial highway common carrier permit has been suepended by the

Commission for a period of two days; and that within five days after such posting respondent shall file with the Commission a copy of such notice, together with an affidavit setting forth the date and place of posting thereof.

- (4) Respondent shall examine his records for the period July 1, 1960, to the effective date of this order, for the purpose of ascertaining if any additional undercharges have occurred other than those mentioned in this decision.
- (5) Respondent is hereby directed to take such action, including legal action, as may be necessary to collect undercharges, both those set forth in the foregoing opinion and those, if any, found during the examination ordered by paragraph (4) of this order.
- (6) Within ninety days after the effective date of this order, respondent shall complete the examination of his records ordered by paragraph (4) and file with the Commission a report setting forth all undercharges found pursuant to that examination.
- (7) In the event charges to be collected as provided in paragraph (5) of this order or any part thereof remain uncollected one hundred twenty days after the effective date of this order, respondent shall institute legal proceedings to effect collection and shall submit to the Commission, on the first Monday of each month, a report of the undercharges remaining to be collected and specifying the action taken to collect such charges and the result of such, until such charges have been collected in full or until further order of this Commission.

The Secretary of the Commission is directed to cause personal service of this order to be made on respondent, and this order shall be effective twenty days after the completion of such service.

	Dated at	San Francisco	, California,	this	2:3/
day of	JANUARY	, 1962.		0	
			Coelett	tel	Wear
			WE 20	Pre	sident
		3		12	* /
		The	orge I. Brow	ver_	
		<del>\</del>	0		

commissionerFrederick B. Halabert being necessarily absent, did not participate in the disposition of this proceeding.

Commissioners